

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Majorization Problems for Certain Subclasses of Meromorphic Multivalent Functions Associated with the Liu-Srivastava Operator

Huo Tanga,b, Mohamed K. Aoufc, Guantie Dengb

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Chifeng University, Chifeng 024000, Inner Mongolia, China
 ^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
 ^cDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

Abstract. In the present paper, we introduce new subclasses of certain meromorphic multivalent functions defined by a class of linear operators involving the Liu-Srivastava operator, and investigate the majorization properties for functions belonging to these classes. Also, we point out some useful consequences of our main results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let *f* and *g* be two analytic functions in the open unit disk

$$\Delta = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}.$$

We say that f is majorized by g in Δ (see [22]) and write

$$f(z) \ll g(z) \ (z \in \Delta), \tag{1.1}$$

if there exists a function φ , analytic in Δ such that

$$|\varphi(z)| \le 1$$
 and $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z)$ $(z \in \Delta)$. (1.2)

It may be noted here that (1.1) is closely related to the concept of quasi-subordination between analytic functions.

For two functions f and g, analytic in Δ , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in Δ , if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in Δ with

$$\omega(0) = 0$$
 and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ $(z \in \Delta)$,

Received: 13 October 2013; Accepted: 21 January 2014

Communicated by H. M. Srivastava

Email addresses: thth2009@163.com (Huo Tang), mkaouf127@yahoo.com (Mohamed K. Aouf), denggt@bnu.edu.cn (Guantie Deng)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80

Keywords. Meromorphic multivalent functions, spirallike functions of complex order, subordination, majorization problem, Liu-Srivastava operator

Research supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the People's Republic of China under Grant 11271045, the Higher School Doctoral Foundation of the People's Republic of China under Grant 20100003110004, the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia of the People's Republic of China under Grants 2010MS0117 and 2014MS0101 and the Higher School Foundation of Inner Mongolia of the People's Republic of China under Grant NJZY13298.

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \ (z \in \Delta).$$

We denote this subordination by f(z) < g(z). Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in Δ , then

$$f(z) < g(z) \ (z \in \Delta) \iff f(0) = g(0) \ and \ f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

Let Σ_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p} \ (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic and *p*-valent in the punctured unit disk $\Delta^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. For simplicity, we write $\Sigma = \Sigma_1$.

For functions $f_m \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$f_m(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,m} z^{k-p} \quad (m = 1, 2; \ p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f_1 and f_2 by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^{k-p} = (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$

For parameters $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ $(i=1,2,\cdots,q)$ and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^ (\mathbb{Z}_0^-=0,-1,-2,\cdots;\ j=1,2,\cdots,s)$, the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s;z)$ is defined by (see, for example, [24,28])

$$_{q}F_{s}(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{q};\beta_{1},\cdots,\beta_{s};z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha_{1})_{k}\cdots(\alpha_{q})_{k}}{(\beta_{1})_{k}\cdots(\beta_{s})_{k}}\frac{z^{k}}{k!}$$

$$(q \le s + 1; \ q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \ z \in \Delta),$$

where $(v)_k$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of Gamma function, by

$$(\nu)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+k)}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & (k=0; \ \nu \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \\ \nu(\nu+1) \cdots (\nu+k-1) & (k \in \mathbb{N}; \ \nu \in \mathbb{C}). \end{array} \right.$$

We now introduce a function $h_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s;z)$ defined by

$$h_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_s;z) = (1-\lambda+\mu)z^{-p}{}_qF_s(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_s;z)$$

$$+(\lambda-\mu)z[z^{-p}{}_qF_s(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_s;z)]' + \lambda\mu z^2[z^{-p}{}_qF_s(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_s;z)]''$$

$$(p \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda,\mu \geq 0; z \in \Delta^*).$$

$$(1.3)$$

In particular, when $\lambda = \mu = 0$, we obtain

$$h_p^{0,0}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s;z)=h_p(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s;z)$$

introduced and studied by Liu and Srivastava [19].

Corresponding to the function $h_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s;z)$ given by (1.3), we consider a linear operator $H_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s):\Sigma_p\to\Sigma_p$ defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$H_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s)f(z) = h_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s;z) * f(z).$$
 (1.4)

For the sake of convenience, we write

$$H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1) = H_p^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_q;\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_s).$$

It is easily verified from the definition (1.4) that

$$z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)' = \alpha_1 H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)f(z) - (\alpha_1+p)H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z). \tag{1.5}$$

We note that, for $\lambda = \mu = 0$, the operator $H_{p,q,s}^{0,0}(\alpha_1)$ reduces to the Liu-Srivastava operator $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$ (see [19,20]; also [5,27]), while the Liu-Srivastava operator is the meromorphic analogous of the Dziok-Srivastava operator (see [6-8]; also [21,25]), which include (as its special cases) the meromorphic analogous of the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator $L_p(a,c) = H_{p,2,1}^{0,0}(1,a;c)$ (see [17,18,33]), the meromorphic analogous of the Ruscheweyh derivative operator $D^{n+1} = L_p(n+p,1)$ (see [32]), and the operator

$$J_{c,p} = \frac{c}{z^{c+p}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt = L_p(c, c+1) \ (c > 0; \ f \in \Sigma_p)$$

studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [31].

Using the operator $H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)$, we now introduce the following subclasses of meromorphic multivalent functions.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\eta;A,B]$ of p-valently meromorphic functions of order η ($0 \le \eta < p$) in Δ^* , if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(-\frac{z \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1) f(z) \right)^{(m+1)}}{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1) f(z) \right)^{(m)}} - \eta - m \right) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$
 (1.6)

 $(z \in \Delta^*; q \le s + 1; q, s, m \in \mathbb{N}_0; p \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda, \mu \ge 0; -1 \le B < A \le 1).$

Remark 1.1. (i) For A = 1 and B = -1, we set

$$S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\eta;1,-1] = S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}(\eta),$$

where $S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}(\eta)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the following inequality:

$$Re\left[\frac{1}{p-\eta}\left(\frac{z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m+1)}}{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}}+\eta+m\right)\right]<0.$$

(ii) Further, for $\lambda = \mu = 0$, q = 2, s = 1, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, we write

$$S_{p,2,1}^{0,0,0}(\eta) = S_p^*(\eta) \text{ and } S_{p,2,1}^{0,0,1}(\eta) = K_p(\eta),$$

which are meromorphic *p*-valently starlike and meromorphic *p*-valently convex functions of order η ($0 \le \eta < p$) in Δ^* , respectively (see Aouf and Xu [4]).

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\alpha,b;A,B]$ of p-valently meromorphic spirllike functions of complex order $b \neq 0$ in Δ^* , if and only if

$$\left[1 - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{b\cos\alpha} \left(\frac{z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m+1)}}{p\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}} + m + 1\right)\right] < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

$$(1.7)$$

$$(z \in \Delta^*; q \leq s+1; \; q, s, m \in \mathbb{N}_0; \; p \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda, \; \mu \geq 0; \; b \in \mathbb{C}^*; \; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}; \; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1).$$

Remark 1.2. (i) For A = 1 and B = -1, we set

$$I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\alpha,b;1,-1] = I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}(\alpha,b),$$

where $I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}(\alpha,b)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the following inequality:

$$Re\left[\frac{e^{i\alpha}}{b\cos\alpha}\left(\frac{z\left(H^{\lambda,\mu}_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m+1)}}{p\left(H^{\lambda,\mu}_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}}+m+1\right)\right]<1.$$

(ii) Further, for $\lambda = \mu = 0$, q = 2, s = 1, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, we write

$$I_{p,2,1}^{0,0,0}(0,b) = S_p^*(b)$$
 and $I_{p,2,1}^{0,0,1}(0,b) = K_p(b)$,

which are meromorphic p-valently starlike and meromorphic p-valently convex functions of complex order b ($b \in \mathbb{C}^*$) in Δ^* , respectively (when p = 1, see Aouf [3]).

A majorization problem for the normalized class of starlike functions has been investigated by MacGregor [22] and Altintas et al.[1,2]. Recently, Goyal et al.[13,14], Goswami et al.[10-12], Li et al.[16], Tang et al.[29,30], and Prajapat and Aouf [26] generalized these results for different analytic function classes defined by using various operators. However, until now, only one article deals with the majorization problem for the class of meromorphic functions (see Goyal and Goswami [15]).

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the problems of majorization of the classes $S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\eta;A,B]$ and $I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\alpha,b;A,B]$ defined by the operator $H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)$, and give some special cases of our main results.

2. Majorization Problem for the Class $S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\eta;A,B]$

We begin by proving the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\eta;A,B]$. If

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)} \ll \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} \quad (z \in \Delta^*; \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$\left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \le \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \quad for \quad |z| \le r_1, \tag{2.1}$$

where $r_1 = r_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta, A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$|(p-\eta)(B-A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B|r^3 - (|\alpha_1 - 2m| + 2|B|)r^2 - (|(p-\eta)(B-A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B| + 2)r$$

$$+|\alpha_1 - 2m| = 0,$$

$$(m \in \mathbb{N}_0; \ p \in \mathbb{N}; \ 0 \le \eta < p; \ \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*; \ -1 \le B < A \le 1).$$

$$(2.2)$$

Proof. Since $g \in S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\eta;A,B]$, we find from (1.6) that

$$\frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(-\frac{z \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1) g(z) \right)^{(m+1)}}{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1) g(z) \right)^{(m)}} - \eta - m \right) = \frac{1 + A\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)},\tag{2.3}$$

where $\omega(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$, $\omega \in P$, P denotes the well-known class of the bounded analytic functions in Δ and satisfies the conditions (see, for details, Goodman [9])

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad and \quad |\omega(z)| \le |z| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.3), we get

$$-\frac{z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m+1)}}{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}} = \frac{p+m+[A(p-\eta)+B(\eta-m)]\omega(z)}{1+B\omega(z)}.$$
 (2.5)

Now, using the following, easily verified from (1.5), identity

$$z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m+1)} = \alpha_1 \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} - (\alpha_1+p+m)\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} \tag{2.6}$$

in (2.5) and making simple calculations, we get

$$\frac{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}}{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}} = \frac{(\alpha_1-2m)+[(p-\eta)(B-A)+(\alpha_1-2m)B]\omega(z)}{\alpha_1[1+B\omega(z)]},$$

which, in view of (2.4), immediately yields the inequality

$$\left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1) g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \leq \frac{|\alpha_1|(1+|B||z|)}{|\alpha_1 - 2m| - |(p-\eta)(B-A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B||z|} \times \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1) g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|. \tag{2.7}$$

Next, since $\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}$ is majorized by $\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}$ in Δ^* , from (1.2), we have

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}=\varphi(z)\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}$$

Differentiating it with respect to z and multiplying by z, we obtain

$$z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m+1)}=z\varphi'(z)\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}+z\varphi(z)\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m+1)}.$$

Using (2.6), in the above equation, we get

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)\right)^{(m)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} z \varphi'(z) \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} + \varphi(z) \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, noting that $\varphi \in P$ satisfies the following inequality (see, e.g., Nehari [23])

$$|\varphi'(z)| \le \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2},$$
 (2.9)

and making use of (2.7) and (2.9) in (2.8), we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \\ \leq \left(|\varphi(z)| + \frac{|z|(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)(1 + |B||z|)}{(1 - |z|^2) \left[|\alpha_1 - 2m| - |(p - \eta)(B - A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B||z| \right]} \right) \\ \times \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|, \end{split}$$

which, upon setting

$$|z| = r$$
 and $|\varphi(z)| = \rho$ $(0 \le \rho \le 1)$,

leads us to the inequality

$$\left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\Phi(\rho)}{(1 - r^2)(|\alpha_1 - 2m| - |(p - \eta)(B - A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B|r)} \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|,$$

where

$$\Phi(\rho) = -r(1+|B|r)\rho^2 + (1-r^2)(|\alpha_1-2m|-|(p-\eta)(B-A)+(\alpha_1-2m)B|r)\rho + r(1+|B|r)$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$, with $r_1 = r_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta, A, B)$ given by (2.2). Furthermore, if $0 \le \sigma \le r_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta, A, B)$, then the function $\Psi(\rho)$ defined by

$$\Psi(\rho) = -\sigma(1 + |B|\sigma)\rho^2 + (1 - \sigma^2)(|\alpha_1 - 2m| - |(p - \eta)(B - A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B|\sigma)\rho + \sigma(1 + |B|\sigma)$$
(2.10)

is an increasing function on the interval $0 \le \rho \le 1$, so that

$$\Psi(\rho) \le \Psi(1) = (1 - \sigma^2)(|\alpha_1 - 2m| - |(p - \eta)(B - A) + (\alpha_1 - 2m)B|\sigma)$$
$$(0 \le \rho \le 1; \ 0 \le \sigma \le r_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta, A, B).$$

Hence, upon setting $\rho = 1$, in (2.10), we conclude that (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds true for $|z| \le r_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta, A, B)$, where $r_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta, A, B)$ is given by (2.2). We complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Setting A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 2.1, equation (2.2) becomes

$$|\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)|r^3 - (|\alpha_1 - 2m| + 2)r^2 - (|\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)| + 2)r + |\alpha_1 - 2m| = 0.$$
(2.11)

We observe that r = -1 is one of the roots of this equation, and the other two roots are given by

$$|\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)|r^2 - (|\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)| + |\alpha_1 - 2m| + 2)r + |\alpha_1 - 2m| = 0,$$

so we can easily find the smallest positive root of (2.11). Hence, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.1. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in S_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}(\eta)$. If

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\ll \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} \quad (z\in\Delta^*;\ m\in\mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$\left|\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \leq \left|\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \quad for \quad |z| \leq r_2,$$

where

$$r_2 = r_2(p, \alpha_1, m, \eta) = \frac{\xi - \sqrt{\xi^2 - 4|\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)||\alpha_1 - 2m|}}{2|\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)|},$$
(2.12)

with $\xi = |\alpha_1 + 2(p - m - \eta)| + |\alpha_1 - 2m| + 2$, and $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$; $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \eta < p$.

As a special case of Corollary 2.1, when $\lambda = \mu = 0$, we obtain the following result for the Liu-Srivastava operator $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$:

Corollary 2.2. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in S_{p,q,s}^{0,0,m}(\eta)$. If

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)} \ll \left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} \quad (z \in \Delta^*; \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$\left|\left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \leq \left|\left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \quad for \quad |z| \leq r_2,$$

where r_2 is given by (2.12).

Next, let us note that

$$H_{1,2,1}(1,1;1)f(z) = f(z)$$
 and $H_{1,2,1}(2,1;1)f(z) = 2f(z) + zf'(z)$.

If we choose p = 1, q = 2, s = 1, $\eta = 0$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, then, for m = 0 and m = 1, Corollary 2.2 reduces to the following Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4, respectively.

Corollary 2.3. Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that $g \in S_{1,2,1}^{0,0,0}(1;0) = S^*$. If f(z) is majorized by g(z) in Δ^* , then

$$|2f(z) + zf'(z)| \le |2g(z) + zg'(z)|$$
 for $|z| \le \frac{3 - \sqrt{6}}{3}$.

Corollary 2.4. Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that $g \in S_{1,2,1}^{0,0,1}(1;0) = K$. If f'(z) is majorized by g'(z) in Δ^* , then

$$|3f'(z) + zf''(z)| \le |3g'(z) + zg''(z)|$$
 for $|z| \le 2 - \sqrt{3}$.

3. Majorization Problem for the Class $I_{v,a,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\alpha,b;A,B]$

Next, we state and prove

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\alpha,b;A,B]$. If

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\ll \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}\quad (z\in\Delta^*;\;m\in\mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$\left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \le \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1 + 1) g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \quad for \quad |z| \le r_1', \tag{3.1}$$

where $r'_1 = r'_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \alpha, b, A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$|pb\cos\alpha(B-A) + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}|r^3 - (|\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| + 2|B|)r^2$$

$$-(|pb\cos\alpha(B-A) + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}| + 2)r + |\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| = 0,$$

$$(m \in \mathbb{N}_0; p \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}; -1 \le B < A \le 1).$$
(3.2)

Proof. Since $g \in I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}[\alpha,b;A,B]$, it follows from (1.7) that

$$1 - \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{b\cos\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z) \right)^{(m+1)}}{p \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z) \right)^{(m)}} + m + 1 \right) = \frac{1 + A\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)},\tag{3.3}$$

where $\omega(z)$ is defined as (2.4).

From (3.3), we have

$$-\frac{z\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m+1)}}{p\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}} = \frac{(m+1)e^{i\alpha} - [b\cos\alpha(B-A) - (m+1)Be^{i\alpha}]\omega(z)}{e^{i\alpha}[1+B\omega(z)]}.$$
(3.4)

Now, using the identity (2.6) in (3.4) and making simple calculations, we obtain

$$\frac{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1}+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}}{\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1})g(z)\right)^{(m)}} = \frac{\left[\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m\right]e^{i\alpha}+\left[pb\cos\alpha(B-A)+(\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}\right]\omega(z)}{\alpha_{1}e^{i\alpha}\left[1+B\omega(z)\right]}$$

which, in view of (2.4), immediately yields the following inequality

$$\left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1})g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{|\alpha_{1}|(1+|B||z|)}{|\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m|-|pb\cos\alpha(B-A)+(\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}||z|} \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1}+1)g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|.$$
(3.5)

Next, making use of (2.9) and (3.5) in (2.8), and just as the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1}+1)f(z) \right)^{(m)} \right| \\ \leq \left(|\varphi(z)| + \frac{|z|(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2})(1+|B||z|)}{(1-|z|^{2})[|\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m|-|pb\cos\alpha(B-A)+(\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}||z|]} \right) \\ \times \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1}+1)g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|, \end{split}$$

which, upon setting |z| = r and $|\varphi(z)| = \rho$ ($0 \le \rho \le 1$), leads us to the inequality

$$\left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1}+1)f(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\Phi_{1}(\rho)}{(1-r^{2})[|\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m|-|pb\cos\alpha(B-A)+(\alpha_{1}+(1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}|r]}$$

$$\times \left| \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_{1}+1)g(z) \right)^{(m)} \right|, \tag{3.6}$$

where the function $\Phi_1(\rho)$ defined by

$$\Phi_1(\rho) = -r(1+|B|r)\rho^2 + (1-r^2)[|\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| - |pb\cos\alpha(B-A) + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}|r]\rho + r(1+|B|r)$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$ with $r'_1 = r'_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \alpha, b, A, B)$ given by (3.2). Moreover, if $0 \le \delta \le r'_1(p, \alpha_1, m, \alpha, b, A, B)$, then the function

$$\Psi_{1}(\rho) = -\delta(1 + |B|\delta)\rho^{2} + (1 - \delta^{2})[|\alpha_{1} + (1 - p)m| - |pb\cos\alpha(B - A) + (\alpha_{1} + (1 - p)m)Be^{i\alpha}|\delta]\rho + \delta(1 + |B|\delta)$$

increases on the interval $0 \le \rho \le 1$, so that $\Psi_1(\rho)$ does not exceed

$$\begin{split} \Psi_1(1) &= (1-\delta^2)[|\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| - |pb\cos\alpha(B-A) + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)Be^{i\alpha}|\delta] \\ &\qquad \qquad (0 \leq \delta \leq r_1'(p,\alpha_1,m,\alpha,b,A,B)). \end{split}$$

Therefore, from this fact, (3.6) gives the inequality (3.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. Setting A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 3.1, equation (3.2) becomes

$$\left| 2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha} \right| r^3 - \left(\left| \alpha_1 + (1-p)m \right| + 2\right)r^2 - (\left| 2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha} \right| + 2\right)r + \left| \alpha_1 + (1-p)m \right| = 0.$$
(3.7)

We observe that r = -1 is one of the roots of this equation, and the other two roots are given by

$$|2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha}|r^2 - (|2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha}| + |\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| + 2)r$$

$$+|\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| = 0,$$

so we can easily find the smallest positive root of (3.7). Hence, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in I_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu,m}(\alpha,b)$. If

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\ll \left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}\quad (z\in\Delta^*;\;m\in\mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$\left|\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \leq \left|\left(H_{p,q,s}^{\lambda,\mu}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \ for \ |z| \leq r_2',$$

where

$$r'_{2} = r'_{2}(p, \alpha_{1}, m, \alpha, b) = \frac{\zeta - \sqrt{\zeta^{2} - 4 \left| 2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_{1} + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha} \right| \left| \alpha_{1} + (1-p)m \right|}}{2 \left| 2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_{1} + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha} \right|},$$
(3.8)

with $\zeta = \left| 2pb\cos\alpha + (\alpha_1 + (1-p)m)e^{i\alpha} \right| + |\alpha_1 + (1-p)m| + 2$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $\alpha_1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$; $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Putting $\lambda = \mu = 0$ in Corollary 3.1, we obtain the following result for the Liu-Srivastava operator $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$:

Corollary 3.2. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in I_{p,q,s}^{0,0,m}(\alpha,b)$. If

$$\left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)\right)^{(m)} \ll \left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)\right)^{(m)} \quad (z \in \Delta^*; \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$\left|\left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \leq \left|\left(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)\right)^{(m)}\right| \ for \ |z| \leq r_2',$$

where r'_2 is given by (3.8).

Further, putting $p=1, q=2, s=1, m=0, \alpha_1=\beta_1=1$ and $\alpha_2=b=1$ in Corollary 3.2, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that $g \in I_{1,2,1}^{0,0,0}(\alpha,1)$. If f(z) is majorized by g(z) in Δ^* , then

$$\left|2f(z)+zf'(z)\right| \leq \left|2g(z)+zg'(z)\right| \quad for \quad |z| \leq r_5,$$

where

$$r_5 = r_5(\alpha) = \frac{\varrho - \sqrt{\varrho^2 - 4 \left| 2\cos\alpha + e^{i\alpha} \right|}}{2 \left| 2\cos\alpha + e^{i\alpha} \right|} \quad (\varrho = \left| 2\cos\alpha + e^{i\alpha} \right| + 3; \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}),$$

which reduces to Corollary 2.3 for $\alpha = 0$.

References

- [1] O. Altintas, H. M. Srivastava, Some majorization problems associated with *p*-valently starlike and convex functions of complex order, East Asian Math J. 17 (2) (2001) 207–218.
- [2] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan, H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, Complex Var. 46 (2001) 207–218.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, Coefficient results for some classes of meromorphic functions, J. Natural Sci. Math. 27 (2) (1987) 81–97.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, N.-Eng Xu, Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of p-valent mermorphic functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 642–650.
- [5] N. E. Cho, I. H. Kim, Inclusion properties of certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 187 (1) (2007) 115–121.
- [6] J. Dziok, H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999) 1–13.
- [7] J. Dziok, H. M. Srivastava, Some subclasses of analytic functions with fixed argument of coefficients associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 5 (2002) 115–125.
- [8] J. Dziok, H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Trans. Spec. Funct. 14 (2003) 7–18.
- [9] A. W. Goodman, Univalent Functions, Mariner Publishing Company, Tampa, Florida, 1983.

- [10] P. Goswami, M. K. Aouf, Majorization properties for certain classes of analytic functions using the Salagean operator, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (11) (2010) 1351–1354.
- [11] P. Goswami, B. Sharma, T. Bulboaca, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions using multiplier transformation, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (10) (2010) 633–637.
- [12] P. Goswami, Z.-G. Wang, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. 21 (2009) 97–104.
- [13] S. P. Goyal, S. K. Bansal, P. Goswami, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by linear operator using differential subordination, J. Appl. Math. Stat. Inform. 6 (2) (2010) 45–50.
- [14] S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by fractional derivatives, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (12) (2009) 1855–1858.
- [15] S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami, Majorization for certain classes of meromorphic functions defined by integral operator, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie Sklodowska Lublin-Polonia. 2 (2012) 57–62.
- [16] S.-H. Li, H. Tang, En. Ao, Majorization properties for certain new classes of analytic functions using the Salagean operator, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 2013: 86, doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-86.
- [17] J.-L. Liu, A linear operator and its applications on meromorphic *p*-valent functions, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica. 31 (1) (2003) 23–32.
- [18] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 259 (2) (2001) 566–581.
- [19] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Math. Comput. Modell. 39 (2004) 21–34.
- [20] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a certain linear operator, Math. Comput. Modell. 39 (1) (2004) 35–44.
- [21] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, Certain properties of the Dziok-Srivastava operator, Appl. Math. Comput. 159 (2004) 485–493.
- [22] T. H. MacGregor, Majorization by univalent functions, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967) 95–102.
- [23] Z. Nehari, Conformal Mapping, MacGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1955.
- [24] S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math. 39 (1987) 1057–1077.
- [25] J. Patel, A. K. Mishra, H. M. Srivastava, Classes of multivalent analytic functions involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator, Comput. Math. Appl. 54 (2007) 599–616.
- [26] J. K. Prajapat, M. K. Aouf, Majorization problem for certain class of p-valently analytic function defined by generalized fractional differintegral operator, Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (2012) 42–47.
- [27] R. K. Raina, H. M. Srivastava, A new class of meromorphically multivalent functions with applications to generalized hypergeometric functions, Math. Comput. Modell. 43 (3-4) (2006) 350–356.
- [28] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, Some characterizations and distortions theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators, and certain subclasses of analytic functions, Nagoya Math. J. 106 (1987) 1–28.
- [29] H. Tang, G.-T. Deng, S.-H. Li, Majorization properties for certain classes of analytic functions involving a generalized differential operator, Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications, 33(5) (2013) 578–586.
- [30] H. Tang, S.-H. Li, G.-T. Deng, Majorization properties for a new subclass of θ -spiral functions of order γ , Mathematica Slovaca, 64 (1) (2014) 1–12.
- [31] B. A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43 (1) (1991) 137–140.
- [32] D. Yang, On a class of meromorphic starlike multivalent functions, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica. 24 (2) (1996) 151–157.
- [33] D. Yang, Certain convolution operators for meromorphic functions, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 25 (1) (2001) 175–186.