



Korovkin Type Approximation Theorems via Lacunary Equistatistical Convergence

Abdullah Alotaibi^a, M. Mursaleen^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India.

Abstract. H. Aktuğlu and H. Gezer [Central European J. Math. 7 (2009), 558–567] introduced the concepts of lacunary equistatistical convergence, lacunary statistical pointwise convergence and lacunary statistical uniform convergence for sequences of functions. In this paper, we apply the notion of lacunary equistatistical convergence to prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem by using test functions $1, \frac{x}{1-x}, (\frac{x}{1-x})^2$.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The following concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [7]. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers and $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Let $K_n = \{j : j \leq n \text{ and } j \in K\}$. Then the *natural density* of K is defined by

$$\delta(K) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_n|}{n}$$

if the limit exists, where $|K_n|$ denotes the *cardinality* of the set K_n .

A sequence $x = (x_j)$ of real numbers is said to be *statistically convergent* to the number L if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{j : j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } |x_j - L| \geq \epsilon\}$ has natural density zero, that is, if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{j : j \leq n \text{ and } |x_j - L| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence $\theta = \{k_r\}$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout this paper the intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$, and the ratio k_r/k_{r-1} will be abbreviated by q_r .

Fridy and Orhan [8] defined the notion of lacunary statistical convergence as follows:

Let θ be a lacunary sequence; the number sequence x is S_θ -convergent to L provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0. \quad (1)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A10, 41A25, 41A36, 40A30, 40G15.

Keywords. (Statistical convergence; lacunary equistatistical convergence; positive linear operator; Korovkin type approximation theorem.)

Received: 28 September 2014; Accepted: 02 December 2014

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

This Project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (198/130/1434). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

Email addresses: mathker11@hotmail.com (Abdullah Alotaibi), mursaleenm@gmail.com (M. Mursaleen)

In this case we write S_θ -limit $x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\theta)$.

Note that after the paper of Gadjiev and Orhan [9], the concept of statistical convergence and its generalizations and variants have been used in proving several approximation theorems, e.g. [4], [5], [6], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22] and [24]. Further, we refer to some useful papers on approximating positive linear operators, e.g. [2], [15], [17] and [23].

The concept of equistatistical convergence was introduced by Balcerzak *et al.* [3] and was subsequently applied for establishing approximation theorems in [1], [10], [11] and [12]. In [1], Aktuglu and Gezer [1] generalized the idea of statistical convergence to lacunary equistatistical convergence. Recently, Y. Kaya and N. Gönül [13] established some analogues of the Korovkin approximation theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence. In this paper, we prove such type of theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence by using the test functions 1 , $\frac{x}{1-x}$ and $(\frac{x}{1-x})^2$.

Let $C[a, b]$ be the linear space of all real-valued continuous functions f on $[a, b]$. We know that $C[a, b]$ is a Banach space with the norm given by

$$\|f\|_{C[a,b]} := \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \quad (f \in C[a, b]).$$

Let f and f_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be real-valued functions defined on a subset X of the set \mathbb{N} of positive integers.

Definition 1.1. A sequence (f_k) of real-valued functions is said to be lacunary equistatistically convergent to f on X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the sequence $(S_r(\epsilon, x))_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real-valued functions converges uniformly to the zero function on X , that is, if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|S_r(\epsilon, x)\|_{C(X)} = 0,$$

where

$$S_r(\epsilon, x) := \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \{k : k \in I_r \text{ and } |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \right|$$

and $C(X)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions on X . In this case, we write

$$f_k \rightsquigarrow f \text{ } (\theta\text{-equistat}).$$

Definition 1.2. A sequence (f_k) is said to be lacunary statistically pointwise convergent to f on X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$, we have

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \{k : k \in I_r \text{ and } |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \right| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$f_r \longrightarrow f \text{ } (\theta\text{-stat}).$$

Definition 1.3. A sequence (f_r) is said to be lacunary statistically uniformly convergent to f on X if (for every $\epsilon > 0$), we have

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \{k : k \in I_r \text{ and } \|f_k - f\|_{C(X)} \geq \epsilon\} \right| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$f_r \xrightarrow{\text{stat}} f \text{ } (\theta\text{-stat})$$

Definition 1.4. (see [12]). A sequence (f_r) of real-valued functions is said to be equistatistically convergent to f on X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the sequence $(P_{n,\epsilon}(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real-valued functions converges uniformly to the zero function on X , that is, if (for every $\epsilon > 0$) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{n,\epsilon}(x)\|_{C(X)} = 0,$$

where

$$P_{n,\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \left| \{k : k \leq n \text{ and } |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \right| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$f_k \rightsquigarrow f \text{ (equistat).}$$

The following implications of the above definitions and concepts are trivial.

$$f_k \xrightarrow{\theta} f \text{ (\theta-stat)} \implies f_k \rightsquigarrow f \text{ (\theta-equistat)} \implies f_k \rightarrow f \text{ (\theta-stat)}.$$

Furthermore, in general, the reverse implications do not hold true.

2. Main Result

Let $I = [0, A]$, $J = [0, B]$, $A, B \in (0, 1)$ and $K = I \times J$. We denote by $C_B(K)$ the space of all bounded and continuous real valued functions on K . This space is equipped with norm

$$\|f\|_{C_B(K)} := \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|, \quad f \in C_B(K),$$

where $x = (u, v)$, $u \in I, v \in J$. Let $H_\omega(K)$ denote the space of all real valued functions f on K such that

$$|f(s) - f(x)| \leq \omega(f; \delta),$$

where ω is the modulus of continuity, i.e.

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{s, x \in K} \{|f(s) - f(x)| : |s - x| \leq \delta\} \quad (\delta > 0).$$

It is to be noted that any function $f \in H_\omega(K)$ is continuous and bounded on K , and a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in H_\omega(K)$ is that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f; \delta) = 0.$$

In [1], Aktuğlu and Gezer proved the Korovkin theorem for lacunary equistatistical convergence by using the test functions $1, x$ and x^2 ; while we use here the test functions $1, \frac{x}{1-x}$ and $(\frac{x}{1-x})^2$.

Let T be a linear operator which maps $C[a, b]$ into itself. We say that T is *positive* if, for every non-negative $f \in C[a, b]$, we have

$$T(f, x) \geq 0 \quad (x \in [a, b]).$$

We prove the following result:

Theorem 2.1. Let (L_r) be a sequence of positive linear operators from $H_\omega(K)$ into $C_B(K)$. Then for all $f \in H_\omega(K)$

$$L_r(f) \rightsquigarrow f \text{ (\theta-equistat)} \tag{2}$$

if and only if

$$L_r(g_i) \rightsquigarrow g_i \text{ } (\theta\text{-equiostat}) \text{ } (i = 0, 1, 2). \tag{3}$$

with

$$g_0(x) = 1, \quad g_1(x) = \frac{x}{1-x} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(x) = \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2.$$

Proof. Since each of the functions g_i belongs to $H_\omega(K)$, conditions (3) follow immediately. Let $g \in H_\omega(K)$ and $x \in K$ be fixed. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(s) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $s \in K$ satisfying $|\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}| < \delta$. Let

$$K(\delta) := \{s \in K : |\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}| < \delta\}.$$

Hence

$$|f(s) - f(x)| = |f(s) - f(x)|_{\chi_{K(\delta)}(s)} + |f(s) - f(x)|_{\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta)}(s)} \leq \varepsilon + 2N_{\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta)}(s)} \tag{4}$$

where χ_D denotes the characteristic function of the set D and $N = \|f\|_{C_B(K)}$. Further we get

$$\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta)}(s) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2. \tag{5}$$

Combining (4) and (5), we get

$$|f(s) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{2N}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2. \tag{6}$$

After using the linearity and positivity of operators $\{L_r\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_r(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \varepsilon + M \{ |L_r(g_0; x) - g_0(x)| + |L_r(g_1; x) - g_1(x)| \\ &+ |L_r(g_2; x) - g_2(x)| + |L_r(g_3; x) - g_3(x)| \} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

which implies that

$$|L_r(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon + M \sum_{i=0}^2 |L_r(g_i; x) - g_i(x)|, \tag{8}$$

where $M := \varepsilon + N + \frac{4N}{\delta^2}$. Now for a given $\rho > 0$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \rho$. Then, for each $i = 0, 1, 2$, set $\psi_\rho(x) := \{k \in I_r : |L_k(f; x) - f(x)| \geq \rho\}$ and $\psi_{i,\rho}(x) := \{k \in I_r : |L_k(g_i; x) - g_i(x)| \geq \frac{\rho - \varepsilon}{3k}\}$ for $(i = 0, 1, 2)$, it follows from (8) that $\psi_\rho(x) \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^2 \psi_{i,\rho}(x)$. Hence

$$\frac{\|\psi_\rho(x)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} \leq \sum_{i=0}^2 \left(\frac{\|\psi_{i,\rho}(x)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} \right). \tag{9}$$

Now using the hypothesis (3) and the Definition 1.1, the right hand side of (9) tends to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\psi_\rho(x)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} = 0 \text{ for every } \rho > 0,$$

i.e. (2) holds.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 2.1. Consider the following Meyer-König and Zeller [16] operators:

$$B_n(f; x) := (1 - x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{k+n+1}\right) \binom{n+k}{k} x^k, \tag{10}$$

where $f \in H_\omega(K)$, and $K = [0, A]$, $A \in (0, 1)$.

Since, for $x \in [0, A]$, $A \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k,$$

it is easy to see that

$$B_n(g_0; x) = g_0(x).$$

Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(g_1; x) &= (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n+1} x^k \\ &= (1-x)^{n+1} x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{(n+k)!}{n!(k-1)!} x^{k-1} \\ &= (1-x)^{n+1} x \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+2}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(g_2; x) &= (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^2 x^k \\ &= (1-x)^{n+1} \frac{x}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n+1} \frac{(n+k)!}{n!(k-1)!} x^{k-1} \\ &= (1-x)^{n+1} \frac{x}{n+1} x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k+1)!}{(n+1)!(k-1)!} x^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{n+2}{n+1} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{x}{1-x} \rightarrow \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$B_n(g_i; x) \rightarrow g_i(x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2),$$

and cosequently, we have

$$B_n(g_i) \rightsquigarrow g_i(\theta\text{-equistat}) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

Hence by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$B_n(f) \rightsquigarrow f(\theta\text{-equistat}).$$

3. Rate of Lacunary Equistatistical Convergence

In this section we study the rate of lacunary equistatistical convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators as given in [24].

Definition 3.1. Let (a_r) be a positive non-increasing sequence. A sequence (f_r) is lacunary equistatistically convergent to a function f with the rate $o(a_r)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_r(x, \epsilon)}{a_r} = 0$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in K$ or equivalently, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\Lambda_r(\cdot, \epsilon)\|_{C_B(X)}}{a_r} = 0,$$

where

$$\Lambda_r(x, \epsilon) := \frac{1}{h_r} | \{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon \} |.$$

In this case, it is denoted by $f_r - f = o(a_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K .

We have the following basic lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let (f_r) and (g_r) be sequences of functions belonging to $C(K)$. Assume that $f_r - f = o(a_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K and $g_r - g = o(b_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K . Let $c_r = \max\{a_r, b_r\}$. Then the following statement holds:

- (i) $(f_r + g_r) - (f + g) = o(c_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K ,
- (ii) $(f_r - f)(g_r - g) = o(a_r b_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K ,
- (iii) $\mu(f_r - f) = o(a_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K for any real number μ ,
- (iv) $\sqrt{|f_r - f|} = o(a_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K .

We recall that the modulus of continuity of a function $f \in H_\omega(K)$ is defined by

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{s, x \in K} |f(s) - f(x)| : |s - x| \leq \delta \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Now we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\{L_r\}$ be a sequence of positive linear operators from $H_\omega(K)$ into $C_B(K)$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (a) $L_r(g_0; x) - g_0 = o(a_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K ,
 - (b) $\omega(f, \delta_r) = o(b_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K , where $\delta_r(x) = \sqrt{L_r(\phi^2; x)}$
- with $\phi(x) = \left(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\right)$. Then for all $f \in H_\omega(K)$, we have

$$L_r(f) - f = o(c_r) \text{ (θ -equistat) on } K,$$

where $c_r = \max\{a_r, b_r\}$.

Proof. Let $f \in H_\omega(K)$ and $x \in K$. Then it is well known that,

$$|L_r(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M|L_r(g_0; x) - g_0(x)| + (L_r(g_0; x) + \sqrt{L_r(g_0; x)})\omega(f, \delta_r),$$

where $M = \|f\|_{H_\omega(K)}$. This yields that

$$|L_r(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M(|L_r(g_0; x) - g_0(x)| + 2\omega(f, \delta_r) + \omega(f, \delta_r)(L_r(g_0; x) - g_0(x)) + \omega(f, \delta_r) \sqrt{|(L_r(g_0; x) - g_0(x))|}).$$

Now using the conditions (a), (b) and Lemma 3.1 in the above inequality, we get $L_r(f) - f = o(c_r)$ (θ -equistat) on K .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] H. Aktuğlu and H. Gezer, Lacunary equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators, *Central European J. Math.*, 7 (2009), 558–567.
- [2] A. Aral and T. Acar, Weighted approximation by new Bernstein-Chlodowsky-Gadjiev operators, *Filomat*, 27 (2) (2013), 371–380.
- [3] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems and A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328 (2007), 715–729.
- [4] C. Belen and S.A. Mohiuddine, Generalized weighted statistical convergence and application, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 219 (2013) 9821–9826.
- [5] N.L. Braha, H.M. Srivastava and S.A. Mohiuddine, A Korovkin’s type approximation theorem for periodic functions via the statistical summability of the generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 228 (2014) 162–169.
- [6] O. H. H. Edely, S. A. Mohiuddine and A. K. Noman, Korovkin type approximation theorems obtained through generalized statistical convergence, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010). 1382–1387.
- [7] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [8] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific J. Math.*, 160 (1993) 43–51.
- [9] A.D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 32 (2002) 129–138.
- [10] S. Karakuş and K. Demirci, Equi-statistical extension of the Korovkin type approximation theorem, *Turkish J. Math.*, 33 (2009), 159–168; Erratum, *Turkish J. Math.* 33 (2009), 427–428.
- [11] S. Karakuş and K. Demirci, Equi-statistical σ -convergence of positive linear operators, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60 (2010), 2212–2218.
- [12] S. Karakuş, K. Demirci and O. Duman, Equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 339 (2008), 1065–1072.
- [13] Y. Kaya and N. Gönül, A generalization of lacunary equistatistical convergence of positive linear operators, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2013, Article ID 514174, 7 pages, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/514174>.
- [14] P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, [Translated from the Russian edition (1959)], Russian Monographs and Texts on Advanced Mathematics and Physics, Vol. III, Gordon and Breach Publishers, New York; Hindustan Publishing Corporation, Delhi, 1960.
- [15] N. İ. Mahmudov and P. Sabancıgil, Approximation Theorems for q -Bernstein-Kantorovich Operators, *Filomat*, 27 (4) (2013), 721–730.
- [16] W. Meyer-König and K. Zeller, Bersteinsche Potenzreihen, *Studia Math.*, 19 (1960) 89–94.
- [17] V.N. Mishra, K. Khatria and L.N. Mishra, Using linear operators to approximate signals of $Lip(\alpha, p)$, ($p \geq 1$)-class, *Filomat*, 27 (2) (2013), 353–363.
- [18] S.A. Mohiuddine and A. Alotaibi, Korovkin second theorem via statistical summability $(C,1)$, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013, 2013:149.
- [19] S.A. Mohiuddine and A. Alotaibi, M. Mursaleen, Statistical summability $(C,1)$ and a Korovkin type approximation theorem, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2012, 2012:172.
- [20] M. Mursaleen, V. Karakaya, M. Ertürk and F. Gürsoy, Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218 (2012) 9132–9137.
- [21] M. Mursaleen and R. Ahmad, Korovkin type approximation theorem through statistical lacunary summability, *Iranian Journal of Science & Technology*, 37A2 (2013) 99–102.
- [22] M. Mursaleen, Asif Khan, H. M. Srivastava and K. S Nisar, Operators constructed by means of q -Lagrange polynomials and A -statistical approximation, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 219 (2013) 6911–6918.
- [23] M.A. Ozarslan and H. Aktuğlu, Local approximation properties for certain King type operators, *Filomat*, 27 (1) (2013), 173–181.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, M. Mursaleen and Asif Khan, Generalized equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators and associated approximation theorems, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 55 (2012) 2040–2051.