



## Fixed Point of Single-Valued Cyclic Weak $\varphi_F$ -Contraction Mappings

Sirous Moradi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, Arak 38156-8-8349, Iran.

**Abstract.** Fixed point results are presented for single-valued cyclic weakly  $\varphi_F$ -contractive mappings on complete metric spaces  $(X, d)$ , where  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a function with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ ,  $\varphi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\varphi(t_n) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ , and  $F : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous with  $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and  $F(t_n) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ . Our results extend previous results given by Rhoades (2001)[20], Moradi and Beiranvand (2010)[13], Amini-Harandi (2010)[2] and Karapinar (2011)[11].

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a  $\varphi$ -weak contraction if there exists a map  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The concept of the  $\varphi$ -weak contraction was defined by Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [1] in 1977. Rhoades [20, Theorem 2] proved the following fixed point theorem for  $\varphi$ -weak contraction single-valued mappings, giving another generalization of the Banach contraction principle.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) \quad (2)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$  (i.e. it is  $\varphi$ -weakly contractive), where  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a continuous and nondecreasing function with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ . Then,  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

By choosing  $\psi(t) = t - \varphi(t)$ ,  $\varphi$ -weak contractions become mappings of Boyd and Wong type [4], and on defining  $k(t) = \frac{1-\varphi(t)}{t}$  for  $t > 0$  and  $k(0) = 0$ , then  $\varphi$ -weak contractions become mappings of Reich [21]. In fixed point theory,  $\varphi$ -weak contraction has been studied by many authors, see for example [6], [11]-[18], [22, 23], and the references therein.

In (2010) Amini-Harandi [2] proved the following theorem on the existence of a fixed point for a single-valued mapping.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10; 54C60

Keywords. Single-valued mapping, Cyclic weak  $\varphi_F$ -contraction, Complete metric space.

Received: 18 April 2013; Accepted: 20 January 2015

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: s-moradi@araku.ac.ir, sirousmoradi@gmail.com (Sirous Moradi)

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) \quad (3)$$

for each  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is upper semicontinuous,  $\psi(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$  and satisfies  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \psi(t)) > 0$ . Then,  $T$  has a fixed point.

In (2010) Păcurar [19] presented the following definitions.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set,  $m$  a positive integer and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. By definition,  $X = \cup_{i=1}^m X_i$  is a cyclic representation on  $X$  with respect to  $T$  if

- (1)  $X_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  are non-empty sets;
- (2)  $T(X_1) \subseteq X_2, T(X_2) \subseteq X_3, \dots, T(X_{m-1}) \subseteq X_m, T(X_m) \subseteq X_1$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $m$  a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  and  $Y = \cup_{i=1}^m A_i$ . An operator  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  is called a cyclic weak  $\varphi$ -contraction if

- (1)  $\cup_{i=1}^m A_i$  is a cyclic representation of  $Y$  with respect to  $T$ , and
- (2) there exists a continuous, non-decreasing function  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) \quad (4)$$

for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ .

Recently, Karapinar [11] proved the following theorem on the existence of fixed point for cyclic weak  $\varphi$ -contraction mappings.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  and  $Y = \cup_{i=1}^m A_i$ . Let  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a cyclic weak  $\phi$ -contractive mapping, where  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\phi(t) > 0$  is a continuous function for  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ , and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in \cap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

There are another results on the existence of fixed point for cyclic mappings, see for example [3], [7], [8], [9] and [10].

In Section 3, we extend Rhoades, Moradi and Beiranvand, Amini-Harandi and Karapinar' results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this work,  $(X, d)$  denote a complete metric space. We introduce the notation  $\mathcal{F}$  for all continuous mappings  $F : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ , and satisfies the following condition:

$$F(t_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ implies } t_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

Let  $\Psi$  be the class of all nondecreasing mapping  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\psi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and  $\psi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Also we introduce the notation  $\Phi$  for all mappings  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and  $\varphi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  and satisfies the following condition:

$$\varphi(t_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ implies } t_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

Obviously  $\Psi \subset \Phi$ . Also, every l.s.c. mapping  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ ,  $\varphi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) > 0$  belong to  $\Phi$ .

At last, suppose  $\Omega$  be the class of all mappings  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and satisfies the following condition:

**"for every interval  $[a, b] \subset (0, +\infty)$ , there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $t - \varphi(t) \leq \alpha t$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ ."**

In Section 3 we show that  $\Phi \subset \Omega$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $m$  a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ . An operator  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  is called a cyclic weak  $\varphi_F$ -contraction if

- (1)  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  is a cyclic representation of  $Y$  with respect to  $T$ , and
- (2) there exist two mappings  $\varphi, F : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $F^{-1}(0) = \varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and  $\varphi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  such that

$$F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)) - \varphi(F(d(x, y))) \quad (7)$$

for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ .

### 3. Main Results

At first we prove the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . Then for every closed interval  $[a, b] \subset (0, +\infty)$  there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$t - \varphi(t) \leq \alpha t \quad (8)$$

for all  $t \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $t \in [a, b]$  such that  $t - \varphi(t) > \alpha t$ . Hence for a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 1$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [a, b]$  such that  $t_n - \varphi(t_n) > \alpha_n t_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $0 \leq \varphi(t_n) < (1 - \alpha_n)t_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 1$  and  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [a, b]$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)t_n = 0$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t_n) = 0$ . Since  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$  and this is a contradiction.  $\square$

The following theorem extends Rhoades [20], Amini-Harandi [2], Karapinar [11], Moradi and Beiranvand [13] and Branciari's results [5].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ . Suppose that  $\varphi \in \Omega$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a cyclic weak  $\varphi_F$ -contractive mapping. Then,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 \in Y$ , and set  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We may assume that  $x_1 \in A_1$ . Notice that for any  $n$ , there exists  $i_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $x_n \in A_{i_n}$  and  $x_{n+1} \in A_{i_n+1}$ . So  $x_1 \in A_1, x_2 \in A_2, \dots, x_m \in A_m, x_{m+1} \in A_1, x_{m+2} \in A_2, \dots, x_{2m} \in A_m, x_{2m+1} \in A_1, \dots$ .

At first we show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$ . Using (6), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$F(d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n+1}, x_n)) - \varphi(F(d(x_{n+1}, x_n))). \quad (9)$$

So the sequence  $\{F(d(x_{n+1}, x_n))\}$  is monotone nonincreasing and bounded below. Hence, there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_{n+1}, x_n)) = r. \quad (10)$$

If  $r > 0$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $r - \varepsilon > 0$ . From (10), there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_0$ ,  $F(d(x_{n+1}, x_n)) \in [r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ . Since  $\varphi \in \Omega$ , there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$t - \varphi(t) \leq \alpha t \quad (11)$$

for all  $t \in [r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ . Hence for all  $n \geq N_0$ , from (9)

$$F(d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})) \leq \alpha F(d(x_{n+1}, x_n)). \quad (12)$$

Since  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (12) we get  $F(r) \leq \alpha F(r)$ . Since  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $F(r) = 0$  and hence  $r = 0$ . So from  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and (10) we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Using triangular inequality and above inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+l}, x_n) = 0, \quad (14)$$

for all  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

Now we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is not Cauchy. So there exists  $a > 0$  and sequence  $\{n(k)\}$  such that  $n(k+1) > n(k)$  is minimal in the sense that  $d(x_{n(k+1)}, x_{n(k)}) > a$ . Obviously,  $n(k) \geq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using (13), there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq N_0$ ,  $d(x_{k+1}, x_k) > \frac{a}{3}$ . So for all  $k \geq N_0$ ,  $n(k+1) - n(k) \geq 2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a &< d(x_{n(k+1)}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d(x_{n(k+1)}, x_{n(k+1)-1}) + d(x_{n(k+1)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d(x_{n(k+1)}, x_{n(k+1)-1}) + a. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k+1)}, x_{n(k)}) = a. \quad (16)$$

Suppose that  $m(1) = n(1)$ ,  $m(2) = n(2) + l_2$ , where  $l_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that  $m(2) \equiv m(1) + 1 \pmod{m}$ ,  $m(3) = n(3)$ ,  $m(4) = n(4) + l_4$ , where  $l_4 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that  $m(4) \equiv m(3) + 1 \pmod{m}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $m(2k-1) = n(2k-1)$ ,  $m(2k) = n(2k) + l_{2k}$ , where  $l_{2k} \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that  $m(2k) \equiv m(2k-1) + 1 \pmod{m}$  and  $\dots$ . For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\leq d(x_{n(2k)}, x_{n(2k-1)}) \\ &\leq d(x_{n(2k)}, x_{n(2k)+l_{2k}}) + d(x_{n(2k)+l_{2k}}, x_{n(2k-1)}) \\ &= d(x_{n(2k)}, x_{n(2k)+l_{2k}}) + d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)}) \\ &\leq 2d(x_{n(2k)}, x_{n(2k)+l_{2k}}) + d(x_{n(2k)}, x_{n(2k-1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using (14), (16) and above inequality, we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)}) = a. \quad (18)$$

Since  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and (18) holds, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)})) = F(a). \quad (19)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)}) &\leq d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k)-1}) + d(x_{m(2k)-1}, x_{m(2k-1)-1}) + d(x_{m(2k-1)-1}, x_{m(2k-1)}) \\ &\leq 2d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k)-1}) + d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)}) + 2d(x_{m(2k-1)-1}, x_{m(2k-1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

From (13), (18) and above inequality

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(2k)-1}, x_{m(2k-1)-1}) = a. \quad (21)$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_{m(2k)-1}, x_{m(2k-1)-1})) = F(a). \quad (22)$$

From (6) and  $m(2k) \equiv m(2k-1) + 1 \pmod{m}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$F(d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)})) \leq F(d(x_{m(2k-1)}, x_{m(2k-1)-1})) - \varphi(F(d(x_{m(2k-1)}, x_{m(2k-1)-1}))). \quad (23)$$

If  $F(a) > 0$  then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $F(a) - \varepsilon > 0$ . From (19) and (20), there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_0$ ,  $F(d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)})), F(d(x_{m(2k-1)}, x_{m(2k-1)-1})) \in [F(a) - \varepsilon, F(a) + \varepsilon]$ . Since  $\varphi \in \Omega$ , there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$t - \varphi(t) \leq \alpha t \quad (24)$$

for all  $t \in [F(a) - \varepsilon, F(a) + \varepsilon]$ . Hence for all  $k \geq N_0$ , from (23)

$$F(d(x_{m(2k)}, x_{m(2k-1)})) \leq \alpha F(d(x_{m(2k-1)}, x_{m(2k-1)-1})). \quad (25)$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, we get,  $F(a) \leq \alpha F(a)$ . Since  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $F(a) = 0$  and hence  $a = 0$  and this is a contradiction.

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

Since  $(X, d)$  is complete and  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . From  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{nm+i} = x$ ,  $\{x_{nm+i} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A_i$  and  $A_i$  is closed, we conclude that  $x \in A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Therefore  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from (6) and  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(x_{n+1}, Tx)) &= F(d(Tx_n, Tx)) \\ &\leq F(d(x_n, x)) - \varphi(F(d(x_n, x))) \\ &\leq F(d(x_n, x)). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, we get

$$F(d(x, Tx)) \leq \alpha F(d(x, x)) = 0. \quad (27)$$

Therefore  $F(d(x, Tx)) = 0$ . So  $d(x, Tx) = 0$  and hence,  $Tx = x$ . Thus  $T$  has a fixed point  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ . Uniqueness of the fixed point in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$  follows from (8) and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** By taking  $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_m = X$  and define  $\varphi(t) = t - \psi(t)$ , we can generalized Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping as in Theorem 3.1 and  $F(t) = t$ . Then the fixed point problem for  $T$  is well-posed, that is, if there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, Ty_n) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$  ( $x$  is fixed point of  $T$  in  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ ).

*Proof.* Since  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$  and  $y_n \in Y$ , from (6)

$$d(y_n, x) \leq d(y_n, Ty_n) + d(Ty_n, Tx) \leq d(y_n, Ty_n) + d(y_n, x) - \varphi(d(y_n, x)), \quad (28)$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_n, x)) = 0$ . Since  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x) = 0$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping as in Theorem 3.1 and  $F(t) = t$ . Then  $T$  has the limit shadowing property, that is, if there exists a convergent sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, Ty_n) = 0$ , then there exists  $x \in Y$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, T^n x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$  be the fixed point of  $T$ . With a method similar to that in Theorem 3.3 we can conclude this theorem.  $\square$

The following theorem is a direct result of Theorem 3.2, where extends Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfies

$$F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(F(d(x, y))) \quad (29)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $F \in \Psi$  and  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is upper semi-continuous with  $\psi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  and satisfies  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \psi(t)) > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(t) = t - \psi(t)$  and apply Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank to anonymous referees for valuable suggestions and comments.

## References

- [1] Ya. I. Alber, S. Guerre-Delabriere, Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert space, in: I. Gohberg, Yu. Lyubich(Eds.), *New Results in Operator Theory, Advances and Applications*, 98, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1997) 7–22.
- [2] A. Amini-Harandi, Endpoints of set-valued contractions in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis (TMA)* 72 (2010) 132–134.
- [3] N. Bilgili, I. M. Erhan, E. Karapinar, D. Turkoglu, Cyclic contractions and related fixed point theorems on G-metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences* 8 (2014) No. 4, 1541–1551.
- [4] D. W. Boyd, J. S. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 20 (1969) 458–464.
- [5] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 29 (2002) 531–536.
- [6] P. Z. Daffer, H. Kaneko, Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 192 (1995) 655–666.
- [7] M. De la Sen, E. Karapinar, On a cyclic Jungck modified TS-iterative procedure with application examples, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 233 (2014) 383–397.
- [8] W. Du, E. Karapinar, A note on Caristi type cyclic maps: Related results and applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013:344 (2013) 194–198.
- [9] N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, S. Firouzian, Cyclic  $(\phi)$ -contractions in uniform spaces and related fixed point results, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article ID 976859 (2014) 7 pages.
- [10] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, On cyclic  $(\psi, \phi)$ -contractions in Kaleva-Seikkala’s type fuzzy metric spaces, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* 27 (2014) 2045–2053.
- [11] E. Karapinar, Fixed point theory for cyclic weak  $\phi$ -contraction, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 24 (2011) 822–825.
- [12] E. Karapinar, S. Moradi, Fixed point theory for cyclic generalized  $(\varphi, \phi)$ -contraction mappings, *Annali Dell’ Universita’ Di Ferrara* 59 (2013) 117–125.
- [13] S. Moradi, A. Beiranvand, Fixed point of  $T_F$ -contractive single-valued mappings, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics* 5 (2010) 25–32.
- [14] S. Moradi, E. Analoei, Common fixed point of generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak contraction mappings, *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications* 3 (2012) 24–30.
- [15] S. Moradi, A. Farajzadeh, On the fixed point of  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak and generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak contraction mappings, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 29 (2002) 531–536.
- [16] S. Moradi, Z. Fathi, E. Analouee, Common fixed point of single valued generalized  $\varphi_f$ -weakly contractive mappings, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 24 (2011) 771–776 .
- [17] S. Moradi, F. Khojasteh, Endpoints of multi-valued generalized weak contraction mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis (TMA)* 74 (2011) 2170–2174.
- [18] S. Moradi, F. Khojasteh, Endpoints of  $\varphi$ -weak and generalized  $\varphi$ -weak contractive mappings, *Filomat* 26:4 (2012) 725–732.
- [19] M. Păcurar, I. A. Rus, Fixed point theory for cyclic  $\varphi$ -contraction, *Nonlinear Analysis (TMA)* 72 (2010) 1181–1187.
- [20] B. E. Rhoades, Some theorems on weakly contractive maps, *Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nonlinear Analysis, Part 4 (Catania 2000)*, *Nonlinear Analysis (TMA)* 47 (2001) 2683–2693.
- [21] S. Reich, Some fixed point problems, *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei* 57 (1974) 194198.
- [22] B. D. Rouhani, S. Moradi, Common Fixed Point of Multi-valued Generalized  $\phi$ -Weak Contractive Mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Doi:10.1155/2010/708984 (2010).
- [23] Q. Zhang, Y. Song, Fixed point theory for generalized  $\varphi$ -weak contractions, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 22 (2009) 758–763.