



Some Approximation Results For (p, q) -Lupaş-Schurer Operators

K. Kanat^a, M. Sofyaloğlu^a

^aPolatlı Faculty of Science and Arts, Gazi University, 06900, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce Lupaş-Schurer operators based on (p, q) -integers. Then, we deal with the approximation properties for (p, q) -Lupaş-Schurer operators based on Korovkin type approximation theorem. Moreover, we compute rate of convergence by using modulus of continuity, with the help of functions of Lipschitz class and Peetre's K -functionals.

1. Introduction

In 1912, Bernstein [2] defined the following sequences of linear and positive operators $B_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad (1)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C[0, 1]$. In 1987, Lupaş [3] introduced q -calculus for Bernstein operators. He defined q -analogue of the Bernstein operators in the following form

$$L_{n,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \binom{n}{k}_q q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \{(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}}. \quad (2)$$

The operators $L_{n,q}(f; x)$ generate positive linear operators for all $q > 0$. As we see above, there are two kinds of q -analogue of Bernstein operators i.e Phillips and Lupaş. In 2015, Mursaleen, Ansari and Khan [4] first introduced the concept of (p, q) -calculus in approximation theory. They defined a generalisation of q -Bernstein operator and called it as (p, q) -Bernstein operators. The form of the (p, q) -Bernstein operators are as follows

$$B_{n,p,q}(f; x) = \frac{1}{p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{[k]_{p,q}}{p^{k-n}[n]_{p,q}}\right) \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-k-1} \{p^j - q^j x\}. \quad (3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A10; Secondary 41A25, 41A36

Keywords. (p, q) -integers; Lupaş operators; Korovkin type approximation theorem; modulus of continuity; functions of Lipschitz class; Peetre's K -functionals

Received: 27 February 2017; Accepted: 27 September 2017

Communicated by Dragan Djordjević

Email addresses: kadirkanat@gazi.edu.tr (K. Kanat), meleksofyalioglu@gazi.edu.tr (M. Sofyaloğlu)

In 2017, (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş Bernstein operators are defined by Khalid et al [13]. For each $p > 0$ and $q > 0$, $L_{p,q}^n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$

$$L_{p,q}^n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f\left(\frac{p^{n-k}[k]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}}\right) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \tag{4}$$

are (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş Bernstein operators. Note that (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş Bernstein operators generate positive linear operators for all $p > 0$ and $q > 0$. Consequently, the (p, q) -counterparts introduced by Mursaleen et al [4] is generalisation of q -analogue of Bernstein operators given by Phillips [19] whereas Khalid et al [13] generalised q -Lupaş Bernstein operators. The novelty of (p, q) -calculus in computer aided geometric design (CAGD) given by Khalid et al [13] will help readers to understand the application. Another advantage of using parameter p has been shown in [9]. Besides this, we also refer to the reader some recent papers on (p, q) -calculus in approximation theory: e.g. [1], [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [12], [16], [17] and [18].

Before proceeding further, we recall significant definitions and notations on the concept of (p, q) -calculus. For any non-negative p and q , the (p, q) -integers of the number n is defined by

$$[n]_{p,q} := p^{n-1} + p^{n-2}q + \dots + pq^{n-2} + q^{n-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{p^n - q^n}{p - q} & \text{if } p \neq q \neq 1 \\ np^{n-1} & \text{if } p = q \neq 1 \\ n & \text{if } p = q = 1 \\ [n]_q & \text{if } p = 1 \end{cases} ,$$

where $[n]_q$ denotes q -integers for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The (p, q) -binomial expansion is defined as

$$(ax + by)_{p,q}^n := \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} a^{n-k} b^k x^{n-k} y^k, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} = \frac{[n]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}! [n-k]_{p,q}!}$$

are the (p, q) -binomial coefficients. By using (5) we obtain

$$(x + y)_{p,q}^n = (x + y)(px + qy)(p^2x + q^2y) \dots (p^{n-1}x + q^{n-1}y)$$

and

$$(1 - x)_{p,q}^n = (1 - x)(p - qx)(p^2 - q^2x) \dots (p^{n-1} - q^{n-1}x).$$

More information about (p, q) -calculus can be read from [4] and [15].

2. Construction of The Operator

Schurer type generalization of linear positive operators has been studied in several years. In this part, we construct the class of the (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş Schurer operators.

Definition 2.1. We consider for each $p > 0$, $q > 0$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in C[0, 1 + l]$, fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$. We construct the (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş Schurer operators by

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{f\left(\frac{p^{m+l-k}[k]_{p,q}}{[m+l]_{p,q}}\right) \begin{bmatrix} m+l \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}}. \tag{6}$$

Note that if we take $p = q = 1$ (p, q) -Lupaş-Schurer operators reduce to be Schurer-Bernstein operators which are defined in the article of Schurer [14] in 1962. We have the following lemma to give some equalities for the operators (6).

Lemma 2.2. Let $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(\cdot; \cdot)$ is given by (6). The following equalities

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) = 1, \tag{7}$$

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) = \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x, \tag{8}$$

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) = \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2}x + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x)+qx)}x^2 \tag{9}$$

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t-x; x) = \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right)x, \tag{10}$$

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2}x + \left(\frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x)+qx)} - \frac{2[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} + 1 \right)x^2 \tag{11}$$

hold.

Proof. (i) Firstly, we begin with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^k (1-x)^n \\ &= (p(1-x) + qx)(p^2(1-x) + q^2x) \dots (p^{n-1}(1-x) + q^{n-1}x) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

So, we have from (12)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{n}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} = 1. \tag{13}$$

In the (13) we choose $n := m + l$. Then we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\binom{m+l}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} = 1. \tag{14}$$

Now, let us write $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x)$

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\binom{m+l}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}}. \tag{15}$$

By using (14) and (15) we obtain $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) = 1$.

(ii) Secondly, we write $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\frac{p^{m+l-k} [k]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} \left[\begin{matrix} m+l \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\frac{p^{m+l-k} [k]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}! [m+l-k]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m+l} \frac{\frac{p^{m+l-k} [m+l]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q} [k-1]_{p,q}! [m+l-k]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\frac{p^{m+l-k-1} [m+l]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q} [k]_{p,q}! [m+l-k-1]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^{k+1} (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\frac{p^{m+l-k-1} [m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} \left[\begin{matrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^{k+1} (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \end{aligned}$$

direct calculations yield,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} x \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{p^{m+l-k-1} \left[\begin{matrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\}} \\ &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} x \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{p^{m+l-k-1} \left[\begin{matrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^k (1-x)^{m+l-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\}} \\ &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} x \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{p^{m+l-k-1} \left[\begin{matrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^k (1-x)^{m+l-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m+l-2} \{p^{j+1}(1-x) + q^{j+1}x\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $x = \frac{u}{u+1}$, or equivalently, $u = \frac{x}{1-x}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^{p,q}\left(t; \frac{u}{u+1}\right) &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} \frac{u}{u+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\left[\begin{matrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{q}{p}u\right)^k}{\frac{1}{p^{m+l-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{m+l-2} \{p^{j+1} + q^{j+1}u\}} \\ &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} \frac{u}{u+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\left[\begin{matrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{q}{p}u\right)^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{m+l-2} \{p^j + q^j \left(\frac{q}{p}u\right)\}} \\ &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} \frac{u}{u+1} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) = \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} x.$$

(iii) Thirdly, let us write $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x)$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\left(\frac{p^{m+l-k}[k]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}\right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} m+l \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\frac{p^{2(m+l-k)}[k]_{p,q}^2}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}![m+l-k]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m+l} \frac{\frac{p^{2(m+l-k)}[k]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}!}{[k-1]_{p,q}![m+l-k]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\frac{p^{2(m+l-k-1)}[k+1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}![m+l-k-1]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^{k+1} (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $[k+1]_{p,q} = p^k + q[k]_{p,q}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\frac{p^{2(m+l-k-1)}(p^k + q[k]_{p,q})}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}![m+l-k-1]_{p,q}!} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^{k+1} (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{p^{2(m+l-k-1)} p^k \begin{bmatrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^{k+1} (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\}} \\ &\quad + q \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{p^{2(m+l-k-1)} [k]_{p,q} \begin{bmatrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}x}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-1} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m+l-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-1)(m+l-k-2)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{qx}{p(1-x)}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\} \left(\frac{1}{p(1-x)}\right)^{m+l-1}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{q[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}x^2}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-2} \frac{p^{2(m+l-k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} m+l-2 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-2)(m+l-k-3)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q^{2k+1} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k-2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\}} \\
 &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}x}{[m]_{p,q}^2} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}x^2}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x) + qx)} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-2} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m+l-2 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-2)(m+l-k-3)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{q^2x}{p^2(1-x)}\right)^k}{\prod_{j=2}^{m+l-1} \{p^j(1-x) + q^jx\} \left(\frac{1}{p^2(1-x)}\right)^{m+l-2}} \\
 &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}x}{[m]_{p,q}^2} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}x^2}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x) + qx)} \sum_{k=0}^{m+l-2} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m+l-2 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k-2)(m+l-k-3)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{q^2x}{p^2(1-x)}\right)^k}{\prod_{j=2}^{m+l-1} \{p^{j-2} + q^{j-2} \left(\frac{q^2x}{p^2(1-x)}\right)\}} \\
 &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}x}{[m]_{p,q}^2} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}x^2}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x) + qx)} L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) \\
 &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} \frac{1}{p(1-x) + qx} x^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we obtain

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) = \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x) + qx)} x^2.$$

(iv) In order to show the equality of the first central moment $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t-x; x)$, we will use the linearity of the operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t-x; x) &= L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) - xL_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) \\
 &= \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} x - x \\
 &= \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right) x.
 \end{aligned}$$

(v) For the second central moment $L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x)$, we will again use the linearity of the operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) &= L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) - 2xL_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) + x^2L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) \\ &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2}x + \frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x)+qx)}x^2 - 2\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x^2 + x^2 \\ &= \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2}x + \left(\frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x)+qx)} - 2\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} + 1 \right)x^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the proof is completed. \square

3. Main Results

It is obvious that operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ is linear and positive.

Remark 3.1. [13] For $q \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (q, 1]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{p,q} = 0$ or $\frac{1}{p-q}$. In order to reach convergence results of our operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$, we take the sequences $q_m \in (0, 1)$ and $p_m \in (q_m, 1]$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m = 1$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m = 1$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m^m = 1$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m^m = 1$. Thus, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [m]_{p_m, q_m} = \infty$.

Here, we can give the following theorem which guarantees the approximation process based on Korovkin’s type approximation theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ satisfy the conditions in Remark (3.1) for $0 < q_m < p_m \leq 1$. Then for each monotone increasing function $f \in C[0, l+1]$, $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ converges uniformly to f on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. To prove this theorem, it is sufficient by the Korovkin theorem to show that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^k; x) - x^k \right\|_{C[0, l+1]} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

i) By Lemma (2.2), Equation (7), it is clear that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) - 1 \right\|_{C[0, l+1]} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) - 1| = 0.$$

ii) By Lemma (2.2), Equation (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) - x \right\|_{C[0, l+1]} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t; x) - x| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x - x \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

iii) Using Lemma (2.2), Equation (9), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) - x^2 \right\|_{C[0, l+1]} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(t^2; x) - x^2| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} + \left(\frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2(p(1-x)+qx)} - 1 \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, because of the positivity and linearity of $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$, the proof is completed by the classical Korovkin approximation theorem. \square

Currently, we will give the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let the function f be a monotone increasing function then $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ is a linear and positive operator.

Proof. The proof is obvious. So we will omit it. \square

Lemma 3.4. (Hölder Inequality) Let $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$. Then $L(f; x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$L_{m,l}^{p,q}(|fg|; x) \leq \left(L_{m,l}^{p,q}(|f|^\alpha; x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(L_{m,l}^{p,q}(|g|^\beta; x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

4. Rate of Convergence

In this section, we will give the approximation of order of the operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ by means of modulus of continuity, with the help of functions of Lipschitz class and Peetre's K-functionals. Let $f \in C[0, l+1]$. The modulus of continuity of f , denoted by $w(f, \delta)$, is defined

$$w(f, \delta) = \sup_{\substack{|y-x| \leq \delta \\ x, y \in [0,1]}} |f(y) - f(x)|. \quad (16)$$

Then it is known that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} w(f, \delta) = 0$ for $f \in C[0, l+1]$; and also, for any $\delta > 0$ and each $t, x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq w(f, \delta) \left(\frac{|t-x|}{\delta} + 1 \right). \quad (17)$$

Firstly, we will give the rate of convergence of $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ by means of modulus of continuity.

Theorem 4.1. Let $p := (p_m)$ and $q := (q_m)$, $0 < q_m < p_m \leq 1$, be sequences satisfying the conditions given in Remark (3.1). Then for all $f \in C[0, l+1]$,

$$\|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)\|_{C[0,l+1]} \leq 2\omega(f; \delta_m(x)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_m(x) &= \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x)} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{p^{m+l-1} [m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x + \left(\frac{q^2 [m+l]_{p,q} [m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2 (p(1-x) + qx)} - 2 \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} + 1 \right) x^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Proof. In order to prove this theorem, we will use the linearity and positivity of the operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| &= |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f(t) - f(x); x)| \\ &\leq L_{m,l}^{p,q}(|f(t) - f(x)|; q; x). \end{aligned}$$

Then applying (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq L_{m,l}^{p,q} \left(w(f, \delta_m) \left(\frac{|t-x|}{\delta_m} + 1 \right); x \right) \\ &= \frac{w(f, \delta_m)}{\delta_m} \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x)} + w(f, \delta_m) \\ &= w(f, \delta_m) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_m} \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)\|_{C[0,l+1]} &= \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \omega(f, \delta_m) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_m} \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose

$$\delta_m(x) = \left\{ \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x + \left(\frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2 p(1-x) + qx} - 2 \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} + 1 \right) x^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, we get the desired result

$$\|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)\|_{C[0,l+1]} \leq 2\omega(f; \delta_m(x))$$

then the proof is completed. \square

Now, we will give the rate of convergence of $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ with the help of functions of Lipschitz class. We recall that a function $f \in Lip_M(\alpha)$ on $[0, l+1]$ if the inequality

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq M|t - x|^\alpha \quad ; \quad \forall t, x \in [0, 1] \tag{20}$$

holds.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in Lip_M(\alpha)$. Let $p := (p_m)$ and $q := (q_m)$, $0 < q_m < p_m \leq 1$, then we have

$$\|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)\| \leq M\delta_m^\alpha(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_m(x) &= \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x)} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x + \left(\frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2 p(1-x) + qx} - 2 \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} + 1 \right) x^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof. Let $f \in Lip_M(\alpha)$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Since $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ is linear and monotone, by using (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq L_{m,l}^{p,q}(|f(t) - f(x)|; x) \\ &\leq M L_{m,l}^{p,q}(|t - x|^\alpha; x). \end{aligned}$$

If we take $p = \frac{2}{\alpha}$, $q = \frac{2}{2-\alpha}$ and apply Hölder inequality, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq M \left\{ L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) \right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &\leq M\delta_m^\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

immediately. If we choose

$$\delta_m(x) = \left\{ \frac{p^{m+l-1}[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2} x + \left(\frac{q^2[m+l]_{p,q}[m+l-1]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}^2 p(1-x) + qx} - 2 \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} + 1 \right) x^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

the proof is completed. \square

Lastly, we will give the rate of convergence of our operator $L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)$ by means of Peetre-K functionals. First of all, we give the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. For $f \in C[0, 1 + l]$, we have

$$|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)| \leq \|f\|. \tag{22}$$

Proof. By using the definition of (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{f\left(\frac{p^{m+l-k}[k]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}\right) \left[\begin{matrix} m+l \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m+l} \frac{\left| f\left(\frac{p^{m+l-k}[k]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}\right) \right| \left[\begin{matrix} m+l \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} p^{\frac{(m+l-k)(m+l-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} x^k (1-x)^{m+l-k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+l} \{p^{j-1}(1-x) + q^{j-1}x\}} \\ &= \|f\| L_{m,l}^{p,q}(1; x) \\ &= \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

the desired result. \square

And then, we recall the properties of Peetre’s K-functionals. $C^2[0, l + 1]$ is the space of the functions f , for which f, f' and f'' are continuous on $[0, l + 1]$. We write the norm of function f in the space $C^2[0, l + 1]$

$$\|f\|_{C^2[0,l+1]} = \|f\|_{C[0,l+1]} + \|f'\|_{C[0,l+1]} + \|f''\|_{C[0,l+1]}.$$

Now, we define classical Peetre’s K-functional as follows:

$$K(f, \delta) := \inf_{g \in C^2[0,1]} \left\{ \|f - g\|_{C[0,l+1]} + \delta \|g\|_{C^2[0,l+1]} \right\}$$

and second modulus of smoothness of the function is defined by

$$\omega_2(f, \delta) := \sup_{0 < h < \delta} \sup_{x, x+h \in [0, l+1]} |f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)|,$$

where $\delta > 0$. By [20], it is known that for $A > 0$

$$K(f, \delta) \leq A\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}).$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $f \in C[0, l + 1]$ and $0 < q_m < p_m \leq 1$. Then we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a positive constant M such that,

$$|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M\omega_2(f, \alpha_m(x)) + \omega(f, \beta_m(x)),$$

where

$$\alpha_m(x) = \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) + \frac{x^2}{2} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right)^2} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\beta_m(x) = \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right) x. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Define an auxiliary operator $L_{m,l}^*(f; x) : C[0, l + 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ by

$$L_{m,l}^*(f; x) = L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f\left(\frac{[m + l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x\right) + f(x). \tag{25}$$

From Lemma (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^*(1; x) &= 1, \\ L_{m,l}^*(t - x; x) &= L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t - x); x) - \left(\frac{[m + l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x - x\right) + x - x \\ &= \left(\frac{[m + l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1\right)x - \frac{[m + l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x + x + x - x \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

This means that the operators $L_{m,l}^*(f; x)$ are linear. For a given function $g \in C^2[0, l + 1]$, we have by the Taylor expansion that

$$g(t) = g(x) + (t - x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{27}$$

Applying $L_{m,l}^*$ operator to both sides of the equation (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^*(g; x) &= L_{m,l}^*\left(g(x) + (t - x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right) \\ &= g(x) + L_{m,l}^*((t - x)g'(x); x) + L_{m,l}^*\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$L_{m,l}^*(g; x) - g(x) = g'(x)L_{m,l}^*((t - x); x) + L_{m,l}^*\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right).$$

Using (25) and (26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,l}^*(g; x) - g(x) &= L_{m,l}^*\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right) \\ &= L_{m,l}^{p,q}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du\right) - \int_x^{\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x} \left(\frac{[m + l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x - u\right)g''(u)du \\ &\quad + \int_x^x \left(\frac{[m + l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x - u\right)g''(u)du. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du \right| &\leq \int_x^t |t - u||g''(u)|du \\ &\leq \|g''\| \int_x^t |t - u|du \leq (t - x)^2 \|g''\| \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_x^{\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x - u \right) g''(u) du \right| &\leq \|g''\| \int_x^{\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x - u \right) du \\
 &= \|g''\| \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}^2 x^2}{2[m]_{p,q}^2} - \frac{[m+l]_{p,q}x^2}{[m]_{p,q}} + \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\|g''\|x^2}{2} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right)^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

When we rewrite (29) and (30) in the absolute value of (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_{m,l}^*(g; x) - g(x)| &\leq \|g''\| L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) + \frac{\|g''\|x^2}{2} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right)^2 \\
 &= \|g''\| \left(L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) + \frac{x^2}{2} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right)^2 \right) \\
 &= \|g''\| \alpha_m^2(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_m(x) = \sqrt{L_{m,l}^{p,q}((t-x)^2; x) + \frac{x^2}{2} \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right)^2}.$$

Currently, we will find a bound for the auxiliary operator $L_{m,l}^*(f; x)$. In the light of the Lemma (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_{m,l}^*(f; x)| &= |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f\left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x\right) + f(x)| \\
 &\leq |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x)| + |f\left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x\right) - f(x)| \\
 &\leq 3\|f\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| &= \left| L_{m,l}^*(f; x) - f(x) + f\left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x\right) - f(x) \mp g(x) \mp L_{m,l}^*(g; x) \right| \\
 &\leq |L_{m,l}^*(f - g; x) - (f - g)(x)| + |L_{m,l}^*(g; x) - g(x)| \\
 &\quad + \left| f\left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}}x\right) - f(x) \right| \\
 &\leq 4\|f - g\| + \|g''\| \alpha_m^2(x) + \omega\left(f, \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1\right)x\right) \\
 &\leq 4(\|f - g\| + \|g''\| \alpha_m^2(x)) + \omega(f, \beta_m(x)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where

$$\beta_m(x) = \left(\frac{[m+l]_{p,q}}{[m]_{p,q}} - 1 \right) x. \tag{32}$$

Finally, for all $g \in C^2[0, l + 1]$ take the infimum of the equation (31). We get

$$|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 4K(f, \alpha_m^2(x)) + \omega(f, \beta_m(x)). \tag{33}$$

As a result, using the property of Peetre's K-functional, we obtain

$$|L_{m,l}^{p,q}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M\omega_2(f, \alpha_m(x)) + \omega(f, \beta_m(x)). \quad (34)$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş-Schurer operators by using (p, q) -integers. (p, q) -analogue of Lupaş-Schurer operators has an advantage to generate positive linear operators for all $p > 0$ and $q > 0$ whereas (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein-Schurer operators [5] generates positive linear operators only if $0 < q < p \leq 1$. We obtained some approximation properties of the constructed operators and dealt with the rate of convergence by using modulus of continuity, with the help of functions of Lipschitz class and Peetre's K-functionals.

References

- [1] T. Acar, A. Aral and S. A. Mohiuddine, On Kantorovich modifications of (p, q) -Baskakov operators, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, (2016) 2016:98.
- [2] S.N. Bernstein, Demonstration du theoreme de Weierstrass fondee sur le caucul des probabilités, *Communications of the Kharkov Mathematical Society*, 13 (1912), 1-2.
- [3] A. Lupaş, A q -analogue of the Bernstein operator, in *Seminar on Numerical and Statistical Calculus (Cluj-Napoca)*, Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai". 9 (1987) 85-92.
- [4] M.Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari, Asif Khan, On (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein Operators, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 266 (2015) 874-882, (Erratum: *Appl. Math. Comput.* 266 (2015) 874-882).
- [5] M.Mursaleen, M.Nasiruzzaman, A. Nurgali, Some approximation results on Bernstein-Schurer operators defined by (p, q) -integers, *Journal of Inequal. Appl.* (2015) 2015: 249.
- [6] M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari and Asif Khan, Some Approximation Results by (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein-Stancu Operators, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 264, (2015), 392-402.
- [7] M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman and A. Nurgali, Some approximation results on Bernstein-Schurer operators defined by (p, q) -integers, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, 2015 (2015): 249.
- [8] M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman, Asif Khan and K. J. Ansari, Some approximation results on Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn operators defined by (p, q) -integers, *Filomat* 30:3 (2016), 639-648, DOI 10.2298/FIL1603639M.
- [9] M. Mursaleen, F. Khan and Asif Khan, Approximation by (p, q) -Lorentz polynomials on a compact disk, *Complex Anal. Oper.Theory* 10 (2016) 1725-1740.
- [10] M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman, Faisal Khan and Asif Khan, On (p, q) -analogue of divided difference and Bernstein operators, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* 2017, Article ID 25, <https://doi.org/10.23952/jnfa.2017.25>.
- [11] M Mursaleen, A.M. Sarsenbi and T. Khan, On (p, q) -analogue of two parametric Stancu-Beta operators, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, (2016) 2016:190.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, Khursheed J. Ansari and Asif Khan, Some approximation results for Bernstein-Kantorovich operators based on (p, q) -calculus, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A*, 78(4) (2016) 129-142.
- [13] Khalid Khan, D.K. Lobiyal, Bezier curves based on Lupaş (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein functions in CAGD, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics Volume 317* (2017) 458-477.
- [14] F. Schurer, Linear Positive Operators in Approximation Theory, *Math. Inst., Techn. Univ. Delf Report* (1962).
- [15] Mahouton Norbert Hounkonnou, Joseph Dsir Bukweli Kyemba, *R (p, q) -calculus: differentiation and integration*, *SUT J. Math.* 49 (2) (2013) 145-167.
- [16] A. Wafi, N. Rao, Bivariate-Schurer-Stancu Operators based on (p, q) -integers, *Filomat*, 2017 (IN EDITING).
- [17] A. Wafi, N. Rao, (p, q) -Bivariate-Bernstein-Chlodowsky Operators, *Filomat*, 2017 (IN EDITING).
- [18] N. Rao, A. Wafi, Stancu-Variant of Generalized Baskakov Operators, *Filomat*, 2017, 31 (9), 2625-2632.
- [19] G. M. Phillips, Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers, *Ann. Numer. Math.* 4(1-4) (1997) 511-518.
- [20] DeVore, RA., Lorentz, G.G. (1993). *Constructive Approximation*. Springer, Berlin, 177.