



Nonlinear Fuzzy Stability of a Functional Equation Related to a Characterization of Inner Product Spaces via Fixed Point Technique

Zhijia Wang^a, Prasanna K. Sahoo^b

^aSchool of Science, Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430068, P.R. China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292, USA

Abstract. Using the fixed point method, we prove some results concerning the stability of the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} f(x_i - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} f(x_i) - 2nf(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i)$$

where f is defined on a vector space and taking values in a fuzzy Banach space, which is said to be a functional equation related to a characterization of inner product spaces.

1. Introduction

Stability problem of functional equations was first posed by Ulam in [35] which was answered by Hyers in [14] for additive mappings. Hyers' result, using unbounded Cauchy different, was generalized for additive mappings in [1] and for linear mappings in [31]. Since then there have been several new results on stability of various classes of functional equations in the Hyers-Ulam sense or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias sense in normed spaces (see [10, 15, 16, 34] and references cited therein). Stability problems of functional equations on arbitrary groups and on non-abelian groups were treated in [6–9]. In [20–23], various stability results concerning Cauchy, Jensen, quadratic and cubic functional equations were investigated in fuzzy normed spaces. Furthermore some stability results concerning additive, quadratic, Cauchy-Jensen, mixed type cubic and quartic functional equations were investigated (cf. [5, 12, 19, 24, 33]) in the setting of non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces, non-Archimedean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy normed spaces and generalized fuzzy normed spaces, respectively.

It was shown by Rassias [32] that a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an inner product space if and only if for any finite set of vectors $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, and a fixed integer $n \geq 2$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 - n \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|^2. \quad (1)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39B82 ; Secondary 39B72, 03F55

Keywords. Fixed point method; Functional equations related to inner product space; Fuzzy Banach spaces; Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

Received: 8 November 2013; Accepted: 17 July 2014

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjevic

Research supported by NSFC #11401190, BSQD12077 and 2014CFB189

Email addresses: matwzh2000@126.com (Zhijia Wang), sahoo@louisville.edu (Prasanna K. Sahoo)

Employing the above identity, Najati and Rassias [26] obtained the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - n f(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i). \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that the function $f(x) = ax^2 + bx$ is a solution of the functional equation (2). In [17, 28], the authors introduced the following functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} f(x_i - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} f(x_i) - 2n f(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i), \quad (3)$$

which is said to be a functional equation related to a characterization of inner product spaces. They obtained the general solution of equation (3) and proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of this equation. For notational simplicity, we will denote the functional equation (3) as

$$D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 0,$$

where D_f is given by

$$D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) := \sum_{i=1}^{2n} f(x_i - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} x_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} f(x_i) + 2n f(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i). \quad (4)$$

There are many interesting new results concerning functional equations related to inner product spaces have been obtained by Park et al. [27] as well as for fuzzy stability of functional equations related to inner product spaces [11, 29].

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a fuzzy version of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the functional equation (3) in fuzzy Banach spaces by using the fixed point method. This paper is different from the earlier papers [11, 29] in the sense that the bound used in this paper for $D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ is quite different from the bound used in [11] (or see [29]). Specializing the function $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ which is as a part of the bound, we obtain several results similar to results known for stability of the equation (3) in Banach spaces.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, some definition and preliminary results are given which will be used in this paper. Following [2, 20, 21], we give the following notion of a fuzzy norm.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a real vector space. A function $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (the so-called fuzzy subset) is said to be a fuzzy norm on X if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

- (N1) $N(x, c) = 0$ for $c \leq 0$;
- (N2) $x = 0$ if and only if $N(x, c) = 1$ for all $c > 0$;
- (N3) $N(cx, t) = N(x, \frac{t}{|c|})$ if $c \neq 0$;
- (N4) $N(x + y, s + t) \geq \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$;
- (N5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function on \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$;
- (N6) for $x \neq 0$, $N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

In this case (X, N) is called a fuzzy normed vector space.

Example 2.2. (cf. [25]). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then

$$N(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t + \beta \|x\|}, & t > 0, x \in X, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, x \in X \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X .

Example 2.3. (cf. [25]). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space and $\beta > \alpha > 0$. Then

$$N(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \alpha\|x\|, \\ \frac{t}{t + (\beta - \alpha)\|x\|}, & \alpha\|x\| < t \leq \beta\|x\|, \\ 1, & t > \beta\|x\| \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X .

Definition 2.4. (cf. [2, 20, 21]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1 (t > 0)$. In that case, x is called the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we denote by $N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 2.5. (cf. [2, 20, 21]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N(x_m - x_n, \delta) > 1 - \varepsilon (m, n \geq n_0)$. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be complete and the fuzzy normed space is called a fuzzy Banach space.

Definition 2.6. Let E be a set. A function $d : E \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on E if d satisfies

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x), \forall x, y \in E$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z), \forall x, y, z \in E$.

The next result is due to Diaz and Margolis [4].

Lemma 2.7. (cf. [4] or [30]). Let (E, d) be a complete generalized metric space and $J : E \rightarrow E$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each fixed element $x \in E$, either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

or

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

for some natural number n_0 . Moreover, if the second alternative holds then:

- (i) The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (ii) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $E' := \{y \in E \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < +\infty\}$ and $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy), \forall y, y \in E'$.

3. Fuzzy Stability of Functional Equations

Before proceeding to the proof of the main results in this section, we shall need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. (cf. [28]). Let V and W be real vector spaces. If an odd mapping $f : V \rightarrow W$ satisfies (3), then the mapping $f : V \rightarrow W$ is additive, that is, f is a solution of $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$.

Lemma 3.2. (cf. [17]). Let V and W be real vector spaces. If an even mapping $f : V \rightarrow W$ satisfies (3), then the mapping $f : V \rightarrow W$ is quadratic, that is, f is a solution of $f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$.

In this section, we assume that X is a vector space and (Y, N) is a fuzzy Banach space. We will establish the following stability results for functional equations (3) in fuzzy Banach spaces by using the fixed point method, which is said to be a functional equation related to inner products space. For given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, let $D_f : X^{2n} \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping as defined in (4) for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$N(D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})} \tag{5}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \leq \frac{L}{2}\varphi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_{2n}) \tag{6}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(f(x) - f(-x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1-L)t}{n(1-L)t + L\Phi(x)} \tag{7}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\Phi(x) = \underbrace{\varphi(2x, \dots, 2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-2x, \dots, -2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Setting $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$ and $x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{2n} = 0$ in (5), we obtain

$$N(3nf(\frac{x}{2}) + nf(\frac{-x}{2}) - nf(x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}} \tag{9}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Replacing x by $-x$ in (9), we get

$$N(3nf(\frac{-x}{2}) + nf(\frac{x}{2}) - nf(-x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \underbrace{\varphi(-x, \dots, -x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-x, \dots, -x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}} \tag{10}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & N(2n(f(\frac{x}{2}) - f(\frac{-x}{2})) - n(f(x) - f(-x)), 2t) \\ & \geq \min\{N(3nf(\frac{x}{2}) + nf(\frac{-x}{2}) - nf(x), t), N(3nf(\frac{-x}{2}) + nf(\frac{x}{2}) - nf(-x), t)\} \\ & \geq \frac{t}{t + \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-x, \dots, -x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-x, \dots, -x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $g(x) = f(x) - f(-x)$ and

$$\Phi(x) = \underbrace{\varphi(2x, \dots, 2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-2x, \dots, -2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$, we get

$$N(2ng(\frac{x}{2}) - ng(x), 2t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(\frac{x}{2})} \tag{12}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Therefore

$$N(g(2x) - 2g(x), \frac{2}{n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)} \tag{13}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Let E_1 be the set of all functions $q_1 : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$. Let us introduce a generalized metric on E_1 as follows:

$$d_1(q_1, h_1) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in [0, \infty] \mid N(q_1(x) - h_1(x), \lambda t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)}, \forall x \in X, \forall t > 0 \right\}.$$

It is easy to prove that (E_1, d_1) is a complete generalized metric space [3, 13, 18].

Now we consider the function $\mathcal{J}_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_1$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_1 q_1(x) := 2q_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad \text{for all } q_1 \in E_1 \text{ and } x \in X. \tag{14}$$

Let $q_1, h_1 \in E_1$ and let $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d_1(q_1, h_1) \leq \lambda$. From the definition of d_1 , we have

$$N(q_1(x) - h_1(x), \lambda t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{J}_1 q_1(x) - \mathcal{J}_1 h_1(x), L\lambda t) &= N(2q_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), L\lambda t) \\ &= N(q_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{L}{2}\lambda t) \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{L}{2}t}{\frac{L}{2}t + \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \geq \frac{\frac{L}{2}t}{\frac{L}{2}t + \frac{L}{2}\Phi(x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus

$$d_1(\mathcal{J}_1 q_1, \mathcal{J}_1 h_1) \leq Ld_1(q_1, h_1) \tag{16}$$

for all $q_1, h_1 \in E_1$.

It follows from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} N(g(x) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{2L}{n}t) &\geq \frac{\frac{L}{2}t}{\frac{L}{2}t + \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \geq \frac{\frac{L}{2}t}{\frac{L}{2}t + \frac{L}{2}\Phi(x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So, we have $d_1(g, \mathcal{J}_1 g) \leq \frac{L}{n}$. Therefore according to Lemma 2.7, the sequence $\mathcal{J}_1^k g$ converges to a fixed point A of \mathcal{J}_1 , that is,

$$A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon, \quad N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^k g\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) = A(x)$$

and $A(2x) = 2A(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Also A is the unique fixed point \mathcal{J}_1 in the set $E_1^* = \{q_1 \in E_1 : d_1(g, q_1) < \infty\}$ and

$$d_1(g, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d_1(g, \mathcal{J}_1 g) \leq \frac{L}{n(1-L)},$$

that is, inequality (7) holds true for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. It follows from (5) that

$$N(2^k Dg\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right), 2^k t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, -\frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right)}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, all $t > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (5), we get

$$N(2^k Dg(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}), t) \geq \frac{\frac{t}{2^k}}{\frac{t}{2^k} + \frac{L^k}{2^k} (\varphi(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}) + \varphi(-\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, -\frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}))}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, all $t > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t}{2^k}}{\frac{t}{2^k} + \frac{L^k}{2^k} (\varphi(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}) + \varphi(-\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, -\frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}))} = 1$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$ and all $t > 0$, we have

$$N(D_A(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) = 1$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Since every fuzzy Banach space Υ is a real vector space, by Lemma 3.1, the mapping $A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ is additive. Finally it remains to prove the uniqueness of A . Let $T : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ is another additive mapping satisfying (3) and (7). Since $d_1(g, T) \leq \frac{L}{n(1-L)}$ and T is additive, we get $T \in E_1^*$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 T(x) = 2T(\frac{x}{2}) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$, i.e., T is a fixed point of \mathcal{J}_1 . Since A is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{J}_1 in E_1^* , then $T = A$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let $p > 1$ and θ be non-negative real numbers. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$N(D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)} \tag{17}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(f(x) - f(-x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(2^p - 2)t}{(2^p - 2)t + 2^{p+2} \cdot \theta \|x\|^p} \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 by taking

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{1-p}$ and we get the desired result.

Corollary 3.5. Let $f : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be an odd mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (5) and (6). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(2f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1-L)t}{n(1-L)t + L\Phi(x)} \tag{19}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Phi(x)$ is defined in (8).

Theorem 3.6. Let $f : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\phi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$N(D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \phi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})} \tag{20}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$\phi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_{2n}) \leq 2L\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \tag{21}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(f(x) - f(-x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1-L)t}{n(1-L)t + \Psi(x)} \tag{22}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\Psi(x) = \underbrace{\phi(2x, \dots, 2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\phi(-2x, \dots, -2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} \tag{23}$$

Proof. Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have

$$N(g(2x) - 2g(x), \frac{2}{n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)} \tag{24}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, where

$$\Psi(x) = \underbrace{\phi(2x, \dots, 2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\phi(-2x, \dots, -2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

We introduce the definitions for E_1 and d_1 as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 (by replacing Φ by Ψ) such that (E_1, d_1) becomes a complete generalized metric space. Now we consider the function $\mathcal{J}_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_1$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_1 q_1(x) := \frac{1}{2}q_1(2x), \quad \text{for all } q_1 \in E_1 \text{ and } x \in X. \tag{25}$$

Let $q_1, h_1 \in E_1$ and let $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d_1(q_1, h_1) \leq \lambda$. From the definition of d_1 , we have

$$N(q_1(x) - h_1(x), \lambda t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{J}_1 q_1(x) - \mathcal{J}_1 h_1(x), L\lambda t) &= N(\frac{1}{2}q_1(2x) - \frac{1}{2}h_1(2x), L\lambda t) \\ &= N(q_1(2x) - h_1(2x), 2L\lambda t) \\ &\geq \frac{2Lt}{2Lt + \Psi(2x)} \geq \frac{2Lt}{2Lt + 2L\Psi(x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So

$$d_1(\mathcal{J}_1 q_1, \mathcal{J}_1 h_1) \leq Ld_1(q_1, h_1) \tag{27}$$

for all $q_1, h_1 \in E_1$.

It follows from (24) that

$$N(g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(2x), \frac{1}{n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So, we have $d_1(g, \mathcal{J}_1 g) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore according to Lemma 2.7, the sequence $\mathcal{J}_1^k g$ converges to a fixed point A of \mathcal{J}_1 , that is,

$$A : X \rightarrow \Upsilon, \quad N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} g(2^k x) = A(x)$$

and $A(2x) = 2A(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Also A is the unique fixed point \mathcal{J}_1 in the set $E_1^* = \{q_1 \in E_1 : d_1(g, q_1) < \infty\}$ and

$$d_1(g, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_1(g, \mathcal{J}_1 g) \leq \frac{1}{n(1-L)},$$

that is, inequality (22) holds true for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 and we omit the details. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.7. Let $0 < p < 1$ and θ be non-negative real numbers. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (17). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(f(x) - f(-x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(2 - 2^p)t}{(2 - 2^p)t + 2^{p+2} \cdot \theta \|x\|^p} \tag{28}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.6 by taking

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{p-1}$ and we get the desired result.

Corollary 3.8. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (20) and (21). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(2f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1 - L)t}{n(1 - L)t + \Psi(x)} \tag{29}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Psi(x)$ is defined in (23).

Theorem 3.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$N(D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})} \tag{30}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \leq \frac{L}{4}\varphi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_{2n}) \tag{31}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(f(x) + f(-x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(2 - 2L)t}{n(2 - 2L)t + L\Phi(x)} \tag{32}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Phi(x)$ is defined in (8).

Proof. Setting $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$ and $x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{2n} = 0$ in (30), we obtain

$$N(3nf(\frac{x}{2}) + nf(\frac{-x}{2}) - nf(x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times } \quad n \text{ times}}} \tag{33}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Replacing x by $-x$ in (33), we get

$$N(3nf(\frac{-x}{2}) + nf(\frac{x}{2}) - nf(-x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \underbrace{\varphi(-x, \dots, -x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times } \quad n \text{ times}}} \tag{34}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & N(4n(f(\frac{x}{2}) + f(\frac{-x}{2})) - n(f(x) + f(-x)), 2t) \\ & \geq \min\{N(3nf(\frac{x}{2}) + nf(\frac{-x}{2}) - nf(x), t), N(3nf(\frac{-x}{2}) + nf(\frac{x}{2}) - nf(-x), t)\} \\ & \geq \frac{t}{t + \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times } \quad n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-x, \dots, -x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times } \quad n \text{ times}}} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $g(x) = f(x) + f(-x)$ and

$$\Phi(x) = \underbrace{\varphi(2x, \dots, 2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(-2x, \dots, -2x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$, we get

$$N(4ng(\frac{x}{2}) - ng(x), 2t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(\frac{x}{2})} \tag{36}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So

$$N(g(2x) - 4g(x), \frac{2}{n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)} \tag{37}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Let E_2 be the set of all functions $q_2 : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ and introduce a generalized metric on E_2 as follows:

$$d_2(q_2, h_2) := \inf \left\{ \mu \in [0, \infty] \mid N(q_2(x) - h_2(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)}, \forall x \in X, \forall t > 0 \right\}.$$

So (E_2, d_2) is a complete generalized metric space. Let $\mathcal{J}_2 : E_2 \rightarrow E_2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_2 q_2(x) := 4q_2(\frac{x}{2}), \quad \text{for all } q_2 \in E_2 \text{ and } x \in X. \tag{38}$$

Let $q_2, h_2 \in E_2$ and let $\mu \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(q_2, h_2) \leq \mu$. From the definition of d_2 , we have

$$N(q_2(x) - h_2(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{J}_2 q_2(x) - \mathcal{J}_2 h_2(x), L\mu t) &= N(4q_2(\frac{x}{2}) - 4h_2(\frac{x}{2}), L\mu t) \\ &= N(q_2(\frac{x}{2}) - h_2(\frac{x}{2}), \frac{L}{4}\mu t) \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{L}{4}t}{\frac{L}{4}t + \Phi(\frac{x}{2})} \geq \frac{\frac{L}{4}t}{\frac{L}{4}t + \frac{L}{4}\Phi(x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)} \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Therefore

$$d_2(\mathcal{J}_2 q_2, \mathcal{J}_2 h_2) \leq Ld_2(q_2, h_2) \tag{40}$$

for all $q, h \in E$.

It follows from (37) that

$$\begin{aligned} N(g(x) - 4g(\frac{x}{2}), \frac{2L}{n}t) &\geq \frac{\frac{L}{4}t}{\frac{L}{4}t + \Phi(\frac{x}{2})} \geq \frac{\frac{L}{4}t}{\frac{L}{4}t + \frac{L}{4}\Phi(x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \Phi(x)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So, we have $d_2(g, \mathcal{J}_2g) \leq \frac{L}{2n}$. Therefore according to Lemma 2.7, the sequence $\mathcal{J}_2^k g$ converges to a fixed point Q of \mathcal{J}_2 , that is,

$$Q : X \rightarrow \Upsilon, \quad N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^k g\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) = Q(x)$$

and $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Also Q is the unique fixed point \mathcal{J}_2 in the set $E_2^* = \{q_2 \in E_2 : d_2(g, q_2) < \infty\}$ and

$$d_2(g, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_2(g, \mathcal{J}_2g) \leq \frac{L}{2n(1-L)},$$

that is, inequality (32) holds true for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. It follows from (30) that

$$N(4^k Dg\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right), 4^k t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, -\frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right)}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, all $t > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (30), we get

$$N(4^k Dg\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right), t) \geq \frac{\frac{t}{4^k}}{\frac{t}{4^k} + \frac{L^k}{4^k} (\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, -\frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right))}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, all $t > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t}{4^k}}{\frac{t}{4^k} + \frac{L^k}{4^k} (\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, -\frac{x_{2n}}{2^k}\right))} = 1$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$ and all $t > 0$, we have

$$N(D_Q(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) = 1$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Since every fuzzy Banach space Υ is a real vector space, by Lemma 3.2, the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ is quadratic. Finally it remains to prove the uniqueness of Q . Let $Q' : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ is another quadratic mapping satisfying (3) and (32). Since $d_2(g, Q') \leq \frac{L}{n(1-L)}$ and Q' is quadratic, we get $Q' \in E_2^*$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 Q'(x) = 4Q'\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = Q'(x)$ for all $x \in X$, that is, Q' is a fixed point of \mathcal{J}_2 . Since Q is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{J}_2 in E_2^* , then $Q' = Q$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let $p > 2$ and θ be non-negative real numbers. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (17). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ satisfying (3) such that*

$$N(f(x) + f(-x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2^p - 4)t}{(2^p - 4)t + 2^{2+p} \cdot \theta \|x\|^p} \tag{41}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.6 by taking

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$ and choosing $L = 2^{2-p}$.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be an even mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $f(0) = 0$, (30) and (31). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow \Upsilon$ satisfying (3) such that*

$$N(2f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(2 - 2L)t}{n(2 - 2L)t + L\Phi(x)} \tag{42}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Phi(x)$ is defined in (8).

Theorem 3.12. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\phi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$N(D_f(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \phi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})} \tag{43}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$\phi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_{2n}) \leq 4L\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \tag{44}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(f(x) + f(-x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(2 - 2L)t}{n(2 - 2L)t + \Psi(x)} \tag{45}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where where $\Psi(x)$ is defined in (23).

Proof. Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3.9, we have

$$N(g(2x) - 4g(x), \frac{2}{n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)} \tag{46}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, where

$$\Psi(x) = \underbrace{\phi(\underbrace{2x, \dots, 2x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n \text{ times}})}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\phi(\underbrace{-2x, \dots, -2x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n \text{ times}})}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

We introduce the definitions for E_2 and d_2 as in the proof of Theorem 3.9 (by replacing Φ by Ψ) such that (E_2, d_2) becomes a complete generalized metric space. Now we consider the function $\mathcal{J}_2 : E_2 \rightarrow E_2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_2 q_2(x) := \frac{1}{4}q_2(2x), \quad \text{for all } q_2 \in E_2 \text{ and } x \in X. \tag{47}$$

Let $q_2, h_2 \in E_2$ and let $\mu \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d_2(q_2, h_2) \leq \mu$. From the definition of d_2 , we have

$$N(q_2(x) - h_2(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{J}_2 q_2(x) - \mathcal{J}_2 h_2(x), L\mu t) &= N(\frac{1}{4}q_2(2x) - \frac{1}{4}h_2(2x), L\mu t) \\ &= N(q_2(2x) - h_2(2x), 4L\mu t) \\ &\geq \frac{4Lt}{4Lt + \Psi(2x)} \geq \frac{4Lt}{4Lt + 4L\Psi(x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)} \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Therefore

$$d_2(\mathcal{J}_2 q_2, \mathcal{J}_2 h_2) \leq Ld_2(q_2, h_2) \tag{49}$$

for all $q_2, h_2 \in E_2$.

It follows from (46) that

$$N(g(x) - \frac{1}{4}g(2x), \frac{1}{2n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \Psi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So, we have $d_2(g, \mathcal{J}_2g) \leq \frac{1}{2n}$. Therefore according to Lemma 2.7, the sequence $\mathcal{J}_2^k g$ converges to a fixed point Q of \mathcal{J}_2 , that is,

$$Q : X \rightarrow Y, \quad N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^k} g(2^k x) = Q(x)$$

and $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Also Q is the unique fixed point \mathcal{J}_2 in the set $E_2^* = \{q_2 \in E_2 : d_2(g, q_2) < \infty\}$ and

$$d_2(g, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_2(g, \mathcal{J}_2g) \leq \frac{1}{2n(1-L)},$$

that is, inequality (45) holds true for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9 and we omit the details. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let $0 < p < 2$ and θ be non-negative real numbers. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (17). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that*

$$N(f(x) + f(-x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(4 - 2^p)t}{(4 - 2^p)t + 2^{2+p} \cdot \theta \|x\|^p} \tag{50}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.9 by taking

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \in X$. Next choosing $L = 2^{p-2}$, we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $f(0) = 0$, (43) and (44). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that*

$$N(2f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(2 - 2L)t}{n(2 - 2L)t + \Psi(x)} \tag{51}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Phi(x)$ is defined in (23).

Combining Theorems 3.3 and 3.9, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (5) and (31). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that*

$$N(2f(x) - A(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1 - L)t}{n(1 - L)t + 3L\Phi(x)} \tag{52}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Phi(x)$ is defined in (8).

Proof. By Theorems 3.3 and 3.9, we obtain

$$N(f(x) - f(-x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1 - L)t}{n(1 - L)t + L\Phi(x)},$$

$$N(f(x) + f(-x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(2 - 2L)t}{n(2 - 2L)t + L\Phi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus

$$N(2f(x) - A(x) - Q(x), 2t) \geq \min\{N(f(x) - f(-x) - A(x), t), N(f(x) + f(-x) - Q(x), t)\} \geq \frac{n(2 - 2L)t}{n(2 - 2L)t + 3L\Phi(x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, and we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.16. Let $p > 2$ and θ be non-negative real numbers. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (17). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(2f(x) - A(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2^p - 4)t}{(2^p - 4)t + 3 \cdot 2^{3+p} \cdot \theta \|x\|^p} \quad (53)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)$, $L = 2^{2-p}$, and apply Theorem 3.15 to get the desired result. \square

Similarly, combining Theorems 3.6 and 3.12, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.17. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (20) and (21). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(2f(x) - A(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{n(1-L)t}{n(1-L)t + 3\Psi(x)} \quad (54)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $\Psi(x)$ is defined in (23).

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.15, the result follows from Theorems 3.6 and 3.12. \square

Corollary 3.18. Let $0 < p < 1$ and θ be non-negative real numbers. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (17). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) such that

$$N(2f(x) - A(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2 - 2^p)t}{(2 - 2^p)t + 3 \cdot 2^{2+p} \cdot \theta \|x\|^p} \quad (55)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_{2n}\|^p)$, $L = 2^{p-1}$, and apply Theorem 3.17 to get the desired result. \square

Acknowledgements: The work was done while the first author studied at University of Louisville as a Postdoctoral Fellow from Hubei University of Technology during 2013-14.

References

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **2**(1950), 64-66.
- [2] T. Bag, S.K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **11**(2003), 687-705.
- [3] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: A fixed point approach, *Grazer Math. Ber.* **346**(2004), 43-52.
- [4] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74**(1968), 305-309.
- [5] A. Ebadian, N. Ghobadipour, M. B. Savadkouhi and M. E. Gordji, Stability of a mixed type cubic and quartic functional equation in non-Archimedean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy normed spaces, *Thai J. Math.* **9**(2011), 243-259.
- [6] V. A. Faiziev, The stability of a functional equation on groups, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **48**(1993), 165-166.
- [7] V. A. Faiziev, Th. M. Rassias and P.K. Sahoo, The space of (ψ, γ) -additive mappings on semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**(2002), 4455-4472.
- [8] V. A. Faiziev and P. K. Sahoo, On (ψ, γ) -stability of a Cauchy equation on some noncommutative groups, *Pub. Math. Debrecen*, **75**(2009), 67-83.
- [9] V. A. Faiziev and P. K. Sahoo, Stability of a functional equation of Whitehead on semigroups, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **3**(2012), 32-57.
- [10] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184**(1994), 431-436.
- [11] M. E. Gordji and H. Khodaei, The fixed point method for fuzzy approximation of a functional equation associated with inner product spaces, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* Volume 2010, Article ID 140767, 15 pages.

- [12] M. E. Gordji, H. Khodaei and M. Kamyar, Stability of Cauchy-Jensen type functional equation in generalized fuzzy normed spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**(2011), 2950-2960.
- [13] O. Hadžić, E. Pap and V. Radu, Generalized contraction mapping principles in probabilistic metric spaces. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **101**(2003), 131-48.
- [14] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **27**(1941), 222-224.
- [15] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [16] S. M. Jung, *Hyers- Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer Science, New York, 2011.
- [17] S. J. Lee, Quadratic mappings associated with inner product spaces, *Korean J. Math.* **19**(2011), 77-85.
- [18] D. Mihet and V. Radu, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343**(2008), 567-572.
- [19] D. Mihet, The stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **161**(2010), 2206-2212.
- [20] A. K. Mirmostafae, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **159**(2008), 730-738.
- [21] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **159**(2008), 720-729.
- [22] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, Fuzzy Almost Quadratic Functions, *Result. Math.* **52**(2008), 161-177.
- [23] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, Fuzzy approximately cubic mappings, *Inform. Sciences* **178**(2008), 3791-3798.
- [24] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, Stability of additive mapping in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **160**(2009), 1643-1652.
- [25] A. Najati, Fuzzy stability of a generalized quadratic functional equation, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **25**(2010), 405-417.
- [26] A. Najati and Th. M. Rassias, Stability of a mixed functional equation in several variables on Banach modules, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**(2010), 1755-1767.
- [27] C. Park, J. S. Huh, W. J. Min, D. H. Nam and S. H. Roh, Functional equations associated with inner product spaces, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **21**(2008), 455-466.
- [28] C. Park, W. G. Park and A. Najati, Functional equations related to inner product spaces, *Abstract Appl. Anal.* Volume 2009, Article ID 907121, 11 pages.
- [29] C. Park, Fuzzy stability of a functional equation associated with inner product spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **160**(2009), 1632-1642.
- [30] V. Radu, The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations. *Sem. Fixed Point Theory* **4**(2003), 91-96.
- [31] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**(1978), 297-300.
- [32] Th. M. Rassias, New characterizations of inner product spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **108**(1984), 95-99.
- [33] R. Saadati and C. Park, Non-Archimedean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy normed spaces and stability of functional equation equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**(2010), 2488-2496.
- [34] P. K. Sahoo and Pl. Kannappan, *Introduction to Functional Equations*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
- [35] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.