



(p, q)-Bivariate-Bernstein-Chlodowsky Operators

Nadeem Rao^a, Abdul Wafi^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi-110025

Abstract. In this article, we construct Bivariate-Bernstein -Chlodowsky operators based on (p, q) -integers. We give the basic estimates for these operators. Moreover, we discuss rate of convergence and pointwise approximation in Lipschitz class. In the last, we prove weighted approximation results.

1. Introduction

In 1912, Bernstein [5] gave a sequence of polynomials based on binomial distribution which are known as classical Bernstein operators as follows

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

where $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$. The purpose of these polynomials was to give a simple proof of Weirstrass approximation theorem which plays a central role in the development of operators theory. Using these operators, he proved the poitwise and uniform approximation on $[0, 1]$. Bernstein operators defined by (1) and its various generalizations have applications in numerical analysis, computer added geometric design (CAGD) and in solving problem of differential equations. Later on, various linear positive operators have been studied to approximate continuous and Lebesgue measurable functions (see Wafi and Rao ([17], [19], [20]) and Rao and Wafi [18]. Chlodowsky introduced a generalization of operators (1) in 1932 on interval $[0, b_n]$ as follows

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{kb_n}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{x}{b_n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{x}{b_n}\right)^{n-k}, \quad (2)$$

where $0 \leq x \leq b_n$ and b_n is the sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} = 0. \quad (3)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A10; 41A25; 41A36

Keywords. (p, q) -integers; (p, q) -Bernstein operators; modulus of continuity

Received: 21 October 2016; Accepted: 04 December 2016

Communicated by Snežana C. Živković-Zlatanović

This work is carried out with the support of UGC BSR fellowship.

Email addresses: nadeemrao1990@gmail.com (Nadeem Rao), awafi@jmi.ac.in (Abdul Wafi)

For the last two decades, q -calculus influenced as a new field of research in operator theory. Lupas [10] introduced q -analogue of classical Bernstein operators and another q -extension of Bernstein operators was given by Philips [14]. The convergence for q -analogue of these polynomials is faster than the classical one.

Recently, the application of (p, q) -calculus emerged in the field of operator theory. First extension using (p, q) -integers for Bernstein operators was given by Mursaleen et al [11] (see also [21], [22] and references therein). (p, q) -analogue in operators increase flexibility in controlling the shapes of curves and surfaces (see [8], [9]) and increase the radius of convergence i.e. radius of convergence is directly proportional to the parameter p ([13]). Now, we recall some basic notion and results from [11] as follows, let $0 < q < p \leq 1$. Then (p, q) -integers for non negative integers n, k are given by

$$[k]_{p,q} = \frac{p^k - q^k}{p - q} \quad \text{and} \quad [k]_{p,q} = 1 \quad \text{for } k = 0.$$

(p, q) -binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{k}_{p,q} = \frac{[n]_{p,q}!}{[k]_{p,q}![n-k]_{p,q}!}$$

and (p, q) -binomial expansion

$$\begin{aligned} (ax + by)_{p,q}^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} p^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} a^{n-k} b^k x^{n-k} y^k, \\ (x + y)_{p,q}^n &= (x + y)(px + py)(p^2x + q^2y) \dots (p^{n-1}x - q^{n-1}y). \end{aligned}$$

(p, q) -Bernstein-Chlodowsky operators [4] is defined as follows

$$C_{n,p,q}(f; x) = \frac{1}{p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k}^{p,q}(x) f\left(\frac{[k]_{pq}}{[n]_{pq} p^{k-n}} b_n\right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$c_{n,k}^{p,q}(x) = \frac{1}{p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \binom{n}{k}_{p,q} \left(\frac{x}{b_n}\right)^k \prod_{j=0}^{n-k-1} \left(p^j - q^j \frac{x}{b_n}\right)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{[n]_{p,q}} = 0$. In the view of above, we define a Bivariate Bernstein Chlodowsky operators based on (p, q) -integers.

2. Construction of (p, q) -Bivariate Bernstein Chlodowsky Operators

Let $J_1 \times J_2 = [0, c_n] \times [0, c_m]$ and $(x, y) \in J_1 \times J_2$. Then, for a function $f \in C(J_1 \times J_2)$, the (p, q) -Bivariate-Bernstein-Chlodowsky operators $C_{n,m}(f; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) = C_{n,m}(f; x, y, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2)$ are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,m}(f; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{1}{p_1^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} p_2^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}} \sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^m c_{n,k_1}^{p_1,q_1}(x) c_{m,k_2}^{p_2,q_2}(y) \\ &\times f\left(\frac{[k_1]_{p_1 q_1}}{[n]_{p_1 q_1} p_1^{k_1-n}} b_n, \frac{[k_2]_{p_2 q_2}}{[m]_{p_2 q_2} p_2^{k_2-m}} b_m\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,k_1}^{p_1,q_1}(x) &= \frac{1}{p_1^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} \binom{n}{k_1}_{p_1,q_1} \left(\frac{x}{b_n}\right)^{k_1} \prod_{j=0}^{n-k_1-1} \left(p_1^j - q_1^j \frac{x}{b_n}\right) \\ c_{m,k_2}^{p_2,q_2}(y) &= \frac{1}{p_2^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}} \binom{m}{k_2}_{p_2,q_2} \left(\frac{y}{b_m}\right)^{k_2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-k_2-1} \left(p_2^j - q_2^j \frac{y}{b_m}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}} = 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}} = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. [4] For the operators $C_{n,p,q}$ defined by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,p,q}(e_0; x) &= 1, \\ C_{n,p,q}(e_1; x) &= x, \\ C_{n,p,q}(e_2; x) &= \frac{p^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p,q}} x + \frac{q[n-1]_{p,q}}{[n]_{p,q}} x^2, \\ C_{n,p,q}(e_3; x) &= \frac{b_n^2 x}{[n]_{p,q}^2} p^{2n-2} + \frac{(2p+q)q[n-1]_{p,q}x^2 b_n}{[n]_{p,q}^2} p^{n-1} + \frac{q^3[n-1]_{p,q}[n-2]_{p,q}x^3}{[n]_{p,q}^2}, \\ C_{n,p,q}(e_4; x) &= \frac{b_n^3 x}{[n]_{p,q}^3} p^{3n-3} + \frac{q(3p^2 + 3pq + q^3)[n-1]_{p,q}b_n^2 x^2}{[n]_{p,q}^3} p^{2n-4} \\ &\quad + \frac{q^3(3p^2 + 2pq + q^2)[n-1]_{p,q}[n-2]_{p,q}b_n x^3}{[n]_{p,q}^3} p^{n-3} + \frac{q^6[n-1]_{p,q}[n-2]_{p,q}[n-3]_{p,q}x^4}{[n]_{p,q}^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_v(t) = t^v$, $v = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $e_{i,j}(x, y) = x^i y^j$, $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$ are test functions. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= 1, \\ C_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= x, \\ C_{n,m}(e_{0,1}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= y, \\ C_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}} x + \frac{q_1[n-1]_{p_1,q_1}}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}} x^2, \\ C_{n,m}(e_{0,2}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}} y + \frac{q_2[m-1]_{p_2,q_2}}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}} y^2, \\ C_{n,m}(e_{3,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{b_n^2 x}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}^2} p_1^{2n-2} + \frac{(2p_1+q_1)q_1[n-1]_{p_1,q_1}x^2 b_n}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}^2} p_1^{n-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{q_1^3[n-1]_{p_1,q_1}[n-2]_{p_1,q_1}x^3}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}^2}, \\ C_{n,m}(e_{0,3}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{b_m^2 y}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}^2} p_2^{2m-2} + \frac{(2p_2+q_2)q_2[m-1]_{p_2,q_2}y^2 b_m}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}^2} p_2^{m-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{q_2^3[m-1]_{p_2,q_2}[m-2]_{p_2,q_2}y^3}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n,m}(e_{4,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{b_n^3 x}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}^3} p_1^{3n-3} + \frac{q_1(3p_1^2 + 3q_1 p_1 + q_1^3)[n-1]_{p_1, q_1} b_n^2 x^2}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}^3} p_1^{2n-4} \\
&+ \frac{q_1^3(3p_1^2 + 2p_1 q_1 + q_1^2)[n-1]_{p_1, q_1} [n-2]_{p_1, q_1} b_n x^3}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}^3} p_1^{n-3} \\
&+ \frac{q_1^6 [n-1]_{p_1, q_1} [n-2]_{p_1, q_1} [n-3]_{p_1, q_1} x^4}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}^3}, \\
C_{n,m}(e_{0,4}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{b_m^3 y}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}^3} p_2^{3m-3} + \frac{q_2(3p_2^2 + 3q_2 p_2 + q_2^3)[m-1]_{p_2, q_2} b_m^2 y^2}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}^3} \\
&\times p_2^{2m-4} + \frac{q_2^3(3p_2^2 + 2p_2 q_2 + q_2^2)[m-1]_{p_2, q_2} [m-2]_{p_2, q_2} b_m y^3}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}^3} \\
&\times p_2^{m-3} + \frac{q_2^6 [m-1]_{p_2, q_2} [m-2]_{p_2, q_2} [m-3]_{p_2, q_2} y^4}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of definition of the operators defined by 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_0; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_0; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_1; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_0; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{0,1}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_0; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_1; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_2; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_0; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{0,2}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_0; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_2; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{3,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_3; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_0; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{0,3}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_0; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_3; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{4,0}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_4; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_0; y, p_2, q_2), \\
C_{n,m}(e_{0,4}; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= C_n(e_0; x, p_1, q_1) C_n(e_4; y, p_2, q_2).
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of these equalities, we can easily prove required result. \square

Lemma 2.3. *For the operators given by 5, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n,m}(t-x; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= 0, \\
C_{n,m}(s-y; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= 0, \\
C_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{p_1^{n-1} x (b_n - x)}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}, \\
C_{n,m}((s-y)^2; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &= \frac{p_2^{m-1} y (b_m - y)}{[n]_{p_1, q_2}}, \\
C_{n,m}((t-x)^4; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &\leq \frac{30p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}} (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x), \\
C_{n,m}((s-x)^4; x, y, p_{12}, q_{12}) &\leq \frac{30p_1^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}} (y^4 + y^3 + y^2 + y).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2, we prove Lemma 2.3. \square

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ be any two given intervals and the set $B(X \times Y) = \{f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is bounded on } X \times Y\}$. For $f \in B(X \times Y)$, let the function $\omega_{\text{total}}(f; \cdot, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined for any $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ by $\omega_{\text{total}}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq \delta_1, |y-y'| \leq \delta_2} \{|f(x, y) - f(x', y')| : (x, y), (x', y') \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)\}$, is called the first order modulus of smoothness of the function f or the total modulus of continuity of the function f .

In order to get the rate of convergence and degree of approximation for the operators $C_{n,m}$, we consider $p_1 = p_n, p_2 = p_m$ and $q_1 = q_n, q_2 = q_m$ such that $0 < q_n < p_n \leq 1$ and $0 < q_m < p_m \leq 1$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^n \rightarrow a, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m^m \rightarrow b, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n^n \rightarrow c, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m^m \rightarrow d \quad (6)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \rightarrow 1, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \rightarrow 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n \rightarrow 1, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m \rightarrow 1, \quad (7)$$

where $0 \leq a, b < c, d < 1$. Here, we recall the following result due to Volkov [16]:

Theorem 3.2. Let I and J be compact intervals of the real line. Let $L_{n,m} : C(I \times J) \rightarrow C(I \times J)$, $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be linear positive operators. If

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} L_{n,m}(e_{ij}) = e_{x,y}, (i, j) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} L_{n,m}(e_{20} + e_{02}) = e_{20} + e_{02},$$

uniformly on $I \times J$, then the sequence $(L_{n,m}f)$ converges to f uniformly on $I \times J$ for any $f \in C(I \times J)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $e_{ij}(x, y) = x^i y^j$ ($0 \leq i+j \leq 2, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$) be the test functions defined on $J_1 \times J_2$ and $(p_n), (q_n), (p_m), (q_m)$ be the sequences defined by (6) and (7). If

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}e_{ij})(x, y) = e_{ij}(x, y), (i, j) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}(e_{20} + e_{02}))(x, y) = e_{20}(x, y) + e_{02}(x, y),$$

uniformly on $J_1 \times J_2$, then

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}f)(x, y) = f(x, y),$$

uniformly for any $f \in C(J_1 \times J_2)$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2, it is obvious for $i = j = 0$

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}e_{00})(x, y) = e_{00}(x, y).$$

For $i = 1$ and $j = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}e_{10})(x, y) = x.$$

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}e_{10})(x, y) = e_{00}(x, y).$$

For $i = 0$ and $j = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}e_{01})(x, y) &= y, \\ \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}e_{01})(x, y) &= e_{01}(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}(e_{20} + e_{02})(x, y)) &= \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{p_1^{n-1}b_n}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}}x + \frac{q_1[n-1]_{p_1,q_1}}{[n]_{p_1,q_1}}x^2 + \frac{p_2^{m-1}b_m}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}}y + \frac{q_2[m-1]_{p_2,q_2}}{[m]_{p_2,q_2}}y^2 \right\} \\ \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n,m}(e_{20} + e_{02})(x, y)) &= e_{20}(x, y) + e_{02}(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.2, we completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4. [15] Let $L : C([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)) \rightarrow B([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ be a linear positive operator. For any $f \in C(X \times Y)$, any $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}|(Lf)(x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq |Le_{0,0}(x, y) - 1||f(x, y)| + [Le_{0,0}(x, y) + \delta_1^{-1} \sqrt{Le_{0,0}(x, y)(L(\cdot - x))^2(x, y)} \\ &\quad + \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{Le_{0,0}(x, y)(L(\cdot - y))^2(x, y)} \\ &\quad + \delta_1^{-1}\delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(Le_{0,0})^2(x, y)(L(\cdot - x))^2(x, y)(L(\cdot - y))^2(x, y)}] \omega_{total}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2),\end{aligned}$$

holds.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in C(J_1 \times J_2)$ and $(x, y) \in J_1 \times J_2$. Then, for $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, we have

$$|(C_{n,m}f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq 4\omega_{total}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2),$$

where $\delta_1 = \sqrt{C_{n,m}((t-x)^2, x, y, p_{12}, q_{12})}$ and $\delta_2 = \sqrt{C_{n,m}((s-y)^2, x, y, p_{12}, q_{12})}$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(C_{n,m}f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq |f(x, y)| \\ &\leq [1 + \delta_1^{-1} \sqrt{C_{n,m}((t-x)^2)(x, y)} + \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{C_{n,m}((s-y)^2)(x, y)} \\ &\quad + \delta_1^{-1}\delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{C_{n,m}((t-x)^2)(x, y)C_{n,m}((s-y)^2)(x, y)}] \omega_{total}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2).\end{aligned}$$

On choosing $\delta = \sqrt{C_{n,m}((t-x)^2)(x, y)}$ and $\delta_2 = \sqrt{C_{n,m}((s-y)^2)(x, y)}$, we get the required result. \square

Now, we shall prove degree of approximation for the operators $C_{n,m}$ in Lipschitz class. We consider the Lipschitz class $Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ in terms of two variables as follows:

$$|f(t, s) - f(x, y)| \leq M|t - x|^{\gamma_1}|s - y|^{\gamma_2},$$

where $M > 0$, $0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 1$ and for any $(t, s), (x, y) \in J_1 \times J_2$.

Theorem 3.6. For $f \in Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, we have

$$|C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq M\delta_n^{\gamma_1/2}(x)\delta_m^{\gamma_2/2}(y)$$

where $\delta_n(x) = C_{n,m}((t-x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y)$ and $\delta_m(y) = C_{n,m}((s-y)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y)$.

Proof. Since $f \in Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y)| &= f(x, y) \\ &\leq C_{n,m}(|f(t, s) - f(x, y)|; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \\ &\leq MC_{n,m}(|t - x|^{\gamma_1}|s - y|^{\gamma_2}; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \\ &= MC_{n,m}(|t - x|^{\gamma_1}; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \\ &\quad \times C_{n,m}(|s - y|^{\gamma_2}; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder inequality with $\alpha_1 = \frac{2}{\gamma_1}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{2}{2-\gamma_1}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{2}{\gamma_2}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{2}{2-\gamma_2}$, respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \left\{ C_{n,m}((t-x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \right\}^{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ C_{n,m}(1; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \right\}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma_1}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ C_{n,m}((s-x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \right\}^{\frac{\gamma_2}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ C_{n,m}(1; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m; x, y) \right\}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma_2}} \\ &= M\delta_n^{\gamma_1/2}(x)\delta_m^{\gamma_2/2}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof of Theorem 3.6. \square

Here, we discuss degree of approximation in weighted space for the operator defined by (5). We recall some basic notions from [7] as

$B_\rho([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ is the space of all functions defined on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ with the condition $|f(x, y)| \leq M_f \rho(x, y)$, where M_f is a positive constant depending on f and $\rho(x, y) = 1 + x^2 + y^2$ is a weight function. $C_\rho([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)) = \{f : f \text{ is a continuous function belongs to } B_\rho([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))\}$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_\rho = \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{|f(x,y)|}{\rho(x,y)}$ and $C_\rho^k([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)) = \left\{ f : f \in C_\rho \text{ and } \lim_{x,y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x,y)|}{\rho(x,y)} < k \right\}$. For all $f \in C_\rho^k$, the weighted modulus of continuity is defined as

$$\omega_\rho(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \sup_{|h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y)\rho(h_1, h_2)}$$

and

$$|f(t, s) - f(x, y)| \leq 8(1 + x^2 + y^2)\omega_\rho(f; \delta_n, \delta_m) \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta_n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{|s-y|}{\delta_m}\right) (1 + (t-x)^2)(1 + (s-y)^2). \quad (8)$$

Theorem 3.7. If the operators $C_{n,m}$ defined by (5) satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{n,m}(1; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - 1\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{n,m}(t; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - x\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{n,m}(s; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - y\| &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{n,m}(t^2 + s^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - (x^2 + y^2)\| = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f\| = 0,$$

for each $f \in C_\rho^k([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2, we completes the proof of Theorem 3.7. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let $f \in C_p^k([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$. Then, we have

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{|C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f(x, y)|}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^3} \leq K\omega_p(f; \delta_n, \delta_m)$$

holds for the large value of n, m where $\delta_n = o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)$ and $\delta_m = o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)$.

Proof. From (8) and the operators (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f(x, y)| &= |f(x, y)| \\ &\leq 8(1 + x^2 + y^2)\omega_p(f; \delta_n, \delta_m) \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{C_{n,m}(|t - x|; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)}{\delta_n}\right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{C_{n,m}(|s - y|; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)}{\delta_n}\right) \\ &\times (1 + C_{n,m}((t - x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)) \\ &\times (1 + C_{n,m}((s - y)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f(x, y)| \\ &\leq 8(1 + x^2 + y^2)\omega_p(f; \delta_n, \delta_m) \left[1 + C_{n,m}((t - x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{C_{n,m}((t - x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)}}{\delta_n} \\ &\times \frac{\sqrt{C_{n,m}((t - x)^4; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)C_{n,m}((t - x)^4; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)}}{\delta_n} \\ &\times \left[1 + C_{n,m}((s - y)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) + \frac{\sqrt{C_{n,m}((s - y)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)}}{\delta_m} \right. \\ &\times \left. \frac{\sqrt{C_{n,m}((s - y)^4; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)C_{n,m}((s - y)^4; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y)}}{\delta_n} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,m}((t - x)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) &\leq o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)x, \\ C_{n,m}((s - y)^2; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) &\leq o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)y, \\ C_{n,m}((t - x)^4; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) &\leq o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x), \\ C_{n,m}((s - x)^4; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) &\leq o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)(y^4 + y^3 + y^2 + y). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Combining (9) and all identities in (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f(x, y)| \\
 & \leq 8(1 + x^2 + y^2)\omega_\rho(f; \delta_n, \delta_m) \left[1 + o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)x + \frac{\sqrt{o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)}x}{\delta_n} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left. \frac{\sqrt{o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)}x o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x)}{\delta_n} \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left[1 + o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)y + \frac{\sqrt{o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)}y}{\delta_m} \frac{\sqrt{o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)}y o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)(y^4 + y^3 + y^2 + y)}{\delta_n} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Choosing $\delta_n = o\left(\frac{p_1^{n-1} b_n}{[n]_{p_1, q_1}}\right)$ and $\delta_m = o\left(\frac{p_2^{m-1} b_m}{[m]_{p_2, q_2}}\right)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f(x, y)| \\
 & \leq 8(1 + x^2 + y^2)\omega_\rho(f; \delta_n, \delta_m) \left[1 + \delta_n x + \sqrt{x} \frac{\sqrt{x(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x)}}{\delta_n} \right] \left[1 + \delta_m y + \sqrt{y} \frac{\sqrt{y(y^4 + y^3 + y^2 + y)}}{\delta_n} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large value of n and m , we have

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{|C_{n,m}(f; q_n, q_m, p_n, p_m, x, y) - f(x, y)|}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^3} \leq K \omega_\rho(f; \delta_n, \delta_m),$$

where K is a positive constant independent of n, m and $\delta_n < 1, \delta_m < 1$.

References

- [1] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, (3rd edition), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1986.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, L-fuzzy sets, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 18 (1967) 145–174.
- [3] P. Erdős, S. Shelah, Separability properties of almost-disjoint families of sets, Israel Journal of Mathematics 12 (1972) 207–214.
- [4] K. J. Ansari, A. Karaisa; On the approximation by Chlodowsky type generalization of (p, q) -Bernstein operators, arXiv:submit/1455850 [math.CA] 15 Jan 2016.
- [5] S. N. Bernstein; Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul de probabilités, Commun. Soc. Math. Kharkow, 13 (2) (1912-1913) 1-2.
- [6] V. Gupta, R. P. Agarwal, Convergence Estimates in Approximation Theory, Springer, Cham., 2014.
- [7] N. Ispir, C. Atakut, Approximation by Modified Szász-Mirakjan operators on weighted space. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. 112 (4) (2002) 571-578.
- [8] K. Khan, D. K. Lobiyal; Bézier curves based on Lupaş (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein polynomials in CAGD, arXiv:1505.01810, 2015.
- [9] K. Khan, D. K. Lobiyal; A de Casteljau Algorithm for Bernstein type Polynomials based on (p, q) -integers, arXiv:1507.04110, 2015.
- [10] A. Lupaş; A q -analogue of the Bernstein operators, Seminar on Numerical and Statistical Calculus, University of Cluj-Napoca, 9 (1987) 85-98.
- [11] M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari, Asif Khan; On (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein operators, Appl. Math. Comput., 266 (2015) 874-882.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, K. J. Ansari, Asif Khan; Some approximation results by (p, q) -analogue of Bernstein-Stancu operators, Appl. Math. Comput., 264 (2015) 392-402.
- [13] M. Mursaleen, F. Khan, A. Khan, Approximation by (p, q) -Lorentz Polynomials on a Compact Disk, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory DOI 10.1007/s11785-016-0553-4.
- [14] G. M. Philips; Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers, The heritage of P.L. Chebyshev, Ann. Numer. Math., 4 (1997) 511-518.
- [15] F. Stancu, Approximarea funcțiilor de două și mai multe variabile prin siruri de operatori liniari și pozitivi, PhD Thesis, Cluj-Napoca, 1984 (Romanian).
- [16] V. I. Volkov, On the convergence of sequences of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions of two variables (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (NS) 115 (1957) 17-19.

- [17] A. Wafi, N. Rao, Szász-Durremeyer operators based on Dunkl analogue, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory.*, (2017), DOI 10.1007/s11785-017-0647-7.
- [18] N. Rao, A. Wafi, Stancu variant of generalized Baskakov operators, *Filomat* 31 (9) (2017), 26252632 .
- [19] A. Wafi, N. Rao, and Deepmala, On Kantorovich form of generalized Százs-type operators using Charlier polynomials, *Korean J. Math.* 25 (1) (2017) 99-116.
- [20] A. Wafi, N. Rao, A generalization of Szász-type operators which preserves constant and quadratic test functions, *Cogent Mathematics* (2016) 3:1227023.
- [21] N. Rao, A. Wafi, Bivariate-Schurer-Stancu operators based on (p,q) -integers, *Filomat* (2016) (In press).
- [22] A. Wafi, N. Rao, Approximation properties of $(p;q)$ -variant of Stancu-Schurer operators, *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática*, (2017) (In press).