

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Generalized Baskakov Kantorovich Operators

P. N. Agrawal^a, Meenu Goyal^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee Roorkee-247667, India

Abstract. In this paper, we construct generalized Baskakov Kantorovich operators. We establish some direct results and then study weighted approximation, simultaneous approximation and statistical convergence properties for these operators. Finally, we obtain the rate of convergence for functions having a derivative coinciding almost everywhere with a function of bounded variation for these operators.

1. Introduction

In [27], for $f \in L_1[0,1]$ (the class of Lebesgue integrable functions on [0,1]), Kantorovich introduced the operators

$$K_n(f;x) = (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 \chi_{n,k}(t)f(t)dt,$$

where $p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$, $x \in [0,1]$ is the Bernstein basis function and $\chi_{n,k}(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $\left[\frac{k}{n+1}, \frac{k+1}{n+1}\right]$. Many authors studied the approximation properties of these operators. In [10], Butzer proved Voronovskaja type theorem for the Kantorovich polynomials, then in [1], Abel derived the complete asymptotic expansion and studied simultaneous approximation, rate of convergence and asymptotic expansion for derivatives of these polynomials. Mahmudov and Sabancigil [32] investigated a q-analogue of the operators K_n and studied the local and global results and a Voronovskaja type theorem for the case 0 < q < 1.

Besides the applications of q-calculus in approximation theory, the approximation of functions by linear positive operators using statistical convergence is also an active area of research. The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [17]. Gadjiev and Orhan [19] examined it for the first time for linear positive operators. After that many researchers have investigated the statistical convergence properties for several sequences and classes of linear positive operators (cf. [6, 7, 13, 14, 16, 19, 23, 26, 29, 31, 34, 36, 38, 39]).

The rate of convergence for functions of bounded variation and for functions with a derivative of bounded variation is an another important topic of research. Cheng [11] estimated the rate of convergence of Bernstein polynomials for functions of bounded variation. Guo [20] studied it for the Bernstein-Durrmeyer polynomials by using Berry Esseen theorem. Srivastava and Gupta [42] introduced a new sequence of linear

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A25, 41A25; Secondary 41A28

Keywords. Baskakov Kantorovich, rate of convergence, modulus of continuity, simultaneous approximation, asymptotic formula Received: 14 November 2014; Accepted: 18 April 2015

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email addresses: pna_iitr@yahoo.co.in (P. N. Agrawal), meenu.goyaliitr@yahoo.com (Meenu Goyal)

positive operators which includes several well known operators as its special cases and investigated the rate of convergence of these operators by means of the decomposition technique for functions of bounded variation. Subsequently, the rate of convergence for functions of bounded variation and for functions with a derivative of bounded variation was examined for several sequences of linear positive operators. In this direction, the significant contributions have been made by (cf. [2, 25, 28, 37] and [41] etc.).

Several authors have proposed the Kantorovich-type modification of different linear positive operators and studied their approximation properties related with the operators. In [22] and [31], researchers introduced the generalizations of the q-Baskakov-Kantorovich operators and studied their weighted statistical approximation properties.

In 1998, Mihesan [33] introduced the generalized Baskakov operators $B_{n,a}^*$ defined as

$$B_{n,a}^*(f;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^a(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right),$$

where

$$W_{n,k}^{a}(x) = e^{\frac{-ax}{1+x}} \frac{P_k(n,a)}{k!} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}}, P_k(n,a) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (n)_i a^{k-i}, \text{ and } (n)_0 = 1, (n)_i = n(n+1) \cdots (n+i-1), \text{ for } i \ge 1. \text{ In [15]},$$

Erencin defined the Durrmeyer type modification of these operators as

$$L_n^a(f;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^a(x) \frac{1}{B(k+1,n)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{(1+t)^{n+k+1}} f(t) dt, x \ge 0,$$

and discussed some approximation properties. Very recently, Agrawal et al. [4] studied the simultaneous approximation and approximation of functions having derivatives of bounded variation by these operators.

Later, Agrawal et al. [3] considered a new kind of Durrmeyer type modification of the generalized Baskakov operators having weights of Szász basis function and studied some approximation properties of these operators.

Motivated by the above work, we consider the Kantorovich modification of generalized Baskakov operators for the function f defined on $C_{\gamma}[0,\infty) := \{f \in C[0,\infty) : |f(t)| \le M(1+t^{\gamma}), t \ge 0 \text{ for some } \gamma > 0 \}$ as follows :

$$K_n^a(f;x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^a(x) \int_{\frac{k}{k+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} f(t)dt, \ a \ge 0.$$
 (1)

As a special case, for a=0 these operators include the well known Baskakov-Kantorovich operators (see e.g. [44]). The purpose of this paper is to study some local direct results, degree of approximation for functions in a Lipschitz type space, approximation of continuous functions with polynomial growth, simultaneous approximation, statistical convergence and the approximation of absolutely continuous functions having a derivative coinciding almost everywhere with a function of bounded variation by the operators defined in (1).

Throughout this paper, *M* denotes a constant not necessary the same at each occurrence.

2. Moment Estimates

For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the rth order moment of the generalized Baskakov operators $B_{n,a}^*$ is defined as

$$v_{n,r}^{a}(x) := B_{n,a}^{*}(t^{r}; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{r}$$

and the central moment of rth order for the operators $B_{n,a}^*$ is defined as

$$\mu_{n,r}^{a}(x) := B_{n,a}^{*}((t-x)^{r};x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x\right)^{r}.$$

Lemma 2.1. For the function $v_{n,r}^a(x)$, we have

$$v_{n,0}^{a}(x) = 1$$
, $v_{n,1}^{a}(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} \right)$

and

$$x(1+x)^{2}(v_{n,r}^{a}(x))' = (n+1)(1+x)v_{n,r+1}^{a}(x) - (a+n(1+x))xv_{n,r}^{a}(x).$$
(2)

Consequently, for each $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$v_{n,r}^{a}(x) = x^{r} + n^{-1}(q_{r}(x,a) + o(1))$$
(3)

where $q_r(x, a)$ is a rational function of x depending on the parameters of a and r.

Proof. The values of $v_{n,r}^a(x)$, r = 0,1 can be found by a simple computation. Differentiating $v_{n,r}^a(x)$ with respect to x and using the relation

$$x(1+x)^{2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} W_{n,k}^{a}(x)\right) = \left((k-nx)(1+x) - ax\right) W_{n,k}^{a}(x),$$

we can easily get the recurrence relation (2). To prove the last assertion, we note that the equation (3) clearly holds for r = 1. The rest of the proof follows by using (2) and induction on r.

Lemma 2.2. For the function $\mu_{n,r}^a(x)$, we have

$$\mu_{n,0}^{a}(x) = 1, \ \mu_{n,1}^{a}(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{(1+x)} \right)$$

and

 $x(1+x)^2(\mu_{n,r}^a(x))' =$

$$(n+1)(1+x)\mu_{n\,r+1}^a(x) - ax\mu_{n\,r}^a(x) - rx(1+x)^2\mu_{n\,r-1}^a(x), r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}$$

Consequently,

- (i) $\mu_{n,r}^a(x)$ is a rational function of x depending on the parameters a and r;
- (ii) for each $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mu_{n,r}^a(x) = O(n^{-[(r+1)/2]})$, where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of α .

Proof. Proof of this lemma follows along the lines similar to Lemma 2.1. The consequences (i) and (ii) follow from (4) by using induction on r.

Corollary 2.3. If
$$\mu_{n,r}^{*a}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^a(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^r$$
, then $\mu_{n,r}^{*a}(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\left[\frac{r+1}{2}\right]}}\right)$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, for each $x \in [0, \infty)$ we may write

$$\mu_{n,r}^{*a}(x) = \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^a(x)(k-nx)^r$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{j=0}^r {r \choose j} x^{r-j} (n+1)^j \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x \right)^j W_{n,k}^a(x) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{j=0}^r (n+1)^j O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\left[\frac{j+1}{2}\right]}} \right) = \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{j=0}^r O(n^{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right]}) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\left[\frac{r+1}{2}\right]}} \right).$$

Lemma 2.4. For every $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exist polynomials $q_{i,j,r}(x)$ in x independent of n and k such that

$$\frac{d^r}{dx^r}W_{n,k}^a(x) = W_{n,k}^a(x) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \le r\\ i,j > 0}} n^i (k - nx)^j \frac{(q_{i,j,r}(x))}{(p(x))^r},$$

where $p(x) = x(1 + x)^2$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma easily follows on proceeding along the lines of the proof of Lemma 4 [40]. Hence the details are omitted. \Box

Lemma 2.5. For the rth order $(r \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ moment of the operators (1), defined as $T_{n,r}^a(x) := K_n^a(t^r; x)$, we have

$$T_{n,r}^{a}(x) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r+1 \choose j} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{r-j}} v_{n,j}^{a}(x),$$

where $v_{n,j}^a(x)$ is the jth order moment of the operators $B_{n,a}^*$.

Consequently,
$$T_{n,0}^a(x) = 1$$
, $T_{n,1}^a(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$, $T_{n,2}^a(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(n^2 x^2 + n \left(x^2 + 2x + \frac{2ax^2}{1+x} \right) + \frac{a^2 x^2}{(1+x)^2} + \frac{2ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{3} \right)$, and for each $x \in (0,\infty)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_{n,r}^a(x) = x^r + n^{-1}(p_r(x,a) + o(1))$, where $p_r(x,a)$ is a rational function of x depending on the parameters a and r .

Proof. From equation (1), we have

$$T_{n,r}^{a}(x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} t^{r} dt$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{r+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1} \right)^{r+1} - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{r+1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{r+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose j} \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{j} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{r+1-j} - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{r+1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{r+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r+1 \choose j} \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{j} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{r+1-j} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r+1 \choose j} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{r-j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r+1 \choose j} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{r-j}} v_{n,j}^{a}(x)$$
(5)

from which the values of $T_{n,r}^a(x)$, r = 0, 1, 2 can be found easily. The last assertion follows from equation (5) by using Lemma 2.1, the required result is immediate.

Lemma 2.6. For the rth order central moment of K_n^a , defined as

$$u_{n,r}^{a}(x) := K_{n}^{a}((t-x)^{r}; x),$$

we have

(i)
$$u_{n,0}^a(x) = 1$$
, $u_{n,1}^a(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$
and $u_{n,2}^a(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left\{ nx(x+1) - x(1-x) + \frac{ax}{1+x} \left(\frac{ax}{1+x} + 2(1-x) \right) + \frac{1}{3} \right\}$;

(ii) $u_{n,r}^a(x)$ is a rational function of x depending on parameters a and r;

(iii) for each
$$x \in (0, \infty)$$
, $u_{n,r}^a(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil}}\right)$.

Proof. Using equation (1), assertion (*i*) follows by a simple computation. To prove the assertions (*ii*) and (*iii*), we may write

$$u_{n,r}^{a}(x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t-x)^{r} dt$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{r+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1} - x \right)^{r+1} - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x \right)^{r+1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{r+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose \nu} \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x \right)^{r+1-\nu} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\nu} - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x \right)^{r+1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose \nu} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\nu-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n+1} - x \right)^{r+1-\nu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose \nu} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\nu-1}} \mu_{n,r+1-\nu}^{a}(x),$$

from which assertion (ii) follows in view of Lemma 2.2. Also, we get

$$|u_{n,r}^a(x)| \le C \sum_{\nu=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{n^{\nu-1}} \frac{1}{n^{\left[\frac{r+1-\nu}{2}\right]}} \le C \frac{1}{n^{\left[\frac{r+1}{2}\right]}}.$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Let $C_B[0,\infty)$ denote the space of all real valued bounded and continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$ endowed with the norm

$$|| f || = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

For $\delta > 0$, the K-functional is defined by

$$K_2(f, \delta) = \inf_{g \in W^2} \{ || f - g || + \delta || g'' || \},$$

where $W^2 = \{g \in C_B[0, \infty) : g', g'' \in C_B[0, \infty)\}$, by [12] there exists an absolute constant C > 0 such that

$$K_2(f,\delta) \le C\omega_2(f,\sqrt{\delta}),$$
 (6)

where

$$\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}) = \sup_{0 < h \le \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x+2h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x)|$$

is the second order modulus of smoothness of f. By

$$\omega(f,\delta) = \sup_{0 < h \le \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} |f(x+h) - f(x)|,$$

we denote the usual modulus of continuity of $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$.

Now, for $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$, $x \ge 0$ the auxiliary operators are defined as

$$\widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(f;x) = K_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\left(nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + f(x).$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $f \in W^2$. Then for all $x \ge 0$, we have

$$|\widetilde{K}_n^a(f;x)-f(x)|\leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma_n^a(x)\parallel f^{\prime\prime}\parallel,$$

where

$$\gamma_n^a(x) = K_n^a((t-x)^2; x) + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left\{ (n+2)x^2 + (n-2)x + \frac{2a^2x^2}{(1+x)^2} - \frac{4ax^2}{1+x} + \frac{3ax}{1+x} + \frac{7}{12} \right\}.$$

Proof. It is clear from the definition of \widetilde{K}_n^a that

$$\widetilde{K}_n^a(t-x;x)=0.$$

Let $f \in W^2$. From the Taylor expansion of f, we have

$$f(t) - f(x) = (t - x)f'(x) + \int_{x}^{t} (t - u)f''(u)du.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f(x) &= f'(x)\widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(t-x;x) + \widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}\bigg(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u)f''(u)du;x\bigg) = \widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}\bigg(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u)f''(u)du;x\bigg) \\ &= K_{n}^{a}\bigg(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u)f''(u)du;x\bigg) - \int_{x}^{\frac{1}{n+1}(nx+\frac{ax}{1+x}+\frac{1}{2})}\bigg(\frac{1}{n+1}\bigg(nx+\frac{ax}{1+x}+\frac{1}{2}\bigg)-u\bigg)f''(u)du \end{split}$$

and thus

 $|K_n^a(f;x)-f(x)|$

$$\leq K_n^a \left(\left| \int_x^t (t-u) f''(u) du \right|; x \right) + \left| \int_x^{\frac{1}{n+1} (nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \left(nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - u \right) f''(u) du \right|$$
(7)

Since

$$\left| \int_x^t (t-u)f''(u)du \right| \le \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} \parallel f'' \parallel$$

and

$$\left| \int_{x}^{\frac{1}{n+1}(nx+\frac{ax}{1+x}+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \left(nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - u \right) f''(u) du \right| \le \frac{1}{2(n+1)^2} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \parallel f'' \parallel_{x}^{2}$$

it follows from (7) that

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f(x)| & \leq & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ K_{n}^{a}((t-x)^{2};x) + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} \right\} \parallel f'' \parallel \\ & = & \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n}^{a}(x) \parallel f'' \parallel . \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

3. Main Results

3.1. Local approximation

Theorem 3.1. Let f be a real valued bounded and uniform continuous function on $[0, \infty)$. Then for all $x \ge 0$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$|K_n^a(f;x) - f(x)| \le C\omega_2\Big(f;\sqrt{\gamma_n^a(x)}\Big) + \omega\Big(f;\frac{1}{n+1}\bigg| - x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2}\bigg|\Big),$$

where $\gamma_n^a(x)$ is as defined in Lemma 2.7.

Proof. For $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ and $g \in W^2$, by the definition of the operators \widetilde{K}_n^a , we obtain $|K_n^a(f;x) - f(x)|$

$$\leq |\widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(f-g;x)| + |(f-g)(x)| + |\widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(g;x) - g(x)| + \left| f\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\left(nx + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) - f(x) \right|$$

and

$$|\widetilde{K}_{n}^{a}(f;x)| \le ||f|| K_{n}^{a}(1;x) + 2 ||f|| = 3 ||f||.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|K_n^a(f;x) - f(x)| \le 4 \|f - g\| + |\widetilde{K}_n^a(g;x) - g(x)| + \omega \Big(f; \frac{1}{n+1} \Big| - x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \Big| \Big).$$

Now, using Lemma 2.7, the above inequality reduces to

$$|K_n^a(f;x) - f(x)| \le 4 \|f - g\| + \gamma_n^a(x) \|g''\| + \omega \left(f; \frac{1}{n+1} \left| -x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right| \right).$$

Thus, taking infimum over all $g \in W^2$ on the right-hand side of the last inequality and using (6), we get the required result. \Box

Let us now consider the Lipschitz-type space in two parameters [35]:

$$Lip_{M}^{(a_{1},a_{2})}(\alpha):=\left\{f\in C_{B}[0,\infty):|f(t)-f(x)|\leq M\frac{|t-x|^{\alpha}}{(t+a_{1}x^{2}+a_{2}x)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}};x,t\in(0,\infty)\right\}\text{ for }a_{1},a_{2}>0,$$

where *M* is a positive constant and $\alpha \in (0,1]$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in Lip_M^{(a_1,a_2)}(\alpha)$. Then, for all x > 0, we have

$$|K_n^a(f;x) - f(x)| \le M \left(\frac{u_{n,2}^a(x)}{(a_1 x^2 + a_2 x)} \right)^{\frac{a}{2}}.$$

Proof. First we prove the theorem for the case $\alpha = 1$. We may write

$$|K_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f(x)| \leq (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |f(t) - f(x)| dt$$

$$\leq M(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} \frac{|t - x|}{\sqrt{t + a_{1}x^{2} + a_{2}x}} dt.$$

Using the fact that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t+a_1x^2+a_2x}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_1x^2+a_2x}}$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the above inequality implies that

$$\begin{split} |K_n^a(f;x)-f(x)| & \leq & \frac{M(n+1)}{\sqrt{a_1x^2+a_2x}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty W_{n,k}^a(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |t-x| dt \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{a_1x^2+a_2x}} (K_n^a((t-x)^2;x))^{1/2} \\ & \leq M \Big(\sqrt{\frac{u_{n,2}^a(x)}{a_1x^2+a_2x}}\Big). \end{split}$$

Thus the result hold for $\alpha = 1$. Now, let $0 < \alpha < 1$, then applying the Hölder inequality with $p = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $q = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$, we have

$$\begin{split} |K_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f(x)| & \leq (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |f(t) - f(x)| dt \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \Big((n+1) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |f(t) - f(x)| dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{a}} \right\}^{\alpha} \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) (n+1) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |f(t) - f(x)|^{\frac{1}{a}} dt \right\}^{\alpha} \\ & \leq M \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) (n+1) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} \frac{|t - x|}{\sqrt{t + a_{1}x^{2} + a_{2}x}} dt \right\}^{\alpha} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{(a_{1}x^{2} + a_{2}x)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) (n+1) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |t - x| dt \right\}^{\alpha} \\ & \leq \frac{M}{(a_{1}x^{2} + a_{2}x)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \left\{ K_{n}^{a}((t - x)^{2}; x) \right\}^{\alpha/2} \leq M \left(\frac{u_{n,2}^{a}(x)}{(a_{1}x^{2} + a_{2}x)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. \Box

Next, we obtain the local direct estimate of the operators defined in (1) using the Lipschitz-type maximal function of order τ introduced by B. Lenze [30] as

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\tau}(f, x) = \sup_{t \neq x, \ t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{|t - x|^{\tau}}, \ \ x \in [0, \infty) \ \text{ and } \ \tau \in (0, 1].$$
(8)

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ and $0 < \tau \le 1$. Then, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$ we have

$$|K_n^a(f;x)-f(x)|\leq \widetilde{\omega}_\tau(f,x)(u_{n,2}^a(x))^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

Proof. From the equation (8), we have

$$|K_n^a(f;x)-f(x)| \leq \widetilde{\omega}_{\tau}(f,x)K_n^a(|t-x|^{\tau};x).$$

Applying the Hölder's inequality with $p = \frac{2}{\tau}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, we get

$$|K_n^a(f;x) - f(x)| \leq \widetilde{\omega}_{\tau}(f,x) (K_n^a(t-x)^2;x)^{\frac{\tau}{2}} = \widetilde{\omega}_{\tau}(f,x) (u_{n,2}^a(x))^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. \Box

3.2. Weighted approximation

([5], [21]) Let $H_2[0, \infty)$ be space of all functions f defined on $[0, \infty)$ with the property that $|f(x)| \le M_f(1+x^2)$, where M_f is a constant depending only on f.

By $C_2[0,\infty)$, we denote the subspace of all continuous functions belonging to $H_2[0,\infty)$. If $f \in C_2[0,\infty)$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} |f(x)|(1+x^2)^{-1}$ exists, we write $f \in C_\rho[0,\infty)$. The norm on $f \in C_\rho[0,\infty)$ is given by

$$|| f ||_{\rho} := \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^2}.$$

In what follows, we consider $\rho(x) = 1 + x^2$.

On the closed interval [0, b], for any b > 0, we define the usual modulus of continuity of f by

$$\omega_b(f;\delta) = \sup_{|t-x| \le \delta} \sup_{x,t \in [0,b]} |f(t) - f(x)|.$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in C_2[0, \infty)$ and $\omega_{b+1}(f; \delta)$ be its modulus of continuity on the finite interval $[0, b+1] \subset [0, \infty)$ with b > 0. Then for every $x \in [0, b]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|K_n^a(f;x)-f(x)|\leq 4M_f(1+b^2)u_{n,2}^a(x)+2\omega_{b+1}\Big(f;\sqrt{u_{n,2}^a(x)}\Big).$$

Proof. From [24], for $x \in [0, b]$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \le 4M_f(1 + b^2)(t - x)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta}\right)\omega_{b+1}(f; \delta), \delta > 0.$$

Applying $K_n^a(.;x)$ to the above inequality and then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |K_n^a(f;x)-f(x)| & \leq & 4M_f(1+b^2)K_n^a((t-x)^2;x) + \omega_{b+1}(f;\delta)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}K_n^a(|t-x|;x)\right) \\ & \leq & 4M_f(1+b^2)u_{n,2}^a(x) + \omega_{b+1}(f;\delta)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta}\sqrt{u_{n,2}^a(x)}\right). \end{split}$$

By choosing $\delta = \sqrt{u_{n,2}^a(x)}$, we obtain the desired result. \Box

Theorem 3.5. For each $f \in C_{\rho}[0, \infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} || K_n^a(f) - f ||_{\rho} = 0.$$

Proof. From [18], we observe that it is sufficient to verify the following three conditions:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| K_n^a(t^k; x) - x^k) \|_{\rho} = 0, \ k = 0, 1, 2.$$
(9)

Since $K_n^a(1;x) = 1$, the condition in (9) holds for k = 0. Also, by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\| K_{n}^{a}(t;x) - x) \|_{\rho} = \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\|_{\rho}$$

$$\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \left(a + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{1+x} - x \right) \right\|_{\rho}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\left(a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} + \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{x}{1+x^{2}} + a \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{1}{(1+x)(1+x^{2})} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \left(2a + \frac{3}{2} \right),$$

which implies that the condition in (9) holds for k = 1. Similarly, we can write

$$\| K_{n}^{a}(t^{2};x) - x^{2} \|_{\rho} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} \left(n^{2}x^{2} + n\left(x^{2} + 2x + \frac{2ax^{2}}{1+x}\right) + \frac{a^{2}x^{2}}{(1+x)^{2}} + \frac{2ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{3} - (n+1)^{2}x^{2} \right) \right\|_{\rho}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} \left((n+1) \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{x^{2}}{1+x^{2}} + 2n \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{x}{1+x^{2}} + 2an \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{x^{2}}{(1+x)(1+x^{2})} + 2a \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{x}{(1+x)(1+x^{2})} + a^{2} \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{x^{2}}{(1+x)^{2}(1+x^{2})} + \frac{1}{3} \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} \left((n+1)(2a+1) + \left(2n+a^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\right) \right),$$

which implies that the equation (9) holds for k = 2. This completes the proof of theorem. \Box

Let $f \in C_{\rho}[0, \infty)$. The weighted modulus of continuity is defined as :

$$\Omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{x > 0.0 < h < \delta} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{1 + (x+h)^2}.$$

Lemma 3.6. [43] Let $f \in C_{\rho}[0, \infty)$, then:

- (i) $\Omega(f, \delta)$ is a monotone increasing function of δ ;
- (ii) $\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \Omega(f, \delta) = 0$;
- (iii) for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega(f, m\delta) \leq m\Omega(f, \delta)$;
- (iv) for each $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$, $\Omega(f, \lambda \delta) \leq (1 + \lambda)\Omega(f, \delta)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f \in C_{\rho}[0, \infty)$, then there exists a positive constant M_1 such that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{|K_n^a(f,x) - f(x)|}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \le M_1 \Omega \Big(f, n^{-1/2}\Big).$$

Proof. For $t \ge 0, x \in [0, \infty)$ and $\delta > 0$, by the definition of $\Omega(f, \delta)$ and Lemma 3.6, we get

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \le (1 + (x + |x - t|^2))\Omega(f, |t - x|)$$

$$\le 2(1 + x^2)(1 + (t - x)^2)\left(1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta}\right)\Omega(f, \delta).$$

Since K_n^a is linear and positive, we have

$$|K_n^a(f,x) - f(x)| \le 2(1+x^2)\Omega(f,\delta) \left\{ 1 + K_n^a((t-x)^2,x) + K_n^a \left((1+(t-x)^2) \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}, x \right) \right\}$$
(10)

Using Lemma 2.6, we have

$$K_n^a((t-x)^2, x) \le M_2 \frac{1+x^2}{n}$$
, for some positive number M_2 . (11)

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the second term of equation (10), we have

$$K_n^a \left((1 + (t - x)^2) \frac{|t - x|}{\delta}, x \right) \le \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{K_n^a ((t - x)^2, x)} + \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{K_n^a ((t - x)^4, x)} \sqrt{K_n^a ((t - x)^2, x)}$$

$$. \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 2.6 and choosing $\delta = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}}$, there exists a positive constant M_3 such that

$$\sqrt{\left(K_n^a(t-x)^4, x\right)} \le M_3 \sqrt{\frac{(1+x^2)^2}{n^2}}.$$
(13)

Combining the estimates of (10)-(13) and taking $M_1 = 2(1 + M_2 + \sqrt{M_2} + M_3 \sqrt{M_2})$, we obtain the required result. \square

3.3. Simultaneous approximation

Theorem 3.8. Let $f \in C_{\nu}[0, \infty)$. If $f^{(r)}$ exists at a point $x \in (0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r} K_n^a(f;\omega) \right)_{\omega=x} = f^{(r)}(x).$$

Proof. By our hypothesis, we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} (t-x)^{\nu} + \psi(t,x)(t-x)^{r}, \ t \in [0,\infty),$$

where the function $\psi(t,x) \to 0$ as $t \to x$. From the above equation, we may write

$$\left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r}K_n^a(f(t);\omega)\right)_{\omega=x} = \sum_{\nu=0}^r \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r}K_n^a(t-x)^\nu;\omega\right)_{\omega=x} + \left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r}K_n^a(\psi(t,x)(t-x)^r;\omega)\right)_{\omega=x}$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2, \text{say}.$$

Now, we estimate I_1 .

$$I_{1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \left\{ \frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\nu} {v \choose j} (-x)^{\nu-j} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j}; \omega) \right)_{\omega=x} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} {v \choose j} (-x)^{\nu-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j}; \omega) \right)_{\omega=x}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} {v \choose j} (-x)^{\nu-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j}; \omega) \right)_{\omega=x} + \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r \choose j} (-x)^{r-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j}; \omega) \right)_{\omega=x}$$

$$:= I_{3} + I_{4}, \text{say}.$$

First, we estimate I_4 .

$$I_{4} = \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} {r \choose j} (-x)^{r-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j};\omega) \right)_{\omega=x} + \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{r};\omega) \right)_{\omega=x}$$

$$:= I_{5} + I_{6}, \text{say}.$$

By using Lemma 2.5, we get

$$I_6 = f^{(r)}(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), I_3 = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ and } I_5 = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Combining the above estimates, for each $x \in (0, \infty)$ we obtain $I_1 \to f^{(r)}(x)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since $\psi(t,x) \to 0$ as $t \to x$, for a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\psi(t,x)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|t-x| < \delta$. For $|t-x| \ge \delta$, $|\psi(t,x)| \le M|t-x|^{\beta}$, for some $M,\beta > 0$. By making use of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|I_2| \le (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \le r\\i,j > 0}} n^i |k - nx|^j \frac{|q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{(p(x))^r} W_{n,k}^a(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} \psi(t,x) (t-x)^r dt.$$

$$|I_{2}| \leq (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} |k-nx|^{j} \frac{|q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{(p(x))^{r}} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\epsilon \int_{|t-x| < \delta} |t-x|^{r} dt + M \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} |t-x|^{r+\beta} dt \right)$$

Let $S = \sup_{\substack{2i+j \le r \\ i,j > 0}} \frac{|q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{(p(x))^r}$ and by applying the Schwarz inequality, Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$|I_{7}| \leq \epsilon (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} S \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} |k-nx|^{j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} S \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-nx)^{2j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \epsilon . S \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-nx)^{2j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t-x)^{2r} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon . S \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} O\left(n^{\frac{2i+j}{2}}\right) O\left(n^{-r/2}\right) = \epsilon . O(1).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $I_7 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $s(\epsilon \mathbb{N}) > r + \beta$. Again, by using Schwarz inequality, Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$I_{8} \leq MS(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} |k - nx|^{j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} |t - x|^{r+\beta} dt$$

$$\leq \frac{M'(n+1)}{\delta^{s-r-\beta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} |k - nx|^{j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |t - x|^{s} dt, \text{ where } MS = M'$$

$$\leq \frac{M'(n+1)^{1/2}}{\delta^{s-r-\beta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} |k - nx|^{j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \left(\int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} |t - x|^{2s} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{M'}{\delta^{s-r-\beta}} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x)(k - nx)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \left((n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t - x)^{2s} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{M'}{\delta^{s-r-\beta}} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} O(n^{j/2}) O(n^{-s/2}) = \frac{M'}{\delta^{s-r-\beta}} O(n^{\frac{(r-s)}{2}})$$

which implies that $I_8 \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

Now, by combining the estimates of I_7 and I_8 , we get $I_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Thus, from the estimates of I_1 and I_2 , we obtained the required result.

3.4. Voronovskaja type result

Theorem 3.9. Let $f \in C_{\gamma}[0, \infty)$. If f admits a derivative of order (r + 2) at a fixed point $x \in (0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\left(\left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r}K_n^a(f;\omega)\right)_{\omega=x} - f^{(r)}(x)\right) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r+2} Q(\nu,r,a,x)f^{(\nu)}(x),$$

where Q(v, r, a, x) are certain rational functions of x depending on the parameter a, r, v.

Proof. From the Taylor's theorem, we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} (t-x)^{\nu} + \psi(t,x)(t-x)^{r+2}, \ t \in [0,\infty)$$
 (14)

where $\psi(t, x) \to 0$ as $t \to x$ and $\psi(t, x) = O(t - x)^{\gamma}$. From the equation (14), we have

$$\left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}}K_{n}^{a}(f(t);\omega)\right)_{\omega=x} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{dw^{r}}(K_{n}^{a}((t-x)^{\nu};\omega))_{\omega=x} + \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}}K_{n}^{a}(\psi(t,x)(t-x)^{r+2};\omega)\right)_{\omega=x} \right) \\
= \sum_{\nu=0}^{r+2} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} {\nu \choose j} (-x)^{\nu-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}}K_{n}^{a}(t^{j};\omega)\right)_{\omega=x} \\
+ \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}}K_{n}^{a}(\psi(t,x))(t-x)^{r+2};\omega\right)_{\omega=x} := I_{1} + I_{2}, \text{ say}.$$

Proceeding in a manner to the estimates of I_2 in Theorem 3.8, for each $x \in (0, \infty)$ we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r} (K_n^a(\psi(t,x)(t-x)^{r+2};\omega)\right)_{\omega=x} = 0.$$

Now, we estimate I_1 .

$$I_{1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} {v \choose j} (-x)^{\nu-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j};\omega) \right)_{\omega=x} + \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r \choose j} (-x)^{r-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j};\omega) \right)_{\omega=x} + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose j} (-x)^{r+1-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j};\omega) \right)_{\omega=x} + \frac{f^{(r+2)}(x)}{(r+2)!} \sum_{j=0}^{r+2} {r+2 \choose j} (-x)^{r+2-j} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{d\omega^{r}} K_{n}^{a}(t^{j};\omega) \right)_{\omega=x}.$$

By making use of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$I_1 = f^{(r)}(x) + n^{-1} \bigg(\sum_{\nu=1}^{r+2} Q(\nu, r, a, x) f^{(\nu)}(x) + o(1) \bigg).$$

Thus, from the estimates of I_1 and I_2 , the required result follows. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.10. From the above theorem, we have

(i) for
$$r = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n(K_n^a(f; x) - f(x)) = \left(\frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} - x\right) f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x+x^2) f''(x);$$

(ii) for
$$r = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left(\left(\frac{d}{d\omega} K_n^a(f; \omega) - f'(x) \right)_{\omega = x} \right) = \left(-1 + \frac{a}{(1+x)^2} \right) f'(x) + \left(1 + \frac{ax}{1+x} \right) f''(x) + \frac{1}{2} x (1+x) f'''(x).$$

3.5. Degree of approximation

In this section, we obtain an estimate of the degree of approximation for rth order derivative of K_n^a for smooth functions.

Theorem 3.11. Let $r \le q \le r+2$, $f \in C_{\gamma}[0,\infty)$ and $f^{(q)}$ exist and be continuous on $(a-\eta,b+\eta)$, $\eta > 0$. Then, for sufficiently large n

$$\left\| \left(\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r} K_n^a(f;\omega) \right)_{\omega=t} - f^{(r)}(t) \right\|_{C[a,b]} \le C_1 n^{-(q-r)/2} \omega(f^{(q)}, n^{-1/2}) + C_2 n^{-1},$$

where $C_1 = C_1(r)$ and $C_2 = C_2(r, f)$.

Proof. By our hypothesis we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t - x)^{i} + \frac{f^{(q)}(\xi) - f^{(q)}(x)}{q!} (t - x)^{q} \chi(t) + \phi(t, x) (1 - \chi(t)),$$
(15)

where ξ lies between t and x and $\chi(t)$ is the characteristic function of $(a - \eta, b + \eta)$. The function $\phi(t, x)$ for $t \in [0, \infty) \setminus (a - \eta, b + \eta)$ and $x \in [a, b]$ is bounded by $M|t - x|^{\kappa}$ for some constants $M, \kappa > 0$.

Operating $\frac{d^r}{d\omega^r}K_n^a(.;\omega)$ on the equality (15) and breaking the right hand side into three parts J_1 , J_2 and J_3 , say, corresponding to the three terms on the right hand side of equation (15) as in the estimate of I_8 in Theorem 3.8, it can be easily shown that $J_3 = o(n^{-1})$, uniformly in $x \in [a,b]$.

Now treating J_1 in a manner similar to the treatment of I_1 of Theorem 3.9, we get $J_1 = f^{(r)}(t) + O(n^{-1})$, uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$.

Finally, let

$$S_1 = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \sup_{\substack{2i+j \le r \\ i,j > 0}} \frac{q_{i,j,r}(x)}{(p(x))^r},$$

then making use of the inequality

$$|f^{(q)}(\xi) - f^{(q)}(x)| \le \left(1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta}\right) \omega(f^{(q)}, \delta), \ \delta > 0,$$

the Schwarz inequality, Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$|J_{2}| \leq (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{n^{i}|k-nx|^{j}q_{i,j,r}(x)}{(p(x))^{r}} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} \frac{|f^{(q)}(\xi)-f^{(q)}(x)|}{q!} |t-x|^{q}\chi(t)dt$$

$$\leq \frac{\omega(f^{(q)},\delta)S_{1}}{q!} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-nx)^{2j} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \Big)^{1/2} \Big\{ \Big((n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t-x)^{2q} dt \Big)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{\delta} \Big((n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^{a}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} (t-x)^{2q+2} dt \Big)^{1/2} \Big\}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \Big(n^{-(q-r)/2} \Big) \omega(f^{(q)}, n^{-1/2}), \text{ on choosing } \delta = n^{-1/2}.$$

By combining the estimates of $J_1 - J_3$, we get the required result. \square

3.6. Statistical convergence

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a non-negative infinite summability matrix. For a given sequence $x := (x)_n$, the A-transform of x denoted by $Ax : (Ax)_n$ is defined as

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$$

provided the series converges for each n. A is said to be regular if $\lim_{n} (Ax)_n = L$ whenever $\lim_{n} (x)_n = L$. Then $x = (x)_n$ is said to be A-statistically convergent to L i.e. $st_A - \lim_{n} (x)_n = L$ if for every

 $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n} \sum_{k:|x_k-L| \ge \epsilon} a_{nk} = 0$. If we replace *A* by C_1 then *A* is a Cesaro matrix of order one and *A*-statistical

convergence is reduced to the statistical convergence. Similarly, if A = I, the identity matrix then A-statistical convergence is called ordinary convergence.

Theorem 3.12. Let (a_{nk}) be a non-negative regular summability matrix and $x \in [0, \infty)$. Then, for all $f \in C_{\rho}[0, \infty)$ we have

$$st_A - \lim_n ||K_n^a(f,.) - f||_{\rho_\alpha} = 0,$$

where $\rho_{\alpha}(x) = 1 + x^{2+\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$.

Proof. From ([14], p. 191, Th. 3), it is sufficient to show that $st_A - \lim_n ||K_n^a(e_i, .) - e_i||_{\rho} = 0$, where $e_i(x) = x^i$, i = 0, 1, 2.

In view of Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$st_A - \lim_n ||K_n^a(e_0, .) - e_0||_{\rho} = 0.$$
(16)

Again, by using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{|K_n^a(e_1, x) - e_1(x)|}{1 + x^2} = \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n+1} \left(-x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|}{1 + x^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \left(a + \frac{3}{2} \right).$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we define the following sets

$$D: = \left\{ n : \|K_n^a(e_1, .) - e_1\|_{\rho} \ge \epsilon \right\}$$

$$D_1: = \left\{ n : \frac{1}{n+1} \left(a + \frac{3}{2} \right) \ge \epsilon \right\}.$$

which yields us $D \subseteq D_1$ and therefore for all n, we have $\sum_{k \in D} a_{nk} \le \sum_{k \in D_1} a_{nk}$ and hence

$$st_A - \lim_n ||K_n^a(e_1, .) - e_1||_{\rho} = 0. \tag{17}$$

Proceeding similarly,

 $|| K_n^a(e_2;.) - e_2 ||_{\rho}$

$$= \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{1}{1+x^2} \left| \frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \left(-x^2 + 2x + \frac{2ax^2}{1+x} \right) + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(\frac{a^2x^2}{(1+x)^2} + \frac{2ax}{1+x} - x^2 + \frac{1}{3} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n+1} (2a+3) + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(a^2 + 4a + \frac{13}{3} \right).$$

Let us define the following sets

$$E: = \left\{ n : ||K_n^a(e_2, .) - e_2||_{\rho} \ge \epsilon \right\}$$

$$E_1: = \left\{ n : \frac{1}{n+1} (2a+3) \ge \epsilon/2 \right\}$$

$$E_2: = \left\{ n : \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(a^2 + 4a + \frac{13}{3} \right) \ge \epsilon/2 \right\}.$$

Then, we obtain $E \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$, which implies that

$$\sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} \le \sum_{k \in E_1} a_{nk} + \sum_{k \in E_2} a_{nk}$$

and hence

$$st_A - \lim_{n} ||K_n^a(e_2, .) - e_2||_{\rho} = 0.$$
 (18)

This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

3.7. Rate of approximation

The rate of convergence for functions with derivative of bounded variation is an interesting area of research in approximation theory. A pioneering work in this direction is due to Bojanic and Cheng ([8], [9]) who estimated the rate of convergence with derivatives of bounded variation for Bernstein and Hermite-Fejer polynomials by using different methods. After that many researchers have obtained results in this direction for different sequences of linear positive operators.

Now, we shall estimate the rate of convergence for the generalized Baskakov Kantorovich Operators K_n^a for functions with derivatives of bounded variation defined on $(0, \infty)$ at points x where f'(x+) and f'(x-) exist, we shall prove that the operators (1) converge to the limit f(x).

Let $f \in DBV_{\gamma}(0, \infty)$, $\gamma \ge 0$ be the class of all functions defined on $(0, \infty)$, having a derivative of bounded variation on every finite subinterval of $(0, \infty)$ and $|f(t)| \le Mt^{\gamma}$, $\forall t > 0$. We notice that the functions $f \in DBV_{\gamma}(0, \infty)$ possess a representation

$$f(x) = \int_0^x h(t)dt + f(0),$$

where h(t) is a function of bounded variation on each finite subinterval of $(0, \infty)$.

The operators $K_n^a(f;x)$ also admit the integral representation

$$K_n^a(f;x) = \int_0^\infty J_n^a(x,t)f(t)dt,\tag{19}$$

where $J_n^a(x,t) := (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k}^a(x) \chi_{n,k}(t)$, where $\chi_{n,k}(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $\left[\frac{k}{n+1}, \frac{k+1}{n+1}\right]$ with respect to $[0,\infty)$.

Remark 3.13. From Lemma 2.6, for $\lambda > 1, x \in (0, \infty)$ and n sufficiently large, we have

$$K_n^a((t-x)^2;x) = u_{n,2}^a(x) \le \frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1}.$$

In order to prove the main result, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.14. For fixed $x \in (0, \infty)$, $\lambda > 1$ and n sufficiently large, we have

(i)
$$\alpha_n^a(x,y) = \int_0^y J_n^a(x,t)dt \le \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1}, \ 0 \le y < x,$$

(ii)
$$1 - \alpha_n^a(x, z) = \int_z^\infty J_n^a(x, t) dt \le \frac{1}{(z - x)^2} \frac{\lambda x (1 + x)}{n + 1}, \ x < z < \infty.$$

Proof. First we prove (i).

$$\alpha_{n}^{a}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{y} J_{n}^{a}(x,t)dt \le \int_{0}^{y} \left(\frac{x-t}{x-y}\right)^{2} J_{n}^{a}(x,t)dt$$

$$\le \frac{1}{(x-y)^{2}} K_{n}^{a}((t-x)^{2};x)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{(x-y)^{2}} \frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1}.$$

The proof of (ii) is similar. \Box

Theorem 3.15. Let $f \in DBV_{\gamma}(0, \infty)$. Then, for every $x \in (0, \infty)$, and n sufficiently large, we have

$$|K_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\left| -x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2} \right|}{n+1} \frac{|f'(x+) + f'(x-)|}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1}} \frac{|f'(x+) - f'(x-)|}{2} + \frac{\lambda(1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \bigvee_{x-(x/k)}^{x} (f'_{x}) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x-(x/\sqrt{n})}^{x} (f'_{x}) + \frac{\lambda(1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \bigvee_{x}^{x+x/k} (f'_{x}) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}} (f'_{x}),$$

where $\bigvee_{c}^{d} f(x)$ denotes the total variation of f(x) on [c,d] and f_x is defined by

$$f_x(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) - f(x-), & 0 \le t < x \\ 0, & t = x \\ f(t) - f(x+), & x < t < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $u \in [0, \infty)$, we may write

$$f'(u) = f'_{x}(u) + \frac{1}{2}(f'(x+) + f'(x-)) + \frac{1}{2}(f'(x+) - f'(x-))sgn(u-x) + \delta_{x}(u)\{f'(u) - \frac{1}{2}(f'(x+) + f'(x-))\},$$
(20)

where

$$\delta_x(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & , & u = x \\ 0 & , & u \neq x. \end{cases}$$

From (19) we get

$$K_n^a(f;x) - f(x) = \int_0^\infty J_n^a(x,t)(f(t) - f(x))dt$$

= $\int_0^\infty J_n^a(x,t) \int_x^t f'(u)dudt.$ (21)

It is obvious that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^t \left(f'(u) - \frac{1}{2} (f'(x+) + f'(x-)) \right) \delta_x(u) du \right) J_n^a(x,t) dt = 0.$$

By (21), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^t \frac{1}{2} (f'(x+) + f'(x-)) du \right) J_n^a(x,t) dt = \frac{1}{2} (f'(x+) + f'(x-)) \int_0^\infty (t-x) J_n^a(x,t) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (f'(x+) + f'(x-)) K_n^a((t-x);x)$$

and by using Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{n}^{a}(x,t) \left(\int_{x}^{t} \frac{1}{2} (f'(x+) - f'(x-)) sgn(u-x) du \right) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |f'(x+) - f'(x-)| \int_{0}^{\infty} |t-x| J_{n}^{a}(x,t) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} |f'(x+) - f'(x-)| K_{n}^{a}(|t-x|;x)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} |f'(x+) - f'(x-)| (K_{n}^{a}((t-x)^{2};x))^{1/2}.$$

From Lemma 2.5, Remark 3.13 and from the above estimates (21) becomes

$$|K_{n}^{a}(f;x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2(n+1)}|f'(x+) + f'(x-)| - x + \frac{ax}{1+x} + \frac{1}{2}| + \frac{1}{2}|f'(x+) - f'(x-)| \sqrt{\frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1}} + \left| \int_{0}^{x} \left(\int_{x}^{t} f'_{x}(u)du \right) J_{n}^{a}(x,t)dt \right| + \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\int_{x}^{t} f'_{x}(u)du \right) J_{n}^{a}(x,t)dt \right|.$$

$$(22)$$

Let
$$U_n(f',x) = \int_0^x \left(\int_x^t f_x'(u) du \right) J_n^a(x,t) dt,$$
 and
$$V_n(f',x) = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^t f_x'(u) du \right) J_n^a(x,t) dt.$$

Now, we estimate the terms $U_n(f',x)$ and $V_n(f',x)$. Since $\int_c^d d_t \alpha_n^a(x,t) \le 1$ for all $[c,d] \subseteq (0,\infty)$, using integration by parts and Lemma 3.14 with $y=x-\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{n}(f',x)| &= \left| \int_{0}^{x} \left(\int_{x}^{t} f'_{x}(u) du \right) d_{t} \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{x} \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t) f'_{x}(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{0}^{y} + \int_{y}^{x} \right) |f'_{x}(t)| |\alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda x (1+x)}{n+1} \int_{0}^{y} \bigvee_{t}^{x} (f'_{x}) (x-t)^{-2} dt + \int_{y}^{x} \bigvee_{t}^{x} (f'_{x}) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda x (1+x)}{n+1} \int_{0}^{y} \bigvee_{t}^{x} (f'_{x}) (x-t)^{-2} dt + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x-(x/\sqrt{n})}^{x} (f'_{x}). \end{aligned}$$

By the substitution of $u = \frac{x}{x-t}$, we get

$$\frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1} \int_{0}^{x-x/\sqrt{n}} (x-t)^{-2} \bigvee_{t}^{x} (f'_{x}) dt = \frac{\lambda (1+x)}{n+1} \int_{1}^{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x-(x/u)}^{x} (f'_{x}) du$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda (1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \int_{k}^{k+1} \bigvee_{x-(x/u)}^{x} (f'_{x}) du$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda (1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \bigvee_{x-(x/k)}^{x} (f'_{x}).$$

Thus we obtain

$$|U_n(f',x)| \le \frac{\lambda(1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \bigvee_{x-(x/k)}^{x} (f'_x) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x-(x/\sqrt{n})}^{x} (f'_x). \tag{23}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{n}(f',x)| &= \left| \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{x}'(u) du \right) J_{n}^{a}(x,t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{z}^{\infty} \left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{x}'(u) du \right) d_{t} (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) + \int_{x}^{z} \left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{x}'(u) du \right) d_{t} (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{x}^{z} f_{x}'(u) (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,z)) du - \int_{x}^{z} f_{x}'(t) (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) dt \right| \\ &+ \left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{x}'(u) (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) du \right)_{z}^{\infty} - \int_{z}^{\infty} f_{x}'(t) (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{x}^{z} f_{x}'(t) (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) dt \right| + \left| \int_{z}^{\infty} f_{x}'(t) (1 - \alpha_{n}^{a}(x,t)) dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.14, with $z = x + (x/\sqrt{n})$, we obtain

$$|V_{n}(f',x)| \leq \frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1} \int_{z}^{\infty} \bigvee_{x}^{t} (f'_{x})(t-x)^{-2} dt + \int_{x}^{z} \bigvee_{x}^{t} (f'_{x}) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1} \int_{z}^{\infty} \bigvee_{x}^{t} (f'_{x})(t-x)^{-2} dt + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x}^{x+(x/\sqrt{n})} (f'_{x}).$$

By substitution of $v = \frac{x}{t-x}$, we get

$$\frac{\lambda x(1+x)}{n+1} \int_{x+(x/\sqrt{n})}^{\infty} \bigvee_{x}^{t} (f'_{x})(t-x)^{-2} dt = \frac{\lambda (1+x)}{n+1} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x}^{x+(x/v)} (f'_{x}) dv \\
\leq \frac{\lambda (1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \int_{k}^{k+1} \bigvee_{x}^{x+(x/v)} (f'_{x}) dv \\
\leq \frac{\lambda (1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \bigvee_{x}^{x+(x/k)} (f'_{x}).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|V_n(f',x)| \leq \frac{\lambda(1+x)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \bigvee_{x}^{x+(x/k)} (f'_x) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{x}^{x+(x/\sqrt{n})} (f'_x). \tag{24}$$

From (22)-(24), we get the required result. \Box

Acknowledgements The authors are extremely grateful to the reviewers for a careful reading of the manuscript and making helpful suggestions leading to a better presentation of the paper. The second author is thankful to the "Council of Scientific and Industrial Research" India for financial support to carry out the above research work.

References

- [1] U. Abel, Asymptotic approximation with Kantorovich polynomial, Approx. and its Appl. 14 (3) (1998) 106-116.
- [2] T. Acar, V. Gupta and A. Aral, Rate of convergence for generalized Szász operators, Bull. Math. Sci. 1 (1) (2011) 99-113.
- [3] P. N. Agrawal, V. Gupta, A. Sathish Kumar and A. Kajla, Generalized Baskakov-Szász type operators, Appl. Math. Comput. 236 (2014) 311-324.
- [4] P. N. Agrawal, V. Gupta and A. Sathish Kumar, Generalized Baskakov-Durrmeyer type operators, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, DOI 10.1007/s12215-014-0152-z (2014).
- [5] A. Aral and T. Acar, Weighted Approximation by new Bernstein-Chlodowsky -Gadjiev operators, Filomat 27 (2) (2013) 371-380.
- [6] A. Aral and O. Doğru, Bleimann Butzer and Hahn operators based on q integers, J. Inequal. Appl. Art. ID 79410 (2007) 1-12.
- [7] S. Aytar, A characterization theorem for levelwise statistical convergence, Filomat 25 (1) (2011) 133-143.
- [8] R. Bojanic and F. Cheng, Rate of convergence of Bernstein polynomials for functions with derivatives of bounded variation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 141 (1) (1989) 136-151.
- [9] R. Bojanic and F. Cheng, Rate of convergence of Hermite-Fejer polynomials for functions with derivatives of bounded variation, Acta. Math. Hungar. 59 (1-2) (1992) 91-102.
- [10] P. L. Butzer, Summability of generalized Bernstein polynomials, Duke Math. J. 22 (1955) 617-623.
- [11] F. Cheng, On the rate of convergence of Bernstein polynomials of functions of bounded variation. J. Approx. Theory 39 (1983) 259-274.
- [12] R. A. Devore and G. G. Lorentz, Constructive Approximation, Springer, Berlin (1993).
- [13] O. Doğru and O. Duman, Statistical approximation by Meyer-König and Zeller operators based on *q* integers, Publ. Math. Debrecen 68 (1-2) (2006) 199-214.
- [14] O. Duman and C. Orhan, Statistical approximation by positive linear operators, Studia Math. 161 (2) (2004) 187-197.
- [15] A. Erencin, Durrmeyer type modification of generalized Baskakov operators, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (8) (2011) 4384-4390.
- [16] E. Erkuş, O. Duman and H. M. Srivastava, Statistical approximation of certain positive linear operators constructed by means of the Chan-Chyan-Srivastava polynomials, Appl. Math. Comput. 182 (1) (2006) 213-222.
- $[17] \;\; H. \; Fast, Sur \; la \; convergence \; statistique, Colloq. \; Math. \; 2 \; (1951) \; 241-244.$

- [18] A.D. Gadjiev, On P.P. Korovkin type theorems, Math. Zametki 20 (5) (1976) 781-786.
- [19] A. D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence, Rocky Mountain. J. Math. 32 (1) (2002) 129-138.
- [20] S. Guo, On the rate of convergence of the Durrmeyer operators for functions of bounded variation. J. Approx. Theory 51 (1987) 183-192.
- [21] V. Gupta and A. Aral, Convergence of the q-analogue of Szász- beta operators, Appl. Math. Comput. 216 (2) (2010) 374-380.
- [22] V. Gupta and C. Radu, Statistical approximation properties of q-Baskakov-Kantorovich operators, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 7 (4) (2009) 809-818.
- [23] V. Gupta and H. Sharma, Statistical approximation by *q*–integrated Meyer-König-Zeller-Kantorovich operators, Creat. Math. Inform. 19 (1) (2010) 45-52.
- [24] E. Ibikli and E. A. Gadjieva, The order of approximation of some unbounded function by the sequences of positive linear operators, Turkish J. Math. 19 (3) (1995) 331-337.
- [25] N. Ispir, Rate of convergence of generalized rational type Baskakov operators, Math. Comput. Modelling 46 (5-6) (2007) 625-631.
- [26] N. Ispir and V. Gupta, A—Statistical approximation by the generalized Kantorovich-Bernstein type rational operators, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 32 (1) (2008) 87-97.
- [27] L. V. Kantorovich, Sur certains développements suivant les polynômials de la formede S. Bernstein I, II, C. R. Acad. Sci. USSR, 20 (1930) 563-568, 595-600.
- [28] H. Karsli, Rate of convergence of new gamma type operators for functions with derivatives of bounded variation, Math. Comput. Modelling 45 (5-6) (2007) 617-624.
- [29] E. Kolk, Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences, Analysis 13 (1-2) (1993) 77-83.
- [30] B. Lenze, On Lipschitz type maximal functions and their smoothness spaces, Nederl. Akad. Indag. Math. 50 (1) (1988) 53-63.
- [31] N. I. Mahmodov, Statistical approximation of Baskakov and Baskakov-Kantorovich operators based on *q* integers, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8 (4) (2010) 816-826.
- [32] N. I. Mahmodov and P. Sabancigil, Approximation theorems for q-Bernstein-Kantorovich operators, Filomat 27 (4) (2013) 721-730.
- [33] V. Mihesan, Uniform approximation with positive linear operators generated by generalized Baskakov method, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math. 7 (1) (1998) 34-37.
- [34] M. Mursaleen and Q. M. Lohani, Statistical limit superior and limit inferior in probabilistic normed spaces, Filomat 25 (3) (2011) 55-67.
- [35] M. A. Özarslan and H. Aktuğlu, Local approximation for certain King type operators, Filomat 27 (1) 173-181.
- [36] M. A. Özarslan and H. Aktuğlu, A-statistical approximation of generalized Szász-Mirakjan-beta operators, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (11) (2011) 1785-1790.
- [37] M. A. Özarslan, O. Duman and C. Kaanoğlu, Rates of convergence of certain King-type operators for functions with derivative of bounded variation, Math. Comput. Modelling 52 (1-2) (2010) 334-345.
- [38] M. A. Özarslan, O. Duman and H. M. Srivastava, Statistical approximation results for Kantorovich-type operators involving some special polynomials, Math. Comput. Modelling 48 (3-4) (2008) 388-401.
- [39] E. Y. Özkan, Statistical approximation properties of *q*–Balázs-Szabados -Stancu operators, Filomat 28 (9) (2014) 1943-1952.
- [40] R. P. Sinha, P. N. Agrawal and V. Gupta, On simultaneous approximation by modified Baskakov operators, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 43 (2) (1991) 217-231.
- [41] H. M. Srivastava and V. Gupta, Rate of convergence for the Bézier variant of the Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn operators, Appl. Math. Lett. 18 (8) (2005) 849-857.
- [42] H. M. Srivastava and V. Gupta, A certain family of summation-integral type operators, Math. Comput. Modelling 37 (12-13) (2003) 1307-1315.
- [43] I. Yüksel and N. Ispir, Weighted approximation by a certain family of summation integral-type operators, Comput. Math. Appl. 52 (10-11) (2006) 1463-1470.
- [44] C. Zhang and Z. Zhu, Preservation properties of the Baskakov -Kantorovich operators, Comput. Math. Appl. 57(9) (2009) 1450-1455.