



Fixed Points for (G, ϕ) -Contractions in Vector Metric Spaces Endowed with a Graph

Rakesh Batra^a, Sachin Vashistha^b, Rajesh Kumar^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Hans Raj College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Hindu College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India

Abstract. In this work, we will prove some fixed point results for the class of (G, ϕ) -contractions on vector metric spaces endowed with a graph. Our results extend and unify many known results for (G, ϕ) -contractions on metric spaces with a graph and for ϕ -contractions on vector metric spaces. We apply our results to obtain an existence theorem for the solution of an integral equation.

1. Introduction

In 2007, Jachymski [5] introduced the concept of G -contraction on a metric space endowed with a graph G . Further, in 2010, Bojor [2] extended the work of Jachymski for (G, ϕ) -contraction mapping on a metric space endowed with a graph G . Recently, in 2012, Petre[7] proved a fixed point theorem for ϕ -contractions on vector metric spaces. In this article, we present some fixed point results for (G, ϕ) -contractions on vector metric spaces endowed with a graph G , thereby, extend many results in the area of fixed point theory, in particular, the work of above mentioned authors.

Throughout the article \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{R}^- will denote the set of natural numbers, real numbers, positive real numbers and negative real numbers respectively.

2. Preliminaries

The following notations, concepts and results may be found in [1, 3, 4]. A set E equipped with a partial order " \leq " is called a partially ordered set. In a partially ordered set (E, \leq) , the notation $x < y$ means $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$. By an order interval $[x, y]$ in E we mean, a set $\{z \in E : x \leq z \leq y\}$. We note that $[x, y] = \emptyset$ if $x \not\leq y$. An element $z \in E$ is said to be an upper bound of a subset S of E if $x \leq z$ for all $x \in S$ and a lower bound if $z \leq x$ for all $x \in S$. A subset S of E is said to be bounded above if it has an upper bound and bounded below if it has a lower bound. Further, an element $z \in E$ is said to be a supremum of S if (i) z is an upper bound of S and (ii) for any upper bound $t \in E$ of S we have $z \leq t$. We say that z is a least upper bound of S in this case. Similarly, infimum of S can be defined as a greatest lower bound of S in E . Supremum (or infimum) of a non empty set may or may not exist, but, if it exists, it is unique. A partially ordered

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10; Secondary 05C40, 47H04, 54H25, 55M20)

Keywords. vector metric, fixed point, E -Picard operator, (G, ϕ) -contraction, connected graph

Received: 18 December 2014; Accepted: 29 November 2015

Communicated by Ljubomir Ćirić

Email addresses: rakeshbatra.30@gmail.com (Rakesh Batra), vashistha_sachin@rediffmail.com (Sachin Vashistha), rajeshhctm@rediffmail.com (Rajesh Kumar)

set (E, \leq) is a lattice if each pair of elements $x, y \in E$ has a supremum and an infimum in E . We use the notations $x \vee y$ and $x \wedge y$ to denote $\sup\{x, y\}$ and $\inf\{x, y\}$ respectively. A real linear space E together with an order relation " \leq " which is compatible with the algebraic structure of E via the properties (i) for each $x, y, z \in E$ we have $x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z$ and (ii) for each $x, y \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have $x \leq y \Rightarrow tx \leq ty$ is called an ordered linear space. The set $E^+ = \{x \in E : 0 \leq x\}$ is called the positive cone of an ordered linear space (E, \leq) . An ordered linear space E for which (E, \leq) is a lattice is called a Riesz space or linear lattice. For detail study about Riesz spaces one may refer to [1]. The space \mathbb{R}^n with usual order defined by $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n whenever $x_i \leq y_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a Riesz space [1]. Here $x \vee y = (\max\{x_1, y_1\}, \max\{x_2, y_2\}, \dots, \max\{x_n, y_n\})$ and $x \wedge y = (\min\{x_1, y_1\}, \min\{x_2, y_2\}, \dots, \min\{x_n, y_n\})$. Both the vector space $C(X)$ of all continuous real functions and the vector space $C_b(X)$ of all bounded continuous real functions on the topological space X are Riesz spaces when the ordering is defined pointwise. That is, $f \leq g$ whenever $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The lattice operations are: $(f \vee g)(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$ and $(f \wedge g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$. For any sequence (x_n) in a Riesz space E , $x_n \downarrow x$ means x_n is a decreasing sequence and $\inf\{x_n\} = x$ and for any sequence (x_n) in a Riesz space E , $x_n \uparrow x$ means x_n is an increasing sequence and $\sup\{x_n\} = x$. For any two decreasing sequences (x_n) and (y_n) in a Riesz space E , following properties are satisfied. (i) $x_n \downarrow x$ and $y_n \downarrow y$ imply $x_n + y_n \downarrow x + y$, (ii) $x_n \downarrow x$ implies $tx_n \downarrow tx$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $tx_n \uparrow tx$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^-$ and (iii) $x_n \downarrow x$ and $y_n \downarrow y$ imply $x_n \vee y_n \downarrow x \vee y$ and $x_n \wedge y_n \downarrow x \wedge y$. Now we present some more definitions and examples useful for our main results and that may be found in [1, 3, 4]. Let E denote a Riesz space and $|x| := x \vee (-x)$ for all $x \in E$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Riesz space E is said to be an order convergent (or o-convergent) to x (we write $x_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x$), if there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in E satisfying $y_n \downarrow 0$ and $|x_n - x| \leq y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here are some simple properties of order convergence. (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Riesz space has at most one order limit, (ii) if $x_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x$ and $y_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} y$ then $x_n + y_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x + y$, (iii) $\alpha x_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} \alpha x$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, (iv) $|x_n| \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} |x|$, (v) $x_n \vee y_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x \vee y$ and $x_n \wedge y_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x \wedge y$ and (vi) if $x_n \leq y_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$ then $x \leq y$. Let E and F be any two Riesz spaces. A function $f : E \rightarrow F$ is order continuous (or o-continuous) if $x_n \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x$ in E implies $f(x_n) \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} f(x)$ in F . A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Riesz space is said to be an order Cauchy (or o-Cauchy), if there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in E such that $y_n \downarrow 0$ and $|x_n - x_{n+p}| \leq y_n$ for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. A Riesz space E is called o-complete if every o-Cauchy sequence in E is o-convergent in E . Let X be a nonempty set and E be a Riesz space. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is said to be an E -metric or a vector metric on X if (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ (ii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Also the triplet (X, d, E) is said to be a vector metric space or an E -metric space. Vector metric spaces generalize the notion of metric spaces and for arbitrary elements x, y, z, w of a vector metric space, the following properties hold: (i) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (iii) $|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq d(x, y)$ (iv) $|d(x, z) - d(y, w)| \leq |d(x, y) - d(z, w)|$. A Riesz space E is a vector metric space with respect to $d : E \times E \rightarrow E$ defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. This Vector metric is called an absolute valued metric on E . \mathbb{R}^2 is a Riesz space with respect to coordinatewise ordering of its elements. It is a vector metric space with respect to the vector metric $d : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $d((x, y), (z, w)) = (\alpha|x - z|, \beta|y - w|)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. \mathbb{R} is a vector metric space with respect to the vector metric $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $d(x, y) = (\alpha|x - y|, \beta|x - y|)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be E -convergent or vectorially convergent to some $x \in X$, written as $x_n \xrightarrow{d, E} x$, if there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in E such that $a_n \downarrow 0$ and $d(x_n, x) \leq a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space and $x_n \xrightarrow{d, E} x$. Then

- (i) the limit x is unique,
- (ii) any subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ is vectorial convergent to x and
- (iii) if $y_n \xrightarrow{d, E} y$, then, $d(x_n, y_n) \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} d(x, y)$.

Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be an E -Cauchy if there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in E with $a_n \downarrow 0$ and $d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq a_n$ for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. A vector metric space (X, d, E) is said to be E -complete if every E -Cauchy sequence in X is E -convergent to a limit in X . A subset Y of X is said to be E -closed if for any sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Y which is E -convergent to some $y \in X$, we have $y \in Y$.

Remark 2.2. If $E = \mathbb{R}$ then the concepts of E -convergence and of E -Cauchy sequence are same as that of metric convergence and Cauchy sequence respectively. Further, if $X = E$ and d is the absolute valued metric, then, the concepts of E -convergence and o -convergence are the same.

Let (X, d, E) and (Y, ρ, F) be vector metric spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be vectorial continuous (or E -continuous) at $x \in X$ if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $x_n \xrightarrow{d,E} x$ we have $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{\rho,F} f(x)$. Further, f is said to be vectorial continuous on X if f is vectorial continuous at every $x \in X$.

For the following concepts about a graph, one may refer to [5]. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space and $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$. Consider a directed graph G with the set $V(G)$ of its vertices equal to X and the set $E(G)$ of its edges as a superset of Δ . Assume that G has no parallel edges. Now we can identify G with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. The graph G can be converted to a weighted graph by assigning to each edge a weight equal to the distance between its vertices.

Let G^{-1} denote conversion of the graph G obtained from the graph G by reversing the direction of edges. Thus we have $V(G^{-1}) = V(G)$ and $E(G^{-1}) = \{(y, x) \in X \times X : (x, y) \in E(G)\}$. By \check{G} we denote the undirected graph obtained from G by ignoring the direction of edges. It is convenient to treat \check{G} as a directed graph for which the set of its edges is symmetric. That is

$$E(\check{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}). \tag{1}$$

By a subgraph of G we mean a graph H satisfying $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$ such that $V(H)$ contains the vertices of all edges of $E(H)$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space equipped with a graph G . A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is orbitally E -continuous if for all $x, y \in X$ and any sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers $f^{k_n}x \xrightarrow{d,E} y \Rightarrow f(f^{k_n}x) \xrightarrow{d,E} fy$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (G, E) -continuous if given $x \in X$ and a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x_n \xrightarrow{d,E} x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $fx_n \xrightarrow{d,E} fx$ and orbitally (G, E) -continuous if for all $x, y \in X$ and any sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers, $f^{k_n}x \xrightarrow{d,E} y$ together with $(f^{k_n}x, f^{k_{n+1}}x) \in E(G)$ implies $f(f^{k_n}x) \xrightarrow{d,E} fy$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Clearly we have the following relations.

E -Continuity $\Rightarrow (G, E)$ -continuity \Rightarrow orbital (G, E) -continuity and
 E -Continuity \Rightarrow orbital E -continuity \Rightarrow orbital (G, E) -continuity.

If x and y are vertices in a graph G then a path in G from x to y (of length $n(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$) is a finite sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ of $n + 1$ vertices such that $x_0 = x, x_n = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A graph G is connected if there is a path between any two vertices. G is weakly connected if \check{G} is connected. If G is such that $E(G)$ is symmetric and $x \in V(G)$ then the subgraph G_x consisting of all edges and vertices that are contained in some path in G beginning at x is called the component of G containing x . In this case $V(G_x) = [x]_G$ where $[x]_G$ is the equivalence class of the relation R defined on $V(G)$ by the rule yRz if there is a path in G from y to z . Clearly G_x is connected for all $x \in G$.

Definition 2.4. [7] Let E be a Riesz space. A function $\phi : E^+ \rightarrow E^+$ is said to be an o -comparison function if (i) ϕ is increasing, that is, $x_1, x_2 \in E^+$ and $x_1 \leq x_2$ imply $\phi(x_1) \leq \phi(x_2)$, (ii) $\phi(t) < t$ for any $t > 0$, and (iii) $\phi^n(t) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ for any $t > 0$.

Let Φ be the set of all ϕ described in Definition 2.4.

Definition 2.5. [7] Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space and $\phi \in \Phi$ be an o -comparison function. A function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a nonlinear ϕ -contraction if and only if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.6. [5] Let (X, d) be a metric space and G is a directed graph with $V(G) = X$ and $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a G -contraction if (i) $(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and (ii) there exists a number $k \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \tag{2}$$

3. Main Results

Throughout this section we assume that $X \equiv (X, d, E)$ is a vector metric space with an E -metric d and $\mathcal{G} = \{G : G \text{ is a directed graph with } V(G) = X \text{ and } \Delta \subseteq E(G)\}$. The set of all fixed points of a self map T on X will be denoted by $\text{Fix}(T)$.

Definition 3.1. Let T be a self map on a vector metric space (X, d, E) . T is an E -Picard operator (abbr., EPO) if T has a unique fixed point x_* and $T^n x \xrightarrow{d, E} x_*$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 3.2. Let T be a self map on a vector metric space (X, d, E) . T is a weakly E -Picard operator (abbr., WEPO) if for any $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x$ exists (it may depend on x) and is a fixed point of T .

Following Definition 2.5 and Definition 2.6 we introduce G -contraction and (G, ϕ) -contraction in the following manner.

Definition 3.3. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space and G be a directed graph with $V(G) = X$ and $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a G -contraction if

(i) for all $x, y \in X$,

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G) \quad (3)$$

(ii) There exists a number $k \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \quad (4)$$

Definition 3.4. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space, $\phi \in \Phi$ be an o -comparison function and $G \in \mathcal{G}$ be given. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a (G, ϕ) -contraction if

(i) for all $x, y \in X$,

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G) \quad (5)$$

(ii) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \quad (6)$$

Remark 3.5. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ be arbitrary. Then every G -contraction on (X, d, E) is a (G, ϕ) -contraction for ϕ given by $\phi(a) = ka$ for all $a \in E^+$. Here $k \in [0, 1)$ is as in Definition 3.3.

Remark 3.6. It follows from (5) that $(T(V(G)), (T \times T)(E(G)))$ is a subgraph of G where $(T \times T)(x, y) = (Tx, Ty)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 3.7. Any constant function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a (G, ϕ) -contraction for every $\phi \in \Phi$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. This follows because $E(G)$ contains all loops.

Example 3.8. Let $\phi \in \Phi$ be arbitrary. Then every ϕ -contraction is an (G_0, ϕ) -contraction for the complete graph G_0 given by $V(G_0) = X$ and $E(G_0) = X \times X$.

Example 3.9. Let \leq be a partial order on X . Define the graph G_1 by $E(G_1) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \leq y\}$. Then $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and for any $\phi \in \Phi$, a self map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a (G_1, ϕ) -contraction if it satisfies

(i) T is non decreasing w.r.t. \leq and

(ii) for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G_1)$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \quad (7)$$

We say that T is an order ϕ -contraction if T satisfies (ii) in Example 3.9. That is, if (7) is satisfied for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$.

Remark 3.10. Conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.4 are independent. For example, identity mapping on any vector metric space (X, d, E) endowed with a graph G preserves edges but (6) is not satisfied for any $k \in [0, 1)$ if there is at least one $(x, y) \in E(G) - \Delta$. Further, a mapping $T : E \rightarrow E$ given by $Tx = -\frac{1}{2}x$ for all $x \in E$ is an order ϕ -contraction for $\phi(a) = \frac{1}{2}a$ for all $a \in E^+$ and with respect to absolute valued metric on any Riesz space E but T is not increasing if E has at least two elements x and y with $x < y$.

Remark 3.11. Let G_d be the graph given by $V(G_d) = X$ and $E(G_d) = \Delta$. Then (3) and (4) are satisfied for every mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$. Thus every $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a (G_d, ϕ) -contraction for every $\phi \in \Phi$. Consequently, given $\phi \in \Phi$, there is no self mapping on X which is not a (G, ϕ) -contraction for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. But for a fixed $G \in \mathcal{G}$ it is possible to find a $\phi \in \Phi$ and a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction but not a G -contraction.

Example 3.12. Let $S_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $X = \{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $TS_0 = S_0$ and $TS_n = S_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\phi(t) = S_n$ if $S_n < t \leq S_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\phi(S_0) = \phi(0) = 0$. Then ϕ becomes an o -comparison function on E^+ . Let G be a graph given by $V(G) = X$ and $E(G) = \{(S_n, S_n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \cup \{(S_0, S_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is easy to see that T preserves edges. We show that T satisfies (6) but not (2). Clearly $(x, y) \in E(G)$ with $Tx \neq Ty$ if and only if $x = S_0$ and $y = S_n$ for some $n > 1$. Further for $n > 1$ we have $d(TS_0, TS_n)/\phi(d(S_0, S_n)) = S_{n-1}/\phi(S_n) = S_{n-1}/S_{n-1} = 1$. Thus T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction. Now for $n > 1$ we have $d(TS_0, TS_n)/d(S_0, S_n) = (S_{n-1} - S_0)/(S_n - S_0) = S_{n-1}/S_n = (n - 1)/(n + 1)$ which tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus T does not satisfy (2). Hence T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction which is not a G -contraction.

Example 3.13. Let $X = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ with componentwise ordering. Let $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|)$ be a vector metric on X . Let

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} (1/4, 1/4) & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (1, 1) \\ (1/8, 1/8) & \text{if } (x, y) = (1, 1) \end{cases}$$

T is not a ϕ -contraction for any $\phi \in \Phi$ as it is not an E -continuous mapping. As discussed in Remark 3.11, T is a (G_d, ϕ) -contraction for every $\phi \in \Phi$.

Definition 3.14. Two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in a vector metric space (X, d, E) are equivalent if $d(x_n, y_n) \xrightarrow{\circ} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3.15. Let (X, d, E) be a vector metric space equipped with a graph G . If a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is such that (5) (resp. (6)) holds, then (5) (resp. (6)) is also satisfied for G^{-1} and \tilde{G} . Hence if T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction then T is both a (G^{-1}, ϕ) -contraction and a (\tilde{G}, ϕ) -contraction.

Proof. This is an obvious consequence of symmetry of d and (1). \square

Lemma 3.16. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (G, ϕ) -contraction on a vector metric space (X, d, E) equipped with a graph G . For $x \in X$ and $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$, we have $d(T^n x, T^n y) \xrightarrow{\circ} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then there exists a path $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ in \tilde{G} from x to y . That is, $x_0 = x$, $x_N = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By Proposition 3.15, T is a (\tilde{G}, ϕ) -contraction. So inductively $(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and

$$d(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \leq \phi^n(d(x_{i-1}, x_i)) \tag{8}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_{i-1} = x_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then $d(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the case when $x_{i-1} \neq x_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (8) we get $d(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \xrightarrow{\circ} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By triangular inequality we get $d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N d(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \xrightarrow{\circ} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 3.17. *The following statements are equivalent in a vector metric space (X, d, E) equipped with a graph G .*

- (i) G is weakly connected.
- (ii) For any (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $x, y \in X$, the sequences $\{T^n x\}$ and $\{T^n y\}$ are E -Cauchy and equivalent.
- (iii) For any (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$, $\text{Card}(\text{Fix } T) \leq 1$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii):

Let G be weakly connected. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (G, ϕ) -contraction and $x, y \in X$. Then $X = [x]_{\check{G}}$. Take $y = Tx \in [x]_{\check{G}}$ in Lemma 3.16. Then $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \xrightarrow{\circ} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $d(T^n x, T^m x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} d(T^{m+i-1} x, T^{m+i} x) \xrightarrow{\circ} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $(T^n x)$ is E -Cauchy. By Lemma 3.16, $(T^n x)$ and $(T^n y)$ are equivalent. So $(T^n y)$ is also E -Cauchy.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (G, ϕ) -contraction and $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$. By (ii), $(T^n x)$ and $(T^n y)$ are E -Cauchy and equivalent. This gives $x = y$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let G be not weakly connected. Then \check{G} is disconnected. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then both $[x_0]_{\check{G}}$ and $X \setminus [x_0]_{\check{G}}$ are non empty. Choose $y_0 \in X \setminus [x_0]_{\check{G}}$. Define

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } x \in [x_0]_{\check{G}} \\ y_0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus [x_0]_{\check{G}} \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x_0, y_0\}$. We now show that T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction. Let $(x, y) \in E(G)$ be arbitrary. Then $[x]_{\check{G}} = [y]_{\check{G}}$. So $x, y \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$ or $x, y \in X \setminus [x_0]_{\check{G}}$. In both cases we have $Tx = Ty$. This shows that $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$ because $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$. Consequently, (5) and (6) are satisfied. Thus T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction having two fixed points which violates (iii). Hence G must be weakly connected. \square

Corollary 3.18. *Let (X, d, E) be a complete vector metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) G is weakly connected.
- (ii) for any (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$, there exists an $x^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = x^*$ for all $x \in X$.

Remark 3.19. *Example 3.2 of [5] justifies the fact that we may not improve Corollary 3.18 by adding in (ii) that x^* is a fixed point of T . Mapping T in Example 3.2 of [5] is obviously an order F -contraction for $F(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$ for all $\alpha > 0$.*

Theorem 3.20. *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (G, ϕ) -contraction such that $Tx_0 \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Let \check{G}_{x_0} be component of \check{G} containing x_0 . Then $[x_0]_{\check{G}}$ is T -invariant and $T|_{[x_0]_{\check{G}}}$ is a (\check{G}_{x_0}, ϕ) -contraction. Moreover, if $x, y \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$ then the sequences $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are E -Cauchy and equivalent.*

Proof. Let $x \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$ be arbitrary. Then there exists a path $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ in \check{G} from x_0 to x . That is, $x_N = x$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(\check{G})$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By Proposition 3.15, every (G, ϕ) -contraction is a (\check{G}, ϕ) -contraction. So T is a (\check{G}, ϕ) -contraction. This implies $(Tx_{i-1}, Tx_i) \in E(\check{G})$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Consequently $(Tx_i)_{i=0}^N$ is a path in \check{G} from Tx_0 to Tx . Thus $Tx \in [Tx_0]_{\check{G}}$. But $Tx_0 \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$. So $[Tx_0]_{\check{G}} = [x_0]_{\check{G}}$. Hence $Tx \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$. This proves that $[x_0]_{\check{G}}$ is T -invariant.

Now let $(x, y) \in E(\check{G}_{x_0})$ be arbitrary. This means there is a path $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ in \check{G} from x_0 to y such that $x_{N-1} = x$. Repeating the argument from the first part of the proof we infer that $(Tx_i)_{i=0}^N$ is a path in \check{G} from Tx_0 to Ty . Since $Tx_0 \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$, therefore we have a path $(y_i)_{i=0}^M$ in \check{G} from x_0 to Tx_0 . It follows that $(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_M, Tx_1, Tx_2, \dots, Tx_N)$ is a path in \check{G} from x_0 to Ty . In particular $(Tx_{N-1}, Tx_N) \in E(\check{G}_{x_0})$. That is $(Tx, Ty) \in E(\check{G}_{x_0})$. Since $E(\check{G}_{x_0}) \subseteq E(\check{G})$ and T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction, therefore (6) holds for the graph \check{G}_{x_0} as well. Thus $T|_{[x_0]_{\check{G}}}$ is a (\check{G}_{x_0}, ϕ) -contraction.

Finally Theorem 3.17 and connectedness of \check{G}_{x_0} imply that $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are E -Cauchy and equivalent for all $x, y \in [x_0]_{\check{G}}$. \square

Theorem 3.21. Let (X, d, E) be a complete vector metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (G, ϕ) -contraction, $X_T = \{x \in X : (x, Tx) \in E(G)\}$ and (X, d, E, G) satisfy the following property.

For any $x \in X$ with the sequence $T^n x \xrightarrow{d, E} x^*$ and $(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subsequence $\{T^{k_n} x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $(T^{k_n} x, x^*) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (9)

Then

- (i) $\text{Card Fix } T = \text{Card}\{[x]_{\tilde{G}} : x \in X_T\}$.
- (ii) $\text{Fix } T \neq \phi$ if and only if $X_T \neq \phi$.
- (iii) T has a unique fixed point if and only if there exists a point $x_0 \in X_T$ such that $X_T \subseteq [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$.
- (iv) For any $x \in X_T$, $T|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$ is an EPO.
- (v) If $X_T \neq \phi$ and G is weakly connected then T is an EPO.
- (vi) If $X' = \cup\{[x]_{\tilde{G}} : x \in X_T\}$ then $T|_{X'}$ is a WEPO.
- (vii) If $T \subseteq E(G)$ then T is a WEPO.

Proof. Let us first prove (iv) and (v). Let $x \in X_T$ be arbitrary. Then $(x, Tx) \in E(G)$. This implies that $Tx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. So by Theorem 3.20, for any $y \in X$ sequences $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are E -Cauchy and equivalent. Since (X, d, E) is complete, therefore, there exists an $x^* \in X$ such that $T^n x \xrightarrow{d, E} x^*$ and $T^n y \xrightarrow{d, E} x^*$. Since $(x, Tx) \in E(G)$, (5) yields for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \in E(G) \tag{10}$$

By (9), it follows that there exists a subsequence $(T^{k_n} x)$ of $(T^n x)$ such that $(T^{k_n} x, x^*) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (10), $(x, Tx, T^2 x, \dots, T^{k_1} x, x^*)$ is a path in G (and hence in \tilde{G}) from x to x^* . So $x^* \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. Now $d(T^{k_n+1} x, Tx^*) \leq d(T^{k_n} x, x^*)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. That is $Tx^* = x^*$. Hence $T|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$ is an EPO.

Further if G is weakly connected and $x \in X_T$ then $X = [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. So T is an EPO.

Now (vi) is a consequence of (iv). To prove (vii) observe that $T \subseteq E(G)$ implies that $X = X_T$ which gives $X' = X$ and hence by (iv), T becomes a WEPO on X .

To prove (i), consider the mapping $\pi : \text{Fix } T \rightarrow C$ given by $\pi(x) = [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ for all $x \in \text{Fix } T$ where $C = \{[x]_{\tilde{G}} : x \in X_T\}$. It suffices to show that π is a bijection. Let $x \in X_T$ be arbitrary. By (iv), $T|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$ is an EPO. Let $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x$. Then $x^* \in \text{Fix } T \cap [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ and $\pi x^* = [x^*]_{\tilde{G}} = [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. So π is surjective. Now let $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Fix } T$ be arbitrary with $[x_1]_{\tilde{G}} = [x_2]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then $x_2 \in [x_1]_{\tilde{G}}$. By (iv), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_2 \in \text{Fix } T \cap [x_1]_{\tilde{G}} = \{x_1\}$. But $T^n x_2 = x_2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we get $x_1 = x_2$. Hence π is a bijection.

Finally (ii) and (iii) follows by (i). \square

Corollary 3.22. Let (X, d, E) be a complete vector metric space and (X, d, E, G) satisfy the property (9). Then the following statements become equivalent.

- (i) G is weakly connected.
- (ii) Every (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $(Tx_0, x_0) \in X$ for some $x_0 \in X$, is an EPO.
- (iii) For any (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$, $\text{card Fix } T \leq 1$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Theorem 3.21 (v).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii):

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (G, ϕ) -contraction. If $X_T = \phi$ then so is $\text{Fix } T$ as $\text{Fix } T \subseteq X_T$. In case $X_T \neq \phi$ then by (ii) $\text{Fix } T$ is singleton. In both cases $\text{card Fix } T \leq 1$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) :

Follows by Theorem 3.17. \square

Theorem 3.23. Let (X, d, E) be complete and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally (G, E) -continuous (G, ϕ) -contraction. Let $X_T = \{x \in X : (x, Tx) \in E(G)\}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $\text{Fix } T \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_T \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) For any $x \in X_T$ and $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$, the sequence $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a fixed point of T and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n y$ does not depend on y .
- (iii) If $X_T \neq \emptyset$ and G is weakly connected, then T is an EPO.
- (iv) If $T \subseteq E(G)$ then T is a WEPO.

Proof. We begin with (ii). Let $x \in X$ satisfy $(x, Tx) \in E(G)$ and $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. By Theorem 3.20, sequences $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ vectorially converge to the same point x_* . Moreover $(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is orbitally (G, E) -continuous we get $T(T^n x) \xrightarrow{d, E} Tx_*$. This yields $Tx_* = x_*$ since, simultaneously, $T(T^n x) = T^{n+1} x \xrightarrow{d, E} x_*$. Thus we proved (ii) and ' \Leftarrow ' of (i). ' \Rightarrow ' of (i) follows by the assumption that $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$. (iv) is an immediate consequence of (ii) since $T \subseteq E(G)$ means $X_T = X$. To Prove (iii) observe that if $x_0 \in X_T$ then $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}} = X$ so (ii) yields T is an EPO. \square

Continuity condition on T can be strengthened by the following version of Theorem 3.23.

Theorem 3.24. Let (X, d, E) be complete and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally E -continuous (G, ϕ) -contraction. Let $X_T = \{x \in X : (x, Tx) \in E(G)\}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $\text{Fix } T \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $Tx_0 \in [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$.
- (ii) If $x \in X$ is such that $Tx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$, then for any $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ the sequence $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a fixed point of T and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n y$ does not depend on y .
- (iii) If G is weakly connected, then T is an EPO.
- (iv) If $Tx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ for any $x \in X$ then T is a WEPO.

Proof. We begin with (ii). Let $x \in X$ be such that $Tx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ and let $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$. By Theorem 3.20, sequences $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T^n y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ vectorially converge to the same point x_* . Since T is orbitally E -continuous we get $T(T^n x) \xrightarrow{d, E} Tx_*$. This yields $Tx_* = x_*$ since, simultaneously, $T(T^n x) = T^{n+1} x \xrightarrow{d, E} x_*$. Thus we proved (ii) and ' \Leftarrow ' of (i). ' \Rightarrow ' of (i) follows by the fact that $x \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ for any $x \in X$. Now if G is weakly connected then $X = [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ for any $x \in X$. In particular $Tx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ for any $x \in X$ and by (ii) we infer that T is an EPO. Thus (iii) holds. Finally (iv) is an immediate consequence of (ii). \square

Corollary 3.25. Let (X, d, E) be complete. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) G is weakly connected.
- (ii) Every orbitally E -continuous (G, ϕ) -contraction is an EPO.
- (iii) For every orbitally E -continuous (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$, $\text{card } \text{Fix } T \leq 1$.

Hence if \tilde{G} is disconnected then there exist at least one orbitally E -continuous (G, ϕ) -contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$ which has at least two fixed points.

Proof. Theorem 3.24 (iii) yields that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious. (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows by the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 3.17. T defined there is orbitally E -continuous. \square

4. Applications

Theorem 4.1. Let $I = [a, b]$ be any interval of the real line, $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ be a partially ordered Banach space satisfying the property that for any sequence $\{b_n\}$ in B with $b_n \leq b_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_n \rightarrow b, b \in B$, we have $b_n \leq b$. Let $E = C(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ be the space of all continuous functions defined on I taking values in \mathbb{R}^+ , with usual partial order and the usual operations of addition and multiplication. The space E is a Riesz space under the pointwise lattice operations. Let $X = C(I, B)$ be the space of all continuous functions defined on I with values in B and pointwise partial order. Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ defined by $d(x, y)(\cdot) = \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|$ for any $x, y \in X$, be a complete vector metric on X . Let $h : I \times I \times B \rightarrow B$ be continuous and $\alpha \in X$. Consider the Fredholm type integral equation

$$x(t) = \int_I h(t, s, x(s)) ds + \alpha(t), \quad (11)$$

for $t \in I$. Assume that

- (i) $h(t, s, \cdot) : B \rightarrow B$ is nondecreasing for each $t, s \in I$,
- (ii) there exists an ϕ -comparison function $\phi : E_+ \rightarrow E_+$ and a continuous function $w : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|h(t, s, x(s)) - h(t, s, y(s))\| \leq w(t, s)\phi(d(x, y))(t)$ for each $t, s \in I$ and $x \leq y$,
- (iii) $\sup_{t \in I} \int_I w(t, s) ds \leq 1$,
- (iv) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0(t) \leq \int_I h(t, s, x_0(s)) ds + \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

Then the integral equation (11) has a unique solution in the set $\{x \in X : x \leq x_0 \text{ or } x \geq x_0\}$.

Proof. Define a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$T(x)(t) := \int_I h(t, s, x(s)) ds + \alpha(t)$$

for all $t \in I$. Clearly T is a well defined mapping. Consider a graph G with $V(G) = X$ and $E(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \leq y\}$. By the given condition (i), we observe that T is nondecreasing. Thus $(x, y) \in E(G)$ implies that $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$. Further, G satisfies the property (9) because B satisfies the same for nondecreasing sequences. Now for any $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$, we have $d(T(x), T(y))(t) = \|T(x)(t) - T(y)(t)\| \leq \int_I \|h(t, s, x(s)) - h(t, s, y(s))\| ds \leq \int_I w(t, s)\phi(d(x, y))(t) ds = \phi(d(x, y))(t) \int_I w(t, s) ds \leq \phi(d(x, y))(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Thus $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$. So T is a (G, ϕ) -contraction. By (iv), we have $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$. Also, $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}} = \{x \in X : x \leq x_0 \text{ or } x \geq x_0\}$. The conclusion follows by Theorem 3.21. \square

References

- [1] C.D. Aliprantis and K.C. Border, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [2] F. Bojor, Fixed point of ϕ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Annals of the University of Craiova, Mathematics and Computer Science Series 37(2010), no. 4, 85–92.
- [3] R. Cristescu, Order Structures in Normed Vector Spaces, Editura Științifică și Enciclopedică, București, 1983 (in Romanian).
- [4] C. Evik and I. Altun, Vector metric spaces and some properties, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis 34(2009), 375–382.
- [5] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 136(2008), no. 4, 1359–73.
- [6] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinivasan and P. Veeramani, Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory 4(2003), no. 1, 79–89.
- [7] I. R. Petre, Fixed Points For ϕ -Contractions In E-Banach Spaces, Fixed Point Theory 13(2012), no. 2, 623–640.