



## Almost Sure Central Limit Theorem for Self-Normalized Partial Sums of Negatively Associated Random Variables

Qunying Wu<sup>a</sup>, Yuanying Jiang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Science, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, P.R. China

**Abstract.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a stationary sequence of negatively associated random variables. A universal result in almost sure central limit theorem for the self-normalized partial sums  $S_n/V_n$  is established, where:  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ .

### 1. Introduction

Starting with Brosamler [1] and Schatte [2], several authors investigated the almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) for partial sums  $S_n/\sigma_n$  of random variables in the last two decades. We refer the reader to Brosamler [1], Schatte [2], Lacey and Philipp [3], Ibragimov and Lifshits [4], Berkes and Csáki [5], Hörmann [6], Miao [7] and Wu [8] in this context. If  $\sigma_n$  is replaced by an estimate from the given data, usually denoted by  $V_n = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}$ ,  $V_n$  is called a self-normalizer of partial sums. A class of self-normalized random sequences has been proposed and studied in Peligrad and Shao [9], Pena et al. [10] and references therein. The past decade has witnessed a significant development in the field of limit theorems for the self-normalized sum  $S_n/V_n$ . We refer to Bentkus and Götze [11] for the Berry-Esseen bound, Gine et al. [12] for the asymptotic normality, Hu et al. [13] for the Cramer type moderate deviations, Csörgö et al. [14] for the Donsker's theorem, Huang and Pang [15], Zhang and Yang [16] and Wu [17] for the almost sure central limit theorems. In addition, Wu [17] proved the ASCLT for the self-normalized partial sums that reads as follows: Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables in the domain of attraction of the normal law with mean zero. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{S_k}{V_k} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where:  $d_k = \frac{\exp(\ln^\alpha k)}{k}, D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k, 0 \leq \alpha < 1/2, I$  denotes indicator function, and  $\Phi(x)$  stands for the standard normal distribution function.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F15

*Keywords.* Negatively associated random variables; Self-normalized partial sums; Almost sure central limit theorem.

Received: 15 February 2015; Revised 31 October 2015; Accepted: 27 March 2016

Communicated by Miroslav M. Ristić

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11361019, 11661029) and the Support Program of the Guangxi China Science Foundation (2014GXNSFAA118015, 2015GXNSFAA139008)

\* Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* wqy666@glut.edu.cn (Qunying Wu), jyy@glut.edu.cn (Yuanying Jiang)

Many results concerning the limit theory for the self-normalized partial sums from the NA random sequences have been obtained. However, since the denominator in the formula for the self-normalized partial sums contains random variables, the study of limit theory for self-normalized partial sums of NA random variables is very difficult, and so far, there are very few research results in this field. Thus, this is a challenging, difficult and meaningful research topic.

The purpose of this article is to establish the ASCLT for the self-normalized partial sums of NA random variables. We will show that the ASCLT holds under a fairly general growth condition on  $d_k$ , namely if  $d_k = k^{-1} \exp(\ln^\alpha k)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$ .

**Definition.** Random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, n \geq 2$ , are said to be negatively associated (NA) if for every pair of disjoint subsets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\text{cov}(f_1(X_i; i \in A_1), f_2(X_j; j \in A_2)) \leq 0,$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are increasing for every variable (or decreasing for every variable) functions such that this covariance exists. A sequence of random variables  $\{X_i; i \geq 1\}$  is said to be NA if its every finite subfamily is NA.

The concept of negative association was introduced by Alam and Saxena [18] and Joag-Dev and Proschan [19]. Statistical test depends greatly on sampling. The random sampling without replacement from a finite population is NA, but is not independent. Due to the wide applications of NA sampling in multivariate statistical analysis and reliability theory, the limit behaviors of NA random variables have received extensive attention recently. One can refer to: Joag-Dev and Proschan [19] for fundamental properties, Matuła [20] for the three series theorem, Su et al. [21] for the moment inequalities and weak convergence, Shao [22] for the Rosenthal type inequality and the Kolmogorov exponential inequality, Wu and Jiang [23] for the law of the iterated logarithm, Wu [24] for almost sure limit theorems, Wu and Chen [25, 26] for strong representation results of Kaplan-Meier estimator and the Berry-Esseen type bound in kernel density estimation.

In the following,  $a_n \sim b_n$  denotes  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 1$  and the symbol  $c$  stands for a generic positive constant which may differ from one place to another. We assume that  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a stationary sequence of NA random variables. By Newman [27],  $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}X_1^2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^\infty \mathbb{E}X_1 X_k$  always exists and  $\sigma^2 \in [0, \text{Var}X]$ . Furthermore, if  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , then  $\text{Var}S_n \sim n\sigma^2$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , the symbol  $S_n/V_n$  denotes self-normalized partial sums, where:  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ .

For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let:

$$\bar{X}_{ni} := -\sqrt{n}I(X_i < -\sqrt{n}) + X_i I(|X_i| \leq \sqrt{n}) + \sqrt{n}I(X_i > \sqrt{n}),$$

$$\bar{S}_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_{ni}, \quad \bar{V}_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_{ni}^2, \quad \bar{V}_{n,1}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_{ni}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ni} \geq 0), \quad \bar{V}_{n,2}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_{ni}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ni} < 0),$$

$$\sigma_n^2 := \text{Var}\bar{S}_n, \quad \delta_n^2 := \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{n1}^2, \quad \delta_{n,1}^2 := \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{n1}^2 I(\bar{X}_{n1} \geq 0), \quad \delta_{n,2}^2 := \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{n1}^2 I(\bar{X}_{n1} < 0).$$

Obviously,

$$\delta_n^2 = \delta_{n,1}^2 + \delta_{n,2}^2, \quad \mathbb{E}\bar{V}_n^2 = n\delta_n^2 = n\delta_{n,1}^2 + n\delta_{n,2}^2.$$

Our theorem is formulated in a more general setting.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a stationary sequence of NA random variables satisfying:

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0, \quad 0 < \mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty, \quad \sigma^2 > 0, \quad \mathbb{E}X^2 I(X \geq 0) > 0, \quad \mathbb{E}X^2 I(X < 0) > 0, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\sigma_n^2 \sim \beta^2 \mathbb{E}V_n^2 = \beta^2 n \delta_n^2 \text{ for some constant } \beta > 0. \tag{2}$$

Suppose that  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$  and set:

$$d_k = \frac{\exp(\ln^\alpha k)}{k}, \quad D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k. \tag{3}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

By the terminology of summation procedures (see e.g. Chandrasekharan and Minakshisundaram [28], p. 35), we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** Theorem 1.1 remains valid if we replace the weight sequence  $\{d_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  by any  $\{d_k^*\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $0 \leq d_k^* \leq d_k, \sum_{k=1}^\infty d_k^* = \infty$ .

**Remark 1.3.** If  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of independent random variables then, (2) holds with  $\beta = 1$ .

## 2. Proofs

The following four lemmas below play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 2.1 is due to Joag-Dev and Proschan [19], Lemma 2.2 has been stated by Su et al. [21], Lemma 2.3 has been established by Wu [17], and Lemma 2.4 is of our authorship; due to its length, the proof of Lemma 2.4 is given in Appendix.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Joag-Dev and Proschan [19]) If  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of NA random variables and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of nondecreasing (or nonincreasing) functions, then  $\{f_i(X_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a sequence of NA random variables.

**Lemma 2.2.** (Su et al. [21]) Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of NA random variables with zero mean and such that  $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^p < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots$  if  $p \geq 2$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}|S_n|^p \leq c_p \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \right)^{p/2} \right),$$

where  $c_p > 0$  only depends on  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** (Wu [17]) Let  $\{\xi, \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of uniformly bounded random variables. If there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$|\mathbb{E}\xi_k \xi_j| \leq c \left( \frac{k}{j} \right)^\delta, \text{ for } 1 \leq k < j,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \xi_k = 0 \text{ a.s.,}$$

where  $d_k$  and  $D_n$  are defined by (3).

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. Then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{k}} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left( f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,l}^2}{k\delta_{k,l}^2} \right) - \mathbb{E}f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,l}^2}{k\delta_{k,l}^2} \right) \right) = 0 \quad \text{a.s., } l = 1, 2, \tag{6}$$

where  $d_k$  and  $D_n$  are defined by (3) and  $f$  is a bounded function with bounded continuous derivatives.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For any given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , note that for  $x \geq 0$  and  $k \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x \right\} &\subseteq \left\{ \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k \mid |X_i| \leq \sqrt{k}, \bar{V}_k^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \exists 1 \leq i \leq k \mid |X_i| > \sqrt{k} \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)k}} \leq x \right\} \cup \left\{ \bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$I \left( \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x \right) \leq I \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)k}} \leq x \right) + I \left( \bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right) + I \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

Similarly, we have for any given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $x < 0$ ,

$$I \left( \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x \right) \leq I \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{(1 - \varepsilon)k}} \leq x \right) + I \left( \bar{V}_k^2 \leq (1 - \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right) + I \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right).$$

Furthermore, we get

$$I \left( \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x \right) \geq I \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{(1 - \varepsilon)k}} \leq x \right) - I \left( \bar{V}_k^2 \leq (1 - \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right) - I \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

$$I \left( \frac{S_k}{\beta V_k} \leq x \right) \geq I \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)k}} \leq x \right) - I \left( \bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2 \right) - I \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \quad \text{for } x < 0.$$

Hence, in order to establish (4), it suffices to prove:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{k}} \leq x \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon} \right) = \Phi(x \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon}) \quad \text{a.s.,} \tag{7}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I (\bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.,} \tag{8}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I(\bar{V}_k^2 \leq (1 - \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) = 0 \text{ a.s.}, \tag{9}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k})\right) = 0 \text{ a.s.}, \tag{10}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Firstly, we prove (7). By  $0 = \mathbb{E}X = \mathbb{E}X I(|X| \leq \sqrt{k}) + \mathbb{E}X I(|X| > \sqrt{k})$  and  $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}X I(|X| \leq \sqrt{k}) = -\mathbb{E}X I(|X| > \sqrt{k})$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 P(|X| > x) = 0$ , and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k| &\leq |k\mathbb{E}X I(|X| \leq \sqrt{k})| + k^{3/2}P(|X| > \sqrt{k}) = |k\mathbb{E}X I(|X| > \sqrt{k})| + o(\sqrt{k}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{k}\mathbb{E}X^2 I(|X| > \sqrt{k}) + o(\sqrt{k}) = o(\sqrt{k}). \end{aligned}$$

This, and the fact that  $\delta_k^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$ , when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , imply

$$I\left(\frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x} - \alpha\right) \leq I\left(\frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x}\right) \leq I\left(\frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x} + \alpha\right) \text{ for any } \alpha > 0.$$

Thus, by (5), we have as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x} - \alpha) &\leftarrow \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I\left(\frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x} - \alpha\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I\left(\frac{\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I\left(\frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x} + \alpha\right) \\ &\rightarrow \Phi(\sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x} + \alpha) \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  in (11), we obtain that (7) holds.

Now, we prove (8). Since  $\mathbb{E}\bar{V}_k^2 = k\delta_k^2$ ,  $\bar{V}_k^2 = \bar{V}_{k,1}^2 + \bar{V}_{k,2}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\bar{V}_{k,l}^2 = k\delta_{k,l}^2$ , and  $\delta_{k,l}^2 \leq \delta_k^2$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) &= I(\bar{V}_k^2 - \mathbb{E}\bar{V}_k^2 > \varepsilon k\delta_k^2) \leq I(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 - \mathbb{E}\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \varepsilon k\delta_k^2/2) + I(\bar{V}_{k,2}^2 - \mathbb{E}\bar{V}_{k,2}^2 > \varepsilon k\delta_k^2/2) \\ &\leq I(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon/2)k\delta_{k,1}^2) + I(\bar{V}_{k,2}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon/2)k\delta_{k,2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , in order to prove (8), it suffices to show that, for  $l = 1, 2$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I(\bar{V}_{k,l}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_{k,l}^2) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{12}$$

For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f$  denote a bounded function with bounded continuous derivatives, such that

$$I(x > 1 + \varepsilon) \leq f(x) \leq I(x > 1 + \varepsilon/2).$$

Obviously,  $\bar{X}_{ni}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ni} \geq 0)$  is monotonic on  $X_i$ , and thus, by Lemma 2.1,  $\{\bar{X}_{ni}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ni} \geq 0)\}_{n \geq 1, i \leq n}$  is also a sequence of NA random variables. From Lemma 2.2, the Markov inequality and the facts that  $\mathbb{E}\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 = k\delta_{k,1}^2$  and  $\delta_{k,1}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X^2 I(X \geq 0) > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon/2)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 - \mathbb{E}\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \varepsilon k\delta_{k,1}^2/2\right) \\ &\leq c \frac{\mathbb{E}(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 - \mathbb{E}\bar{V}_{k,1}^2)^2}{k^2} \leq c \frac{\mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{k1}^4 I(\bar{X}_{k1} \geq 0)}{k} \\ &\leq c \frac{\mathbb{E}X^4 I(0 \leq X \leq \sqrt{k}) + k^2 P(|X| > \sqrt{k})}{k}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using  $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$ , we have  $x^2 P(|X| > x) = o(1)$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}X^4 I(0 \leq X \leq \sqrt{k}) = \int_0^{\sqrt{k}} \mathbb{P}(|X| I(0 \leq X \leq \sqrt{k}) > t) 4t^3 dt \leq c \int_0^{\sqrt{k}} \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) t^3 dt = \int_0^{\sqrt{k}} o(1) t dt = o(1)k.$$

This and (13) imply

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon/2)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, it follows from (6) and the Toeplitz lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) \\ &\sim \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{E}I\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon/2)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{P}(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > (1 + \varepsilon/2)k\delta_{k,1}^2) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (12) holds for  $l = 1$ . Using similar methods to those used in the proof of (12) for  $l = 1$ , we can prove (12) for  $l = 2$ . Consequently, (8) holds. Moreover, applying identical methods to those used in the proof of (8), we can prove (9).

Finally, we shall prove (10). Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|I\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k})\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) = k\mathbb{P}(|X| > \sqrt{k}) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$I\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k})\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s., as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, by the Toeplitz lemma,

$$\frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence, (10) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 3. Appendix

As it has been mentioned, we give the proof of Lemma 2.4 in this part of our paper.

**Proof of Lemma 2.4.** Obviously,  $\bar{X}_{ni}$  is monotonic on  $X_i$ , and thus, by Lemma 2.1,  $\{\bar{X}_{ni}\}_{n \geq 1, i \leq n}$  is also a sequence of NA random variables. By the central limit theorem for NA random variables and the properties that:  $\sigma_n^2 \sim \beta^2 n \delta_n^2$ ,  $\delta_n^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X^2 > 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (see conditions (1) and (2)), we get

$$\frac{\bar{S}_n - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_n}{\beta \delta_n \sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N},$$

where  $\xrightarrow{d}$  denotes the convergence in distribution and  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the standard normal random variable. This implies that for any function  $g(x)$ , which is bounded and has bounded continuous derivatives

$$\mathbb{E}g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_n - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_n}{\beta \delta_n \sqrt{n}} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(\mathcal{N}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Hence, by the Toeplitz lemma, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{E}g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) = \mathbb{E}g(\mathcal{N}).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 7.1 of Billingsley [29] and Section 2 of Peligrad and Shao [9] that (5) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) = \mathbb{E}g(\mathcal{N}) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence, in order to prove (5), it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left( g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) - \mathbb{E}g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) \right) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{14}$$

for any  $g$  from the class of bounded functions having bounded continuous derivatives.

Let for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\xi_k = g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) - \mathbb{E}g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right).$$

Observe that, for any  $1 \leq k < j$ , we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{E}\xi_k \xi_j| &= \left| \text{Cov} \left( g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right), g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_j - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_j}{\beta\delta_j \sqrt{j}} \right) \right) \right| \\
 &= \left| \text{Cov} \left( g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right), g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_j - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_j}{\beta\delta_j \sqrt{j}} \right) - g \left( \frac{\sum_{i=k+1}^j (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji})}{\beta\delta_j \sqrt{j}} \right) \right) \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \text{Cov} \left( g \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right), g \left( \frac{\sum_{i=k+1}^j (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji})}{\beta\delta_j \sqrt{j}} \right) \right) \right| \\
 &=: I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, since  $g$  is a bounded Lipschitz function, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|g(x)| \leq c, |g(x) - g(y)| \leq c|x - y|$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . As  $\{\bar{X}_{ji}\}_{j \geq 1, i \leq j}$  is a sequence of NA random variables, as well as Lemma 2.2 and condition  $\delta_n^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$  hold, we obtain that

$$I_1 \leq c \frac{\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji}) \right|}{\sqrt{j}} \leq c \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji})^2 \right)}}{\sqrt{j}} \leq c \frac{\sqrt{k\mathbb{E}X^2}}{\sqrt{j}} \leq c \left( \frac{k}{j} \right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from the definition of negative association that  $\frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\beta\delta_k \sqrt{k}}, \frac{\sum_{i=k+1}^j (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji})}{\beta\delta_j \sqrt{j}}$  are NA and the assumption that  $g$  is a bounded function with bounded continuous derivatives. Thus, Lemma 2.3 of Zhang [30] is applied with:  $f(x) := -\sqrt{k}I(x < -\sqrt{k}) + xI(|x| \leq \sqrt{k}) + \sqrt{k}I(x > \sqrt{k}), g(y) := -\sqrt{j}I(y < -\sqrt{j}) + yI(|y| \leq \sqrt{j}) + \sqrt{j}I(y > \sqrt{j})$ ; the stationarity of  $\{X_i\}$ , and the facts that  $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  imply  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(X_1, X_m)| < \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq -c \text{Cov} \left( \frac{\bar{S}_k - \mathbb{E}\bar{S}_k}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\sum_{i=k+1}^j (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji})}{\sqrt{j}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{-c}{\sqrt{kj}} \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{l=1}^k (\bar{X}_{kl} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{kl}), \sum_{i=k+1}^j (\bar{X}_{ji} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ji}) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{-c}{\sqrt{kj}} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^j \text{Cov}(X_l, X_i) \\
 &\leq \frac{-c}{\sqrt{kj}} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{m=k-l+2}^{j-l+1} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_m) \\
 &\leq \frac{ck}{\sqrt{kj}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(X_1, X_m)| \\
 &\leq c \left( \frac{k}{j} \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3, (14) holds.

Now, we prove (6). Let

$$\eta_k = f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right) - \mathbb{E}f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right) \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq k < j.$$

Since  $\{\bar{X}_{ni}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ni} \geq 0)\}_{n \geq 1, i \leq n}$  is a sequence of NA random variables, we have  $\delta_{j,1}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X^2 I(X \geq 0)$  and  $0 < \mathbb{E}X^2 I(X \geq 0) < \infty$ . Thus, using Lemma 2.3 of Zhang [30] twice is used with:  $f(x) := x^2 I(0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{k}) + kI(x > \sqrt{k})$ ,  $g(y) := y^2 I(0 \leq y \leq \sqrt{j}) + jI(y > \sqrt{j})$ , we have for  $1 \leq k < j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}\eta_k \eta_j| &= \left| \text{Cov} \left( f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right), f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{j,1}^2}{j\delta_{j,1}^2} \right) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \text{Cov} \left( f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right), f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{j,1}^2}{j\delta_{j,1}^2} \right) - f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{j,1}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{X}_{ji}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ji} \geq 0)}{j\delta_{j,1}^2} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{Cov} \left( f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right), f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{j,1}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{X}_{ji}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ji} \geq 0)}{j\delta_{j,1}^2} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq c \frac{\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{X}_{ji}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ji} \geq 0) \right)}{j} - c \text{Cov} \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k}, \frac{\bar{V}_{j,1}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{X}_{ji}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ji} \geq 0)}{j} \right) \\ &\leq c \frac{k}{j} - \frac{c}{kj} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^j \text{Cov} \left( \bar{X}_{kl}^2 I(\bar{X}_{kl} \geq 0), \bar{X}_{ji}^2 I(\bar{X}_{ji} \geq 0) \right) \\ &\leq c \frac{k}{j} - \frac{c}{\sqrt{kj}} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^j \text{Cov}(X_l, X_i) \\ &\leq c \frac{k}{j} + c \left( \frac{k}{j} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \left( \frac{k}{j} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, (6) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors are very grateful to the referees and the Editors for their valuable comments and some helpful suggestions that improved the clarity and readability of the paper.

**References**

[1] Brosamler, G.A., 1988. An almost everywhere central limit theorem. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 104, 561-574.  
 [2] Schatte, P., 1988. On strong versions of the central limit theorem. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 137, 249-256.  
 [3] Lacey, M. T., Philipp, W., 1990. A note on the almost sure central limit theorem. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 9, 201-205.  
 [4] Ibragimov, I.A., Lifshits, M., 1998. On the convergence of generalized moments in almost sure central limit theorem. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 40, 343-351.  
 [5] Berkes, I., Csáki, E., 2001. A universal result in almost sure central limit theory. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 94, 105-134.  
 [6] Hörmann, S., 2007. Critical behavior in almost sure central limit theory. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 20, 613-636.  
 [7] Miao, Y., 2008. Central limit theorem and almost sure central limit theorem for the product of some partial sums. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences C. Mathematical Sciences*, 118 (2), 289-294.  
 [8] Wu, Q.Y., 2011. Almost sure limit theorems for stable distribution. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 81 (6): 662-672.  
 [9] Peligrad, M., Shao, Q.M., 1995. A note on the almost sure central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 22, 131-136.

- [10] Pena, V.H. de la, Lai, T.L., Shao, Q.M., 2009. *Self-Normalized Processes, Limit Theory and Statistical Applications*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- [11] Bentkus, V., Götze, F., 1996. The Berry-Esseen bound for Student's statistic, *Ann. Probab.*, 24, (1): 491-503.
- [12] Gine, E., Götze, F., Mason, D.M., 1997. When is the Student t-statistic asymptotically standard normal? *Ann. Probab.* 25, 1514-531.
- [13] Hu, Z.S., Shao, Q.M., Wang, Q.Y., 2009. Cramr type moderate deviations for the maximum of self-normalized sums, *Elect. J. Probab.*, 14, 1181-1197.
- [14] Csörgö, M., Szyszkowicz, B., Wang, Q.Y., 2003. Donsker's theorem for self-normalized partial processes. *The Annals of Probability*, 31, (3): 1228-1240.
- [15] Huang, S.H., Pang, T.X., 2010. An almost sure central limit theorem for self-normalized partial sums. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 60, 2639-2644.
- [16] Zhang, Y., Yang, X.Y., 2011. An almost sure central limit theorem for self-normalized products of sums of i.i.d. random variables. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 376, 29-41.
- [17] Wu, Q.Y., 2012. A note on the almost sure limit theorem for self-normalized partial sums of random variables in the domain of attraction of the normal law, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2012, 2012:17, doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-17.
- [18] Alam, K., Saxena, K.M.L., 1981. Positive dependence in multivariate distributions. *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods*, A10, 1183-1196.
- [19] Joag-Dev, K., Proschan, F., 1983. Negative association of random variables with applications. *Ann. Statist.*, 11(1), 286-295.
- [20] Matula, P.A., 1992. A note on the almost sure convergence of sums of negatively dependent random variables, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 15, 209-213.
- [21] Su, C., Zhao, L.C., Wang, Y.B., 1997. Moment inequalities and weak convergence for negatively associated sequences, *Science in China (Series A)*, 40, (2): 172-182.
- [22] Shao, Q.M., 2000. A comparison theorem on maximum inequalities between negatively associated and independent random variables. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 13, 343C356.
- [23] Wu, Q.Y., Jiang, Y.Y., 2010. A law of the iterated logarithm of partial sums for NA random variables. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*, 39(2): 99-206.
- [24] Wu, Q.Y., 2011. An almost sure central limit theorem for the weight function sequences of NA random variables. *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences*, 121,(3): 369-377.
- [25] Wu, Q.Y., Chen, P.Y., 2013. Strong representation results of Kaplan-Meier estimator for censored NA data. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2013 2013:340, doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-340.
- [26] Wu, Q.Y., Chen, P.Y., 2013. A Berry-Esseen type bound in kernel density estimation for negatively associated censored Data. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Volume 2013, Article ID 541250, 9 pages.
- [27] Newman, C.M., 1980. Normal fluctuations and the FKG inequalities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 74 119-128.
- [28] Chandrasekharan, K., Minakshisundaram, S., 1952. *Typical Means*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [29] Billingsley, P., 1968. In: Billingsley, P.(Ed.), *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York.
- [30] Zhang, L.X., 2001. The weak convergence for functions of negatively associated random variables. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 78, 272-298.