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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existing non-unique fixed points of certain mappings, via simulation functions in context of quasi-metric space. Our main results generalized and unify several existing results on the topic in the literature.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Quasi metric spaces are one of the interesting topics for fixed-point theory researchers because they generalize the concept of metric space by giving up the symmetry condition. For some results on fixed point theorems related to quasi-dimensional spaces, see e.g. [2], [3], [4], [7]. First, we recall some basic concepts and fundamental results.

Definition 1.1. A quasi-metric on a set $X$ is a function $q: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that:
(q1) $q(x, y)=q(y, x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y$;
(q2) $q(x, z) \leq q(x, y)+q(y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.
The pair $(X, q)$ is called a quasi-metric space.
Any metric space is a quasi-metric space, but the converse is not true in general. Now, we give convergence, completeness and continuity on quasi-metric spaces.
Definition 1.2. Let $(X, q)$ be a quasi-metric space, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$, and $x \in X$. The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, x\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.3. In a quasi-metric space $(X, q)$, the limit for a convergent sequence is unique. If $x_{n} \rightarrow x$, we have for all $y \in X$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, y\right)=q(x, y) \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(y, x_{n}\right)=q(y, x)
$$

[^0]Definition 1.4. Let $(X, q)$ be a quasi-metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. We say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is left-Cauchy if and only if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N=N(\epsilon)$ such that $q\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n \geq m>N$.

Definition 1.5. Let $(X, q)$ be a quasi-metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. We say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is right-Cauchy if and only if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N=N(\epsilon)$ such that $q\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $m \geq n>N$.

Definition 1.6. Let $(X, q)$ be a quasi-metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. We say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy if and only if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N=N(\epsilon)$ such that $q\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $m, n>N$.

Remark 1.7. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a quasi-metric space is Cauchy if and only if it is left-Cauchy and right-Cauchy.
Definition 1.8. Let $(X, q)$ be a quasi-metric space. We say that:

1. $(X, q)$ is left-complete if and only if each left-Cauchy sequence in $X$ is convergent.
2. $(X, q)$ is right-complete if and only if each right-Cauchy sequence in $X$ is convergent.
3. $(X, q)$ is complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in $X$ is convergent.

Definition 1.9. Let $(X, q)$ be a quasi-metric space. The map $T: X \rightarrow X$ is continuous if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converging to $x \in X$, the sequence $\left\{T x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $T x$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x_{n}, T x\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x, T x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.10. A function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a comparison function if:
(c1) $\varphi$ is increasing;
(c2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{n}(t)=0$, for $t \in[0, \infty)$.
Proposition 1.11. If $\varphi$ is a comparison function then:
(i) each $\varphi^{k}$ is also a comparison function for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii) $\varphi$ is continuous at 0 ;
(iii) $\varphi(t)<t$ for all $t>0$.

Definition 1.12. A function $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a c-comparison function if:
(cc1) $\psi$ is monotone increasing;
(cc2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi^{n}(t)<\infty$, for all $t \in(0, \infty)$.
We denote by $\Psi$ the family of $c$-comparison functions.
Remark 1.13. If $\psi$ is a $c$-comparison function, then $\psi(t)<t$ for all $t>0$.
Remark 1.14. A c-comparison function is a comparison function.
In order to unify the several existing fixed point results in the literature, [14], Khojasteh et al. introduced the notion of simulation function and investigated the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point of certain mapping via simulation functions.

Definition 1.15. A simulation function is a mapping $\zeta:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:
$\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \zeta(t, s)<s-t$ for all $t, s>0$;
( $\zeta_{2}$ ) if $\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta\left(t_{n}, s_{n}\right)<0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that in [14] there was a superfluous condition $\zeta(0,0)=0$. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ denote the family of all simulation functions $\zeta:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Due to the axiom $\left(\zeta_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(t, t)<0 \text { for all } t>0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following example is derived from [2, 14, 15].
Example 1.16. Let $\phi_{i}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), i=1,2,3$, be continuous functions with $\phi_{i}(t)=0$ if, and only if, $t=0$. For $i=1,2,3,4,5,6$, we define the mappings $\zeta_{i}:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as follows
(i) $\zeta_{1}(t, s)=\phi_{1}(s)-\phi_{2}(t)$ for all $t, s \in[0, \infty)$, where $\phi_{1}(t)<t \leq \phi_{2}(t)$ for all $t>0$.
(ii) $\zeta_{2}(t, s)=s-\frac{f(t, s)}{g(t, s)}$ tfor all $t, s \in[0, \infty)$, where $f, g:[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ are two continuous functions with respect to each variable such that $f(t, s)>g(t, s)$ for all $t, s>0$.
(iii) $\zeta_{3}(t, s)=s-\phi_{3}(s)-t$ for all $t, s \in[0, \infty)$.
(iv) If $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1)$ is a function such that $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow r^{+}} \varphi(t)<1$ for all $r>0$, and we define

$$
\zeta_{4}(t, s)=s \varphi(s)-t \quad \text { for all } s, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

(v) If $\eta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an upper semi-continuous mapping such that $\eta(t)<t$ for all $t>0$ and $\eta(0)=0$, and we define

$$
\zeta_{5}(t, s)=\eta(s)-t \quad \text { for all } s, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

(vi) If $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function such that $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \phi(u) d u$ exists and $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \phi(u) d u>\varepsilon$, for each $\varepsilon>0$, and we define

$$
\zeta_{6}(t, s)=s-\int_{0}^{t} \phi(u) d u \quad \text { for all } s, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

It is clear that each function $\zeta_{i}(i=1,2,3,4,5,6)$ forms a simulation function.
In 2012 Samet et al.[16] introduced the notion of $\alpha$ - admissible mappings, concept which is used frequently in several papers to established various fixed point results.

Definition 1.17. [16] Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function. We say that $T$ is an $\alpha$-admissible if for all $x, y \in X$ we have

$$
\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(T x, T y) \geq 1
$$

## 2. Main results

Definition 2.1. A set $X$ is regular with respect to mapping $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ if, whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \geq 1$ for all $n$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, x\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(x, x_{n(k)}\right) \geq 1$ for all $n$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha$-admissible function and $x_{n}=T x_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geq 1$, then we have

$$
\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \geq 1 \text { and } \alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \geq 1, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

Proof. By assumption, there exists a point $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$. On account of the definition of $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ and owing to the fact that $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible, we derive

$$
\alpha\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha\left(T x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq 1
$$

Recursively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \geq 1, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider now the case where $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geq 1$. By using the same technique as above, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \geq 1, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space and a map $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Suppose that there exist $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}, \psi \in \Psi$ and a self-mapping $T$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\alpha(x, y) q(T x, T y), \psi(q(x, y))) \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x, y \in X$. Suppose also that
(i) $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible;
(ii) there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geq 1$;
(iii) either, $T$ is continuous, or
(iv) $X$ is regular with respect to mapping $\alpha$.

Then, $T$ has a fixed point.
Proof. By (ii), there is $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$. By using this initial point, we define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ by $x_{n+1}=T x_{n}=T^{n} x_{0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $x_{n_{0}}=x_{n_{0}+1}$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $x_{n_{0}}$ is a fixed point of $T$, that is, $T x_{n_{0}}=x_{n_{0}}$. From now, we suppose that $x_{n+1} \neq x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in other words

$$
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)>0 \text { and } q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)>0
$$

By replacing $x=x_{n}$ and $y=x_{n-1}$ in (7) and taking into account (弓1) we find, for all $n \geq 1$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \zeta\left(\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right), \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& <\psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& =\psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) & \leq \alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)  \tag{9}\\
& <q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Recursively, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right), \forall n \geq 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the triangular inequality and (10), for all $k \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we derive that $\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a left-Cauchy sequence in ( $X, d$ ).
Analogously, we deduce that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a right-Cauchy sequence in $(X, d)$.
On account of Remark 1.7, we deduce that the constructed sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in the complete quasi-metric space $(X, q)$. It implies that there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(u, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T$ is continuous, then, by using the property ( $q 1$ ), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x_{n-1}, T u\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, T x_{n-1}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keeping (12) and (15) in the mind together with the uniqueness of a limit, we conclude that $u=T u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point of $T$.

If $X$ is regular with respect to $\alpha$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\alpha\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right) \geq 1$ for all $k$. Applying (7), for all $k$, and taking into account Remark 1.3 we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(T u, x_{n(k)+1}\right)=q\left(T u, T x_{n(k)}\right) \leq \alpha\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right) q\left(T u, T x_{n(k)}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right)\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equality, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(T u, u) \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have $q(T u, u)=0$, that is $T u=u$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space and a map $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Suppose that there exist $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}, \psi \in \Psi$ and a self-mapping $T$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\alpha(x, y) q(T x, T y), \psi(M(x, y))) \geq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x, y \in X$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x, y)=\max \left\{q(x, y), q(T x, x), q(T y, y), \frac{1}{2}[q(T x, y)+q(T y, x)]\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose also that
(i) $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible;
(ii) there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geq 1$;
(iii) either, $T$ is continuous, or
(iv) $X$ is regular with respect to mapping $\alpha$.

Then, $T$ has a fixed point.
Proof. Following the lines in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we find a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ which is built by $x_{n}=T x_{n-1}$. Further, with the same reasoning in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we suppose that $x_{n+1} \neq x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)>0 \text { and } q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)>0 .
$$

Taking the inequality (18) and Lemma 2.2 into account, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \zeta\left(\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right), \psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& <\psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& =\psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

which yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right) \leq \alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) & =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(T x_{n}, x_{n}\right), q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(T x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)+q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}\right)+q\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{22}\\
& \leq \max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is a nondecreasing function, (21) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. We shall examine two cases. Suppose that $q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)>q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)$. Since $q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a contradiction. Therefore, we find that $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)$. Since $\psi \in \Psi$, (23) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Recursively, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (26) and the triangular inequality, for all $k \geq 1$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a left-Cauchy sequence in ( $X, q$ ).
Analogously, we shall prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a right-Cauchy sequence in $(X, q)$. From (18) and Lemma 2.2, we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \zeta\left(\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right), \psi\left(M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& <\psi\left(M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& =\psi\left(M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right) \leq \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) & =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(T x_{n}, x_{n}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)+q\left(T x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)+q\left(T x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{30}\\
& \leq \max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is a nondecreasing function, the inequality (29) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. We shall examine three cases.
Case 1. Suppose that $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$. Since $\psi \in \Psi$, from (30) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Inductively, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right), \forall n \geq 1 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the triangular inequality and taking (33) into consideration, for all $k \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2. Assume that $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)$. Regarding $\psi \in \Psi$ and (31), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. From (18) and Lemma 2.2, we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) & =q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n-2}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right) q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n-2}\right)  \tag{36}\\
& \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right) & =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right), q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(T x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right)+q\left(T x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-2}\right)+q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{37}\\
& \leq \max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is a nondecreasing function, (21) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
We shall examine two cases. Suppose that $q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)>q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right)$. Since $q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a contradiction. Therefore, we find that $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)=q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right)\right.$. Since $\psi \in \Psi$, (38) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Recursively, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi^{n-1}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1 . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we combine the inequalities (35) with (41), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi^{n-1}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (42) and the triangular inequality, for all $k \geq 1$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}\right) \\
& <q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p-1}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{43}\\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p-1}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3. Assume that $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)$. Since $q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, as in the previous case, we get that $q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by (34) and (43), we conclude that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a right-Cauchy sequence in $(X, q)$.

From Remark 1.7, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete quasi-metric space $(X, q)$. This implies that there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(u, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using the continuity of $T$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x_{n-1}, T u\right)=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, T x_{n-1}\right)=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (45) and (48) that $u=T u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point of $T$.
Now, suppose that $X$ is regular with respect to $\alpha$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\alpha\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right) \geq 1$ for all $k$. Applying (18), for all $k$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(T u, x_{n(k)+1}\right)=q\left(T u, T x_{n(k)}\right) \leq \alpha\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right) q\left(T u, T x_{n(k)}\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right)\right)<M\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right), \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right)=\max \left\{q\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right), q(T u, u), q\left(T x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(T u, x_{n(k)}\right)+q\left(T x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right]\right\} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(T u, x_{n(k)+1}\right)<\max \left\{q\left(u, x_{n(k)}\right), q(T u, u), q\left(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(T u, x_{n(k)}\right)+q\left(x_{n(k)+1}, u\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equality, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(T u, u)<q(T u, u) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we have $q(T u, u)=0$, that is $T u=u$.
Example 2.5. Let $X=[0, \infty)$ be equipped with a quasi-metric $q: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$such that $q(x, y)=\max \{x-y, 0\}$. Consider the self mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
T x=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{x}{8} & \text { if } x \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
1-x & \text { if } x \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \\
\frac{x^{2}+8}{3} & \text { if } x \in(1, \infty)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and functions $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$, defined by $\zeta(s, t)=\frac{1}{2} s-t$, respectively $\psi \in \Psi, \psi(t)=\frac{t}{3}$. We define $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$such that

$$
\alpha(x, y)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }(x, y) \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 2 & \text { if }(x, y)=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Notice that the self-mapping $T$ is not continuous at $x=\frac{1}{2}$ and $x=1$. We have to consider the following cases:
(a) If $0 \leq x<y<\frac{1}{2}$ because $q(T x, T y)=q\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{y}{8}\right)=0$, inequality (18) becomes

$$
0=\alpha(x, y) q(T x, T y) \leq \frac{\psi(M(x, y))}{2}
$$

which is obviously true for any function $\psi \in \Psi$.
(b) For $0 \leq \frac{y}{8} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{8}<x<\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$
M(x, y)=\max \left\{q(x, y), q\left(\frac{x}{8}, x\right), q\left(\frac{y}{8}, y\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(\frac{x}{8}, y\right)+q\left(\frac{y}{8}, x\right)\right]\right\}=\max \left\{x-y, 0,0, \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x}{8}-y\right)\right\}=x-y
$$

and $q(T x, T y)=q\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{y}{8}\right)=\frac{x}{8}-\frac{y}{8}$. Taking into account the properties of the function $\zeta$, we get

$$
\alpha(x, y) q(T x, T y)=\frac{x-y}{8}<\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x-y}{3}=\frac{1}{2} \psi(M(x, y)) .
$$

(c) For $0 \leq \frac{y}{8} \leq \frac{x}{8} \leq y \leq x<\frac{1}{2}$

$$
M(x, y)=\max \left\{q(x, y), q\left(\frac{x}{8}, x\right), q\left(\frac{y}{8}, y\right), \frac{1}{2}\left[q\left(\frac{x}{8}, y\right)+q\left(\frac{y}{8}, x\right)\right]\right\}=\max \{x-y, 0,0,0\}=x-y
$$

and $q(T x, T y)=q\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{y}{8}\right)=\frac{x}{8}-\frac{y}{8}$. So,

$$
\alpha(x, y) q(T x, T y)=\frac{x-y}{8}<\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x-y}{3}=\frac{1}{2} \psi(M(x, y))
$$

(d) If $x \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $y=\frac{1}{2}$ we have $q\left(T x, T \frac{1}{2}\right)=q\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=0$ and $M\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)$, and (18) is true.
(e) For $x=y=\frac{1}{2}$, we get $q\left(T \frac{1}{2}, T \frac{1}{2}\right)=q\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=0$ and $M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=0$, so, also, (18) is fulfilled. Notice that for any other possibilities, the result is provided easily from the fact that $\alpha(x, y)=0$. Let us check that $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible. From the definition of function $\alpha$, for any $(x, y) \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\alpha(x, y)=1 \Rightarrow \alpha(T x, T y)=1
$$

and for $x=y=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha\left(T \frac{1}{2}, T \frac{1}{2}\right)=\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=2 \geq 1 .
$$

Thus, the first condition (i) of Theorem (2.4) is satisfied. The second condition (ii) of Theorem is also fulfilled. Indeed, for $x_{0}=0$, we have $\alpha(0, T 0)=\alpha(0, T 0)=\alpha(0,0)=1 \geq 1$. It is also easy to see that $(X, q)$ is regular. Indeed let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ such that for all $n$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \geq 1$ for all $n$, by the definition of $\alpha$, we have $x_{n} \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for all $n$ and $x \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then,

$$
\alpha\left(x_{n}, x\right)=1 \geq 1
$$

If $x=\frac{1}{2}$, then $x_{n}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha\left(x_{n}, x\right)=2 \geq 1$. It is clear that $T$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem (2.4) for any choice of $\zeta \in S$ and $T$ has two distinct fixed points, namely, $x=0$ and $x=\frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space and a map $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Suppose that there exist $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}, \psi \in \Psi$ and a self-mapping $T$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\Gamma(x, y), \psi(q(x, y))) \geq 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x, y \in X$, where

$$
\Gamma(x, y)=\alpha(x, y)[\min \{q(T x, T y), q(x, T x), q(y, T y)\}-\min \{q(T y, x), q(T x, y)\}]
$$

Suppose also that
(i) $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible;
(ii) there is a constant $C>1$ such that $\frac{1}{C} q(x, y) \leq q(y, x) \leq C q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
(iii) there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geq 1$;
(iv) either, $T$ is continuous, or
(iv') $X$ is regular with respect to mapping $\alpha$.
Then for each $x_{0} \in X$ the sequence $\left(T^{n} x_{0}\right)$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.
Proof. By verbatim of the first lines in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we get a constructive sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$. Further, with the same reasoning in the proof of Theorem 2.3 , we suppose that $x_{n+1} \neq x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)>0 \text { and } q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)>0 .
$$

Taking the inequality (52), the axiom $\left(\zeta_{1}\right)$ and Lemma 2.2 into account, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \zeta\left(\Gamma\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)<\psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)-\Gamma\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. In conclusion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)= & \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\left[\min \left\{q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right\}-\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\min \left\{q\left(T x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(T x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right] \\
= & \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\left[\min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}-\right.  \tag{55}\\
& \left.\quad-\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right] \\
= & \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, together with (55) and (5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} \leq \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand the inequality (56), we consider two cases. For the first case, we suppose that min $\left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=$ $q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$. Since $\psi(t)<t$ for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ and thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying recurrently Remark 1.13 we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<\ldots<\psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) .\right. \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is right-Cauchy sequence. Together with (58) and the triangular inequality, for all $k \geq 1$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} q\left(x_{p}, x_{p+1}\right) \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right.  \tag{59}\\
& =\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right.
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is right-Cauchy in $(X, q)$. Analogously, we shall prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is left-Cauchy in $(X, q)$. If substitute $x=x_{n}$ and $y=x_{n-1}$ in (52), we get

$$
\Gamma\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)
$$

or, using Lemma (2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall examine three cases:
Case 1. Obviously, if $\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)$. Since $\psi \in \Psi$, inequality (60) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Recursively, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right) \leq \ldots \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1\right. \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (62) and the triangular inequality, we get, for all $k \geq 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}\right)+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{63}\\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a left-Cauchy sequence in $(X, q)$.
Case 2. If $\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ then (60) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, by (ii), there is a constant $C>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq C q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the (58) and (59) we get, we conclude that it is left Cauchy.
Case 3. If $\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ then we conclude that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is left Cauchy by the same reasons in Case 2.

By Remark (1.7), we deduce that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete quasi-metric space $(X, q)$. It implies that there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(u, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove that $T u=u$. Since, from (iv), $T$ is continuous, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x_{n-1}, T u\right)=0 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, T x_{n-1}\right)=0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x_{n}, u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(u, T x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (66), (69) and together with the uniqueness of the limit, we conclude that $u=T u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point of $T$. Next, we will show that $u$ is the fixed point of $T$ using the alternative hypothesis ( $i v^{\prime}$ ). Then, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right) \geq 1$ for all $k$. Substituting $x=x_{n(k)}$ and $y=u$ in (52) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(\Gamma\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right), \psi\left(q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right)\right) \geq 0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalent $\left.\Gamma\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right)\right)$. We have,

$$
\begin{align*}
\min & \left\{q\left(T x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n(k)}\right), q(u, T u)\right\}-\min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(T x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\left[\min \left\{q\left(T x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(x_{n(k)}, T x_{n(k)}\right), q(u, T u)\right\}-\right.  \tag{71}\\
& \left.-\min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(T x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right\}\right] \\
& \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\min & \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)+1}, T u\right), q\left(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}\right), q(u, T u)\right\}-\min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(x_{n(k)+1}, u\right)\right\}  \tag{72}\\
& \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and using Remark (1.13), respectively (66)we obtain

$$
q(u, T u)<0
$$

It is a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that $u=T u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point of $T$.
On account of the condition ( $\zeta 2$ ) and taking $\alpha(x, y)=1$ in Theorem (2.6), we get the following result:
Theorem 2.7. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space, such that the condition (ii) from Theorem (2.6) is satisfied. Let a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and a map $T: X \rightarrow X$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{q(T x, T y), q(x, T x), q(y, T y)\}-\min \{q(x, T y), q(T x, y)\} \leq \psi(q(x, y)) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $x \in X$ the sequence $\left(T^{n} x\right)$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.
Corollary 2.8. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space, $k \in[0,1)$ and a map $T: X \rightarrow X$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{q(T x, T y), q(x, T x), q(y, T y)\}-\min \{q(x, T y), q(T x, y)\} \leq k \cdot q(x, y)) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $x \in X$ the sequence $\left(T^{n} x\right)$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.
Proof. It is sufficient to take $\psi(t)=k t$, where $k \in[0,1)$, in Theorem (2.7).
Example 2.9. Let $X=A \cup B$ where $A=\{a, b, c, d\}$ and $B=[1,2]$. Consider the self mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
T x= \begin{cases}a & \text { if } x \in\{a, b\} \cup B \\ d & \text { if } x \in\{c, d\}\end{cases}
$$

Define a quasi-metric $q: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$as

$$
q(x, y)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{16} & \text { if }(x, y)=(a, c) \\
\frac{1}{6} & \text { if }(x, y)=(c, a), \\
\frac{1}{8} & \text { if }(x, y) \in\{(a, d),(d, a),(b, d),(d, b),(c, d),(d, c)\} \\
\frac{1}{4} & \text { if }(x, y) \in\{(a, b),(b, a),(b, c),(c, b)\} \cup A \times B \cup B \times A \\
\frac{|x-y|}{2} & \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Define $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$such that

$$
\alpha(x, y)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if }(x, y) \in\{(a, c),(c, a),(a, d),(d, a),(a, a),(d, d),(a, b),(b, a),(c, d),(d, c)\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let us first notice that, from $T a=a, T d=d$, we get that $q(a, T a)=q(a, a)=0, q(d, T d)=q(d, d)=0$ and

$$
\Gamma(x, y)=\alpha(x, y)[\min \{q(T x, T y), q(x, T x), q(y, T y)\}-\min \{q(T y, x), q(T x, y)\}] \leq 0
$$

for any $(x, y) \in A_{1}=\{(a, c),(c, a),(a, d),(d, a),(a, a),(d, d),(a, b),(b, a),(c, d),(d, c)\}$. Then, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \zeta(\Gamma(x, y), \psi(q(x, y)))<\psi(q(x, y)-\Gamma(x, y) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled trivially for $(x, y) \in A_{1}$ and for any choice of $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$. Now, it is easy to get that $T$ is $\alpha-$ admissible, because when $x \in A$ we have that $T x \in\{a, d\}$. Hence,

$$
\alpha(x, y)=2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(T x, T y)=2 \geq 1
$$

for any $(x, y) \in A_{1}$. Thus, the condition (i) from Theorem (2.6) is satisfied. From the definition of the quasi-metric $q$, condition (ii) holds for any $C>1$ and $(x, y)$ except $(a, c)$ and $(c, a)$. Let's check for these two cases. For $C=4$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{16}=\frac{1}{4} \cdot q(a, c) \leq \frac{1}{6} \leq 4 \cdot \frac{1}{16}=4 \cdot q(a, c)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{6}=\frac{1}{4} \cdot q(a, c) \leq \frac{1}{16} \leq 4 \cdot \frac{1}{6}=4 \cdot q(a, c) .
$$

The condition (iii) is also satisfied. Indeed, for any $x_{0} \in A$, we have $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)=2 \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right)=2 \geq 1$. It is also easy to see that $(X, q)$ is regular, because, whatever the initial $x_{0} \in\{a, b\}$ chosen, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ tends to a, and

$$
\alpha(a, b) \geq 1, \alpha(b, a) \geq 1 \text { and } \alpha(a, a) \geq 1
$$

Analoguosly, if $x_{0} \in\{c, d\}$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ tends to $d$, and

$$
\alpha(c, d) \geq 1, \alpha(d, c) \geq 1 \text { and } \alpha(d, d) \geq 1
$$

Thus, all conditions of Theorem (2.6) are provided. Notice that $T a=a$ and $T d=d$ are the fixed points of $T$.
Theorem 2.10. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete quasi-metric space and a map $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Suppose that there exist $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}, \psi \in \Psi, a \geq 0$ and $a$ self-mapping $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(P(x, y), \psi(S(x, y))) \geq 0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x, y \in X$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x, y)=\alpha(x, y)(K(x, y)-a \cdot Q(x, y)), \\
& K(x, y)=\min \{q(T x, T y), q(y, T y)\}, \\
& Q(x, y)=\min \{q(x, T y), q(y, T x)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S(x, y)=\max \{q(x, y), q(x, T x), q(y, T y)\} .
$$

## Suppose also that

(i) $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible;
(ii) there is a constant $C>1$ such that $\frac{1}{C} q(x, y) \leq q(y, x) \leq C q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
(iii) there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right) \geq 1$ and $\alpha\left(T x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geq 1$;
(iv) either, $T$ is continuous, or
(iv') $X$ is regular with respect to mapping $\alpha$.
Then for each $x_{0} \in X$ the sequence $\left(T^{n} x_{0}\right)$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.

Proof. For an arbitrary $x \in X$, we shall construct an iterative sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}:=x \text { and } x_{n}=T x_{n-1} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that
$x_{n} \neq x_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Indeed, if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the inequality $x_{n}=T x_{n-1}=x_{n-1}$, then, the proof is completed.
By substituting $x=x_{n-1}$ and $y=x_{n}$ in the inequality (76), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \zeta\left(P\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), \psi\left(S\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)<\psi\left(S\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)-P\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(S\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{q\left(T x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right\}=\min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \\
& Q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right\}=\min \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=0 \\
& P\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\left[K\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)-a \cdot Q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right]=\alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) & =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking Lemma (2.2) into account, the inequality (80) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \alpha\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi(t)<t$ for all $t>0$, in the case of $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$, inequality (81) turns into

$$
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, inequality (81) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, recursively

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following we shall prove that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is right-Cauchy. By using the triangle inequality, for all $k \geq 1$ we get the following approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k}\right) \\
& \leq q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}\right) . \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (83) and (84) we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) & \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)+\psi^{n+1} q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\ldots+\psi^{n+k-1}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{85}\\
& \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we derive that $\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is right-Cauchy in ( $X, q$ ). Analogously, we shall prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a left-Cauchy sequence in $(X, q)$. For $x=x_{n}$ and $y=x_{n-1}$, together with Lemma (2.2) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(P\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), \psi\left(S\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right) \geq 0 \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalent, using (弓1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq \psi\left(S\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)=\min \left\{q\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right\}=\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
Q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) & =\min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n}\right)\right\}=\min \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=0
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
P\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) & =\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\left[K\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)-a \cdot Q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right. & =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi$ is a nondecreasing function, (87) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} & \leq \alpha\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right)  \tag{88}\\
& <\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall examine two cases:
Case 1. If $\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1.a.) If $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)$, then (89) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)<\psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<\ldots<\psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the triangular inequality, for all $k \geq 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}\right)+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \psi^{n}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)+\ldots+\psi^{n+k-1}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{p=n}^{n+k-1} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right)<\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \psi^{p}\left(q\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which proves that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is left Cauchy.
(1.b.) If $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ then, from Remark 1.14 inequality (89) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering triangular ineguality, together with (92), for any $k \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n}\right) & \leq q\left(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}\right)+q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& <q\left(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}\right)+q\left(x_{n+k-2}, x_{n+k-1}\right)+\ldots+q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) . \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (83) and (85) we conclude that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is left Cauchy.
(1.c.) If $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ then, from Remark 1.14 inequality (89) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (83) and like above we can show also, that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is left Cauchy.
Case 2. If $\min \left\{q\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.a.) If $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)$, then (95) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by (ii) we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right) \leq C q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>1$. By using the (58) and (59) we get, we conclude that it is left Cauchy.
(2.b.) If $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$, then (95) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (83) and since $\psi \in \Psi$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
(2.c.) If $\max \left\{q\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right), q\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right\}=q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$, since $\psi(t)<t$ for all $t \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \leq \psi\left(q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<q\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n} .\right) \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Using Remark 1.7, we deduce that $x_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete quasi-metric space $(X, q)$. It implies that there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(u, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the property (iv), (the continuity of T) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T x_{n-1}, T u\right)=0 \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, T x_{n-1}\right)=0 \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(T u, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(x_{n}, T u\right)=0 \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (101) and (104), $T u=u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point of $T$.
If $X$ is regular with respect to $\alpha$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right) \geq 1$ for all $k$. Substituting $x=x_{n(k)}$ and $y=u$ in (76) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(P\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right), \psi\left(S\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right)\right) \geq 0 \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right) & =\alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\left[K\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)-a \cdot Q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\right] \\
& =\alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\left[\min \left\{q\left(T x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q(u, T u)\right\}-a \cdot \min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(u, T x_{n(k)}\right)\right\}\right] . \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is a nondecreasing function, the inequality (87) turns into

$$
\begin{align*}
\min & \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)+1}, T u\right), q(u, T u)\right\}-a \min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(u, x_{n(k)+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \alpha\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right)\left[\min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)+1}, T u\right), q(u, T u)\right\}-a \min \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, T u\right), q\left(u, x_{n(k)+1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& \leq \psi\left(\max \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right), q\left(x_{n(k)}, T x_{n(k)}\right), q(u, T u)\right\}\right)  \tag{107}\\
& <\max \left\{q\left(x_{n(k)}, u\right), q\left(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}\right), q(u, T u)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and using Remark 1.3 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(u, T u)<q(u, T u) \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we find $q(u, T u)=0$, that is, $T u=u$.
Theorem 2.11. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space which satisfied (ii) from Theorem (2.10). Suppose that there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and a self-mapping $T$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)-a Q(x, y) \leq \psi(S(x, y)) \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all distinct $x, y \in X, a \geq 0$, where $K(x, y), Q(x, y)$ and $S(x, y)$ are defined as in Theorem (2.10). Then for each $x_{0} \in X$ the sequence ( $T^{n} x_{0}$ ) converges to a fixed point of $T$.

Corollary 2.12. Let $(X, q)$ be a complete quasi-metric space which satisfied (ii) from Theorem (2.10). Suppose that there exist $a \geq 0, k \in[0,1)$ and a self-mapping $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)-a Q(x, y) \leq k \cdot(S(x, y)) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all distinct $x, y \in X$, where $K(x, y), Q(x, y)$ and $S(x, y)$ are defined as in Theorem (2.10). Then for each $x_{0} \in X$ the sequence ( $T^{n} x_{0}$ ) converges to a fixed point of $T$.
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