



Total Quantum Integrals of Weak Hopf Group Coalgebras

Shuangjian Guo^a

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics, Guiyang, Guizhou 550025, P. R. of China*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of total quantum integrals in the case of weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebras and prove the affineness criterion for weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules, which is a generalization of the total quantum integral introduced by Chen and Wang (Filomat 26: 101-118, (2012)).

1. Introduction

The integrals for a Hopf algebra H and the more general ones were introduced by Doi [6], stating that the existence of an integral is the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a natural transformation between two functors linking the categories of relative Hopf-modules \mathcal{M}_A^H and right H -comodules \mathcal{M}^H . The categorical point of view towards integrals associated to a Doi-Koppinen datum (H, A, C) was introduced by Caenepeel et al. [4] to prove separability theorems. In [8], the authors weakened the conditions for a total A -integral in the sense of Caenepeel. The integrals cover the integrals introduced by Doi [6] and the classic integral by Larson and Sweedler [7]. As a major application, the quantum integrals associated to quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd modules ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A$ were introduced.

In [10] Turaev introduced, for a group π , the notion of a Hopf π -coalgebra, which can induce a π -category, i.e., group-category, and showed that such a category gives rise to a three-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory with target space $K(\pi, 1)$. Van Daele and Wang [11] introduced the definition of weak Hopf group coalgebras and generalized many of the properties of weak Hopf algebras in Böhm, Nill and Szlachanyi [2] to the setting of weak Hopf group coalgebras.

The main purpose of this paper is to define the more general concept of an integral associated to weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules, which generalizes the total integral introduced by Chen and Wang in T -coalgebras setting.

2. Preliminaries

We always work over a fixed field k and follow Sweedler's book [9] for the terminologies on coalgebras and comodules. Let C be a coalgebra with a coproduct Δ . We will use the Heyneman-Sweedler's notation, $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$ for all $c \in C$, for coproduct (summation understood). All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over k . If a tensor product is written without index, then it is assumed to be taken over k .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05

Keywords. Weak Hopf π -coalgebra; Total quantum integral; Weak π -comodule algebra.

Received: 05 March 2014; Accepted: 15 April 2015

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the TianYuan Special Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11426073), the program for science and technology innovation talents in Education Department of Guizhou Province (KY[2015]481) and the Fund of Science and Technology Department of Guizhou Province (No. 2014GZ81365).

Email address: shuangjguo@gmail.com (Shuangjian Guo)

2.1 π -coalgebras.

A π -coalgebra is a family of k -spaces $C = \{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ together with a family of k -linear maps $\Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : C_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C_\alpha \otimes C_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ (called a *comultiplication*) and a k -linear map $\varepsilon : C_e \rightarrow k$ (called a *counit*) such that Δ is coassociative in the sense that

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{C_\gamma}) \circ \Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = (id_{C_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma},$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$ and

$$(id_{C_\alpha} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,e} = id_{C_\alpha} = (\varepsilon \otimes id_{C_\alpha}) \circ \Delta_{e,\alpha},$$

for all $\alpha \in \pi$.

Remark. $(C_e, \Delta_{e,e}, \varepsilon)$ is an ordinary coalgebra in the sense of Sweedler. Following the Sweedler's notation for π -coalgebras, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $c \in C_{\alpha\beta}$, one write

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(c) = c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta)}.$$

The coassociativity axiom gives that, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$ and $c \in C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$,

$$c_{(1,\alpha\beta)(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(1,\alpha\beta)(2,\beta)} \otimes c_{(2,\gamma)} = c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta\gamma)(1,\beta)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta\gamma)(2,\gamma)},$$

which is written as $c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta)} \otimes c_{(3,\gamma)}$. Inductively, we can define $c_{(1,\alpha_1)} \otimes c_{(2,\alpha_2)} \otimes \dots \otimes c_{(n,\alpha_n)}$, for any $c \in C_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n}$. The counit axiom gives that, for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and $c \in C_\alpha$,

$$\varepsilon(c_{(1,e)})c_{(2,\alpha)} = c = c_{(1,\alpha)}\varepsilon(c_{(2,e)}).$$

2.2 π -C-comodules.

Let $C = \{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a π -coalgebra and a family of k -vector spaces $V = \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. A right π -C-comodules is a couple $(V, \rho^V = \{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^V\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi})$, where for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^V : V_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow V_\alpha \otimes C_\beta$ is a k -linear morphism, which will be called a π -comodule structure and denoted by $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^V(v) = v_{(0,\alpha)} \otimes v_{(1,\beta)}$, satisfying the following conditions:

- ◆ ρ^V is coassociative in the sense that, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$, we have

$$(\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^V \otimes id_{C_\gamma}) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}^V = (id_{V_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \rho_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}^V,$$

- ◆ V is counitary in the sense that

$$(\varepsilon \otimes id_{V_\alpha}) \circ \rho_{e,\alpha}^V = id_{V_\alpha}.$$

2.3 Weak Hopf π -coalgebras.

We recall from Van Daele and Wang [11] that a *weak semi-Hopf π -coalgebra* $H = \{H_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, 1_\alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a family of algebras $\{H_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, 1_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and at the same time a π -coalgebra $\{H_\alpha, \Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\}, \varepsilon\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ such that

- ◆ The comultiplication $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : H_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow H_\alpha \otimes H_\beta$ is a homomorphism of algebras (not necessary unit-preserving) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{H_\alpha})\Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}(1_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) &= (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes 1_\gamma)(1_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}(1_{\beta\gamma})), \\ (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{H_\alpha})\Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}(1_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) &= (1_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}(1_{\beta\gamma}))(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(1_{\alpha,\beta}) \otimes 1_\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$.

- ◆ The counit $\varepsilon : H_e \rightarrow k$ is a k -linear map satisfying the identity

$$\varepsilon(gxh) = \varepsilon(gx_{(2,e)})\varepsilon(x_{(1,e)}h) = \varepsilon(gx_{(1,e)})\varepsilon(x_{(2,e)}h),$$

for all $g, h, x \in H_e$.

A *weak Hopf π -coalgebra* is a weak semi-Hopf π -coalgebra $H = \{H_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, 1_\alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ endowed with a family of k -linear maps $S = \{S_\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow H_{\alpha^{-1}}\}$ (called *antipode*) such that the following data hold:

$$\mu_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes id_{H_\alpha})\Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha}(h) = 1_{(1,\alpha)}\varepsilon(h1_{(2,e)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha(id_{H_\alpha} \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}})\Delta_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}}(h) &= \varepsilon(1_{(1,e)}h)1_{(2,\alpha)}, \\ S_\alpha(g_{(1,\alpha)})g_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}S_\alpha(g_{(3,\alpha)}) &= S_\alpha(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H_e, g \in H_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in \pi$.

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra. Define the family of linear maps $\varepsilon^t = \{\varepsilon_\alpha^t : H_e \rightarrow H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $\varepsilon^s = \{\varepsilon_\alpha^s : H_e \rightarrow H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by the formulars

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\alpha^s(h) &= \mu_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes id_{H_\alpha})\Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha}(h) = 1_{(1,\alpha)}\varepsilon(h1_{(2,e)}), \\ \varepsilon_\alpha^t(h) &= \mu_\alpha(id_{H_\alpha} \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}})\Delta_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}}(h) = \varepsilon(1_{(1,e)}h)1_{(2,\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in H_e$ and $\alpha \in \pi$, where $\varepsilon^t, \varepsilon^s$ are called the π -target and π -source counital maps. Introduce the notations $H^t = \varepsilon^t(H) = \{\varepsilon_\alpha^t(H_e)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $H^s = \varepsilon^s(H) = \{\varepsilon_\alpha^s(H_e)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ for their images.

A weak Hopf π -coalgebra $H = \{H_\alpha, m_\alpha, 1_\alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is called a *weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra* if it is endowed with a family of algebra isomorphisms $\phi = \{\phi_\alpha : H_\beta \rightarrow H_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \pi}$ such that $(\phi_\alpha \otimes \phi_\alpha)\Delta_{\beta,\gamma} = \Delta_{\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1},\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}}\phi_\alpha, \varepsilon\phi_\alpha = \varepsilon$ and $\phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_\alpha\phi_\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$.

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra. Then we have the following properties, we refer to [11] for full detail.

- (W1) $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(1_{\alpha\beta}) \in H_\alpha^s \otimes H_\beta^t$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$;
- (W2) $\varepsilon_\alpha^t(gh) = \varepsilon_\alpha^t(g\varepsilon_\alpha^t(h)), \varepsilon_\alpha^t(\varepsilon_\alpha^t(g)h) = \varepsilon_\alpha^t(g)\varepsilon_\alpha^t(h)$, for all $g, h \in H_e$;
- (W3) $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(H_{\alpha\beta}^t) \subseteq H_\alpha \otimes H_\beta^t, \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(H_{\alpha\beta}^s) \subseteq H_\alpha^s \otimes H_\beta$;
- (W4) $x_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \varepsilon_\beta^t(x_{(2,e)}) = 1_{(1,\alpha)}x \otimes 1_{(2,\beta)}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $x \in H_e$;
- (W5) $\varepsilon_\beta^s(x_{(1,e)}) \otimes x_{(2,\alpha)} = 1_{(1,\beta)} \otimes x1_{(2,\alpha)}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $x \in H_e$;
- (W6) $\varepsilon_\alpha^t(h)\varepsilon_\alpha^s(g) = \varepsilon_\alpha^s(g)\varepsilon_\alpha^t(h)$, for all $g, h \in H_e$;
- (W7) $S_\alpha(xy) = S_\alpha(y)S_\alpha(x)$, for all $\alpha \in \pi$ and $x, y \in H_\alpha$;
- (W8) $S_\alpha(1_\alpha) = 1_{\alpha^{-1}}$, for all $\alpha \in \pi$;
- (W9) $\Delta_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}} \circ S_{\alpha\beta} = T_{H_{\alpha^{-1}},H_{\beta^{-1}}} \circ (S_\alpha \otimes S_\beta) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$;
- (W10) $\varepsilon_\alpha^t \circ S_e = \varepsilon_\alpha^t \circ \varepsilon_e^s = S_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \varepsilon_{\alpha^{-1}}^s$;
- (W11) $x_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \varepsilon_\beta^s(x_{(2,e)}) = x1_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes S_{\beta^{-1}}(1_{(2,\beta^{-1})})$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $x \in H_\alpha$;
- (W12) $\varepsilon_\beta^t(x_{(1,e)}) \otimes x_{(2,\alpha)} = S_{\beta^{-1}}(1_{(1,\beta^{-1})}) \otimes 1_{(2,\alpha)}x$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $x \in H_\alpha$;
- (W13) If H is of finite type, then the antipode S is bijective.

2.4 Weak Left π - H -comodule Algebra.

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra over the field k . A family of k -algebra $A = \{A_\alpha, m_\alpha, 1_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is called a *weak left π - H -comodule algebra*, if there exists a family of maps $\rho^A = \{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^A : A_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow H_\alpha \otimes A_\beta\}$ such that, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$,

- (1) (A, ρ^A) is a left π - H -comodule,
- (2) $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^A(1_{\alpha\beta}) = (\varepsilon_\alpha^t \otimes id_{A_\beta}) \circ \rho_{e,\beta}^A(1_\beta)$,
- (3) $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^A(ab) = \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^A(a)\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^A(b)$, for all $a, b \in A_{\alpha\beta}$.

We use the standard notation $\rho_{\alpha\beta}^A(a) = a_{\langle -1,\alpha \rangle} \otimes a_{\langle 0,\beta \rangle}$.

3. Weak Quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra. A *weak Doi-Hopf π -datum* is a triple (H, A, C) , where A is a weak left π - H -comodule algebra and C a weak right π - H -module coalgebra.

A *weak Doi-Hopf π -modules* M is a right A -module which is also a left π - C -comodule with the coaction structure $\rho^M = \{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^M : M_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C_\alpha \otimes M_\beta\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \pi}$ such that the following compatible condition holds:

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^M(m \cdot a) = m_{\langle -1,\alpha \rangle} \cdot a_{\langle -1,\alpha \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle 0,\beta \rangle} \cdot a_{\langle 0,\beta \rangle},$$

for all $\alpha \in \pi$ and $m \in M_{\alpha\beta}, a \in A_{\alpha\beta}$.

The set of weak Doi-Hopf π -modules together with both a right A -module maps and a left π -C-comodule maps will form a category of weak Doi-Hopf π -modules and will be denoted by ${}^{\pi-C}\mathcal{M}_A$ (called a *weak Doi-Hopf π -modules category*).

Definition 3.1. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A an algebra. The algebra A is called a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra, if A is not only a right weak π - H -comodule algebra $(A, {}^r\rho^A = \{{}^r\rho_\alpha^A\}_{\alpha \in \pi})$, but also left weak π - H -comodule algebra $(A, {}^l\rho^A = \{{}^l\rho_\alpha^A\}_{\alpha \in \pi})$ such that the following condition:

$$a_{<-1,\alpha>} \otimes a_{<0,0>(0,0)} \otimes a_{<0,0>(1,\beta)} = a_{(0,0)<-1,\alpha>} \otimes a_{(0,0)<0,0>} \otimes a_{(1,\beta)} \tag{3. 1}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $a \in A$, where we use the standard notation ${}^r\rho_\beta^A(a) = a_{(0,0)} \otimes a_{(1,\beta)}$

Definition 3.2. Let H be a weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra. A weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules M is both a right A -module and a left H -comodule with a comodule structure $\rho^M = \{\rho_\beta^M : M \rightarrow H_\beta \boxtimes M\}_{\beta \in \pi}$ where $H \boxtimes M = \{h1_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes m \cdot 1_{<0,0>} | h \in H_\beta, m \in M\}$ such that the following compatible condition holds:

$$m_{<-1,\beta>} a_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot a_{<0,0>} = \phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})(m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes (m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{<0,0>}. \tag{3. 2}$$

Lemma 3.3. Let A be a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra. For all $a \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we have

$$\varepsilon_t^\alpha(a_{(1,1)}) \otimes a_{(0,0)} = 1_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes 1_{(0,0)}a, \tag{3. 3}$$

$$\varepsilon_s^\alpha(a_{(1,1)}) \otimes a_{(0,0)} = S_{\alpha^{-1}}(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1})}) \otimes a1_{(0,0)}, \tag{3. 4}$$

$$1_{<-1,\alpha>} \otimes 1_{<0,0>(0,0)} \otimes 1_{<0,0>(1,\beta)} = 1_{<-1,\alpha>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)}1_{<0,0>} \otimes 1_{(1,\beta)}. \tag{3. 5}$$

Now, we can form the category ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ of weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules for a fixed $\alpha \in \pi$ in which the composition of morphism of weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules is the standard composition of the underlying linear maps.

Lemma 3.4. Let M be a left A -module and a left π - H -comodule. Then the compatibility relation (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\rho_\beta^M(m \cdot a) = S_{\beta^{-1}}\phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)})m_{<-1,\beta>}a_{(0,0)<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot a_{(0,0)<0,0>}. \tag{3. 6}$$

Proof. Assume first that Eq.(3.6) holds. Then for $a \in A, m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})(m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes (m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{<0,0>} \\ & \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})S_{\beta^{-1}}\phi_\alpha(a_{(0,0)(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad m_{<-1,\beta>}a_{(0,0)(0,0)<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot a_{(0,0)(0,0)<0,0>} \\ & = \phi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)(2,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})S_{\beta^{-1}}\phi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad m_{<-1,\beta>}a_{(0,0)<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot a_{(0,0)<0,0>} \\ & = S_{\beta^{-1}}(\varepsilon_t^{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(1,1)}))m_{<-1,\beta>}a_{(0,0)<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot a_{(0,0)<0,0>} \\ & \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(1_{(1,\beta^{-1})})m_{<-1,\beta>}(1_{(0,0)}a)_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot (1_{(0,0)}a)_{<0,0>} \\ & = S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(1_{(1,\beta^{-1})})m_{<-1,\beta>}1_{(0,0)<-1,\beta>}a_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}a_{<0,0>} \\ & \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} m_{<-1,\beta>}a_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0,0>} \cdot a_{<0,0>}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if Eq.(3.2) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) m_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \cdot a_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) \phi_{\alpha}(a_{(0,0)(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)}) (m \cdot a_{(0,0)(0,0)})_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes (m \cdot a_{(0,0)(0,0)})_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & = S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)(2, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) \phi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)}) (m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes (m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & = S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (\varepsilon_s^{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(1,1)})) (m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes (m \cdot a_{(0,0)})_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} 1_{(1, \beta)} (m \cdot a 1_{(0,0)})_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes (m \cdot a 1_{(0,0)})_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} (m \cdot a)_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} 1_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes (m \cdot a)_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \cdot 1_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & = (m \cdot a)_{\langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes (m \cdot a)_{\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & = \rho_{\beta}^M(m \cdot a).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

An important object of ${}^H \mathcal{YD}_A^{\alpha}$ is the Verma structure $(A, \cdot, \tilde{\rho})$, where \cdot is the multiplication on A and the left H -coaction $\tilde{\rho}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\rho} : A \rightarrow H_{\beta} \otimes A, \quad \tilde{\rho}(a) = S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes a_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\beta \in \pi$.

Proposition 3.5. Let H be a weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra. Let us fix $\alpha \in \pi$. Then we view now ${}^H \mathcal{YD}_A^{\alpha}$ as the category of weak Doi-Hopf π -modules associated to the weak Doi-Hopf π -datum $(H \otimes H^{op}, A, H)$, where

(1) A is a weak left π - $H \otimes H^{op}$ -comodule algebra via

$$a \mapsto (a_{\langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(a_{\langle 0,0 \rangle (1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)})) \otimes a_{\langle 0,0 \rangle (0,0)},$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\gamma \in \pi$.

(2) H is a weak right π - $H \otimes H^{op}$ -module coalgebra via

$$g \cdot (h \otimes l) = lgh,$$

for all $g, h \in H_{\gamma}$ and $l \in H_{\gamma}^{op}$. Then ${}^H \mathcal{YD}_A^{\alpha} = {}^{\pi-H} \mathcal{M}(H \otimes H^{op})_A$. Note that $H_{\gamma} \boxtimes A \in {}^H \mathcal{YD}_A^{\alpha}$ via the following structures

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)})) h 1_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes a 1_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \cdot b \\
 & = S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) h b_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes a b_{(0) \langle 0,0 \rangle}, \\
 & \rho_{\beta}^{H_{\gamma} \boxtimes A} (S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)})) h 1_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes a 1_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \\
 & = h_{(1, \beta)} \otimes S_{\gamma^{-1} \beta}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \beta \alpha)}) h_{(2, \beta^{-1} \gamma)} 1_{(0,0) \langle -1, \beta^{-1} \gamma \rangle} \otimes a 1_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta, \gamma \in \pi$ and $h \in H_{\gamma}, a, b \in A$.

4. Total Quantum Integrals for Weak Quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules

In the section, total quantum integrals to weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules are introduced. Then we prove the the affineness criterion for weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules.

Definition 4.1. Let H be a weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra. Let us fixed $\alpha \in \pi$. A family of k -linear map $\theta = \{\theta_{\beta} : H_{\beta} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{\beta^{-1}}, A)\}_{\beta \in \pi}$ is called a quantum integral, if

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{(1, \gamma)} \otimes \theta_{\beta}(g_{(2, \beta)})(h) & = S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha}(\theta_{\gamma \beta}(g)(h_{(1, \beta^{-1} \gamma^{-1})})_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) h_{(2, \gamma)} \\
 & (\theta_{\gamma \beta}(g)(h_{(1, \beta^{-1} \gamma^{-1})}))_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes (\theta_{\gamma \beta}(g)(h_{(1, \beta^{-1} \gamma^{-1})}))_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4. 1}$$

for all $g \in H_{\gamma\beta}, h \in H_{\beta^{-1}}$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \pi$. A quantum integral $\theta = \{\theta_\beta : H_\beta \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{\beta^{-1}}, A)\}_{\beta \in \pi}$ is called total, if

$$\theta_\beta(h_{(1,\beta)})(h_{(2,\beta^{-1})}) = \varepsilon(S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)})h1_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle})1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}, \tag{4. 2}$$

for all $h \in H_1$.

Proposition 4.2. Let H be a weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak H -bicomodule algebra. Let us fixed $\alpha \in \pi$. Assume that there exists $\theta = \{\theta_\beta : H_\beta \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{\beta^{-1}}, A)\}_{\beta \in \pi}$ a total quantum integral. Then $\tilde{\rho}^A = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} \tilde{\rho}_\gamma : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} H_\beta \boxtimes A$ splits in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$.

Proof. We can prove that the map

$$\mathfrak{k}_A : \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} H_\beta \boxtimes A \rightarrow A,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)})h1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta \rangle} \otimes a1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\right) \\ &= a_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\theta_\beta(1'_{(1,\beta)}h1_{(1,\beta)})(1'_{(2,\beta^{-1})}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})a_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta^{-1} \rangle}1_{(2,\beta^{-1})}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta, \gamma \in \pi$ and $h \in H_\beta, a \in A$. Then \mathfrak{k}_A is a left π - H -colinear retraction of $\tilde{\rho}$. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta \rangle} \otimes 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\right) = 1_A.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta \rangle} \otimes 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\right) \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\theta_\beta(1'_{(1,\beta)}1_{(1,\beta)})(1'_{(2,\beta^{-1})}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta^{-1} \rangle}1_{(2,\beta^{-1})}) \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\theta_\beta(1'_{(1,\beta)}1_{(1,\beta)})(1'_{(2,\beta^{-1})}1_{(2,\beta^{-1})}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta^{-1} \rangle}) \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\theta_\beta(1_{(1,\beta)})(1_{(2,\beta^{-1})}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta^{-1} \rangle}) \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\theta_1((S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta^{-1} \rangle})1,1)((S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle})2,1) \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\varepsilon(S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1'_{(1,1)}))S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle}1'_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle}1'_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\varepsilon(S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)}1'_{(1,1)}))1_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle}1'_{(0,0)\langle -1 \rangle}1'_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle} \\ &= 1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\varepsilon(S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)}))1_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\varepsilon(S_1^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,1)})1_{(0,0)\langle -1,1 \rangle}) = 1_A.$$

By \mathfrak{k}_A is a π - H -colinear map, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} g_{(1,\gamma)} \otimes \mathfrak{k}(S_{\beta^{-1}\gamma}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\gamma\alpha)})g_{(2,\gamma^{-1}\beta)}1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\gamma^{-1}\beta \rangle} \otimes a1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}) \\ &= S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S^{-1}(1_{(1)})g1_{(0)\langle -1 \rangle} \otimes a1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\right)_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha)}) \\ & \quad \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)})g1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta \rangle} \otimes a1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\right)_{(0,0)\langle -1,\gamma \rangle} \\ & \quad \otimes \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}\phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)})g1_{(0,0)\langle -1,\beta \rangle} \otimes a1_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle}\right)_{(0,0)\langle 0,0 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \pi$ and $g \in H_\beta, a \in A$. We define now

$$\tau_A : \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} H_\beta \boxtimes A \rightarrow A,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) h 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes a 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \right) \\ = & \mathfrak{I}_A (S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) h S_{\beta^{-1}} (a_{(0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H_{\beta}, a \in A$. Then, for $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_A \circ \tilde{\rho})(a) &= \tau_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{(0,0) < 0,0 > (1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. a_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} S_{\beta^{-1}} (a_{(0,0) < 0,0 > (0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) < 0,0 >} \right) \\ \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} & \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) (1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{(0,0) (1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. a_{< -1, \beta >} S_{\beta^{-1}} (a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) (0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) < 0,0 >} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{< 0,0 > (1,1) (1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (a_{< 0,0 > (1,1) (2, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) \right) \\ &\quad a_{< -1, \beta >} S_{\beta^{-1}} (a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) < 0,0 >} \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \epsilon_t^{\beta} (\phi_{\alpha} (a_{< 0,0 > (1,1)}))) a_{< -1, \beta >} S_{\beta^{-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 > (0,0) < 0,0 >} \right) \\ \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} & \mathfrak{I} \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{< -1, \beta >} S_{\beta^{-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. ((1'_{(0,0)} a_{< 0,0 >})_{< -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} (1'_{(0,0)} a_{< 0,0 >})_{< 0,0 >} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{< -1, \beta >} S_{\beta^{-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >} a_{< 0,0 > < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 > < 0,0 >} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{< -1, 1 > (1, \beta)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}} (a_{< -1, 1 > (1, \beta^{-1})}) S_{\beta^{-1}} (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 > < 0,0 >} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) \epsilon_t^{\beta} (a_{< -1, 1 >}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}} (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a_{< 0,0 >} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}} (1_{< -1, \beta^{-1} >}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}} (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1_{< 0,0 >} a \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}} (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. 1_{< -1, \beta^{-1} >} 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1_{< 0,0 >} a \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_A \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}} (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a \right) \\ &= 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \theta_{\beta} (1_{(1, \beta)} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} (1'_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >}) 1_{(1, \beta)}) (1_{(2, \beta^{-1})} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} (1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \beta^{-1} >} 1_{(2, \beta^{-1})} 1'_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} \varepsilon(S^{-1}(1'_{(1,1)})S^{-1}(1_{(1,1)})1_{(0,0)<-1,1>}1'_{(0,0)<-1,1>})1'_{(0,0)<0,0>}a \\
 &= 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} \varepsilon(S^{-1}(1_{(1,1)})1_{(0,0)<-1,1>})a = a,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., λ is still a retraction of $\tilde{\rho}$. Now, for $\beta \in \pi$ and $h \in H_\beta, a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tau\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) h 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes a 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} \cdot b\right) \\
 &= \tau\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) h b_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes a b_{(0,0)<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha((ab)_{(0,0)<0,0>})_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)})\right. \\
 &\quad \left. h b_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} S_{\beta^{-1}}((ab)_{(0,0)<0,0>})_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>} 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}(ab)_{(0,0)<0,0>})_{(0,0)<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{<0,0>(1,1)(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{<0,0>(1,1)(2, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)})\right. \\
 &\quad \left. h b_{<-1, \beta>} S_{\beta^{-1}}(b_{<0,0>(0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} b_{<0,0>(0,0)<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \varepsilon_t^\beta \phi_\alpha(b_{<0,0>(1,1)})) h b_{<-1, \beta>} S_{\beta^{-1}}(b_{<0,0>(0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>})\right. \\
 &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} b_{<0,0>(0,0)<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) h b_{<-1, \beta>}\right. \\
 &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}}((1'_{(0,0)} b_{<0,0>})_{<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} (1'_{(0,0)} b_{<0,0>})_{<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) h b_{<-1, 1>(1, \beta)}\right. \\
 &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}}(b_{<-1, 1>(2, \beta^{-1})}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(1'_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} 1'_{(0,0)<0,0>} b_{<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)}))\right. \\
 &\quad \left. h \varepsilon_t^\beta(b_{<-1, 1>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(1'_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} 1'_{(0,0)<0,0>} b_{<0,0>}\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1'_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)}))\right. \\
 &\quad \left. h S_{\beta^{-1}}(1''_{<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(1'_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} 1'_{(0,0)<0,0>} 1''_{<0,0>} b\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) h S_{\beta^{-1}}(1''_{<-1, \beta^{-1}>})\right. \\
 &\quad \left. S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} 1''_{<0,0>} b\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha)})) h S_{\beta^{-1}}(a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta^{-1}>}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} a_{(0,0)<0,0>} b\right) \\
 &= \mathfrak{k}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) h 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes a 1_{(0,0)<0,0>} b\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

So we finish the proof. ■

We can define now the coinvariants of A as

$$\begin{aligned}
 B = A^{coH} &= \{a \in A \mid S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes a_{(0,0)<0,0>} \\
 &= S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) 1_{(0,0)<-1, \beta>} \otimes a 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

then B is a subalgebra of A and will be called the subalgebra of quantum coinvariants.

Now, we shall construct functors connecting ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ and \mathcal{M}_B . First, if $M \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$, then

$$M^{coH} = \{m \in M \mid m_{<-1,\beta>} \otimes m_{<0>} = S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)}) 1_{(0,0)<-1,\beta>} \otimes m \cdot 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}\}$$

is the right B -module of the coinvariants of M . Furthermore, we have a covariant functor

$$(-)^{coH} : {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B.$$

Now, for $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$, $N \otimes_B A \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via the structures

$$(n \otimes_B a) \cdot a' = n \otimes_B aa',$$

$$\rho_\beta^{N \otimes_B A}(n \otimes_B a) = S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha)}) a_{(0,0)<-1,\beta>} \otimes n \otimes_B a_{(0,0)<0,0>},$$

for all $n \in N, a, a' \in A$ and $\beta \in \pi$. In this way, we have constructed a covariant functor called the induction functor

$$- \otimes_B A : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha.$$

We shall prove now that the above functors are an adjoint pair.

Proposition 4.3. Let H be a weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra. Let fixed $\alpha \in \pi$. Then the induction functor $- \otimes_B A : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ is a left adjoint of the coinvariant functor $(-)^{coH} : {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B$.

Proof. The unit and the counit of the adjointness are given by

$$\eta_N : N \rightarrow (N \otimes_B A)^{coH}, \quad \eta_N(n) = n \otimes_B 1_A,$$

for all $N \in \mathcal{M}_B, n \in N$, and

$$\psi_M : M^{coH} \otimes_B A \rightarrow M, \quad \beta_M(m \otimes_B a) = ma,$$

for all $M \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha, m \in M^{coH}$, and $a \in A$. So the proof is finished. ■

Lemma 4.4. Let A be a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra. Then

$$(H \boxtimes A)^{coH} \cong A.$$

Proof. We can construct the desired map as follows

$$\delta : A \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \right)^{coH}, \quad \delta(a) = S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha)}) 1_{(0,0)<-1,\gamma>} \otimes a 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}.$$

Notice that $\delta(a) \in \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \right)^{coH}$, we check it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\beta^{\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A}(\delta(a)) \\ &= \rho_\beta^{\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A}(S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha)}) 1_{(0,0)<-1,\gamma>} \otimes a 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}) \\ &= 1_{(1,\beta)} \otimes S_{\gamma^{-1}\beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1,\alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha)}) 1_{(2,\beta^{-1}\gamma)} 1_{(0,0)<-1,\beta^{-1}\gamma>} \otimes a 1_{(0,0)<0,0>}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{-1}(1'_{(1)}) 1'_{(0)<-1>} \otimes (S^{-1}(1_{(1)}) 1_{(0)<-1>} \otimes a 1_{(0)<0>}) \cdot 1_{(0)<0>} \\ &= S^{-1}(1'_{(1)}) 1'_{(0)<-1>} \otimes S^{-1}(1'_{(0)<0>(1)}) 1'_{(0)<0>(0)<-1>} \otimes a 1'_{(0)<0>(0)<0>} \\ &= S^{-1}(1'_2) 1_1 \otimes S^{-1}(1'_1 1_{<0>(1)}) 1_2 1_{<-1>} \otimes a 1_{<0>(0)} \\ &= 1_1 \otimes S^{-1}(1_{<0>(1)}) 1_2 1_{<-1>} \otimes a 1_{<0>(0)} \\ &= \rho_{H \boxtimes A}(\delta(a)). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. ■

From the lemma 4.4, the adjunction map $\psi_{H\boxtimes A}$ can be viewed as a map in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via

$$\psi_{\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A} : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A,$$

$$\psi(a \otimes_B b) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) b_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes ab_{(0,0) < 0,0 >},$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Here $A \otimes_B A \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via the structures

$$(a \otimes_B b) \cdot a' = a \otimes_B ba',$$

$$\rho_\gamma^{A \otimes_B A}(a \otimes_B b) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) 1_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a \otimes_B 1_{(0,0) < 0,0 >},$$

for all $a, b, a' \in A$ and $\gamma \in \pi$.

We shall prove now the main result of this section, that is, the affineness criterion for weak quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd π -modules.

Proposition 4.5. Let H be a weak crossed Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak π - H -bicomodule algebra. Let fixed $\alpha \in \pi$, and $B = A^{coH}$. Assume that there exists a total quantum integral $\theta = \{\theta_\beta : H_\beta \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{\beta^{-1}}, A)\}_{\beta \in \pi}$, and the canonical map

$$\psi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} H_\beta \boxtimes A,$$

$$\psi(a \otimes_B b) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) b_{(0,0) < -1, \beta >} \otimes ab_{(0,0) < 0,0 >}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ is surjective. Then the induction functor $- \otimes_B A : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. In Proposition 4.3 we have shown that the adjunction map $\eta_N : N \rightarrow (N \otimes_B A)^{coH}$ is an isomorphism for all $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$. It remains to prove that the other adjunction map, namely $\psi_M : M^{coH} \otimes_B A \rightarrow M$ is also an isomorphism for all $M \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$.

Let V be a k -module. Then $A \otimes V \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via the structures induced by A , i.e.,

$$(a \otimes v) \cdot b = ab \otimes v,$$

$$\rho_\gamma^{A \otimes V}(a \otimes v) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \otimes v,$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $v \in V$. In particular, for $V = A, A \otimes A \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via

$$(a \otimes a') \cdot b = ab \otimes v, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\rho_\gamma^{A \otimes A}(a \otimes a') = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \otimes a', \tag{4.4}$$

for all $a, b, a' \in A$ and $\gamma \in \pi$. We will prove first that the adjunction map $\beta_{A \otimes V} : (A \otimes V)^{coH} \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes V$ is an isomorphism for any k -module V .

First, $V \otimes B$ and $B \otimes V \in \mathcal{M}_B$ via the usual B -actions $(v \otimes a) \cdot b = v \otimes ab$, and $a' \cdot (b' \otimes v') = a'b' \otimes v'$ for all $a, b, a', b' \in B$ and $v, v' \in V$. The flip map $\tau : V \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes V, \tau(v \otimes b) = b \otimes v$, for all $b \in B$ and $v \in V$, is an isomorphism in \mathcal{M}_B . On the other hand $V \otimes A \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via the structures induced by A , i.e.

$$(v \otimes a) \cdot b = v \otimes ab,$$

$$\rho_\gamma^{V \otimes A}(v \otimes a) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >},$$

It is easy to see that the flip map $\tau : A \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes A, \tau(a \otimes v) = v \otimes a$ is an isomorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$.

Applying Proposition 3.5 for $N = V \otimes B \cong B \otimes V$, we obtain the following isomorphisms in \mathcal{M}_B :

$$B \otimes V \cong V \otimes B \cong (V \otimes B \otimes_B A)^{coH} \cong (V \otimes A)^{coH} \cong (A \otimes V)^{coH}.$$

Hence, $(A \otimes V)^{coH} \otimes_B A \cong A \otimes V$.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} : A \otimes_B A &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A, \\ \tilde{\psi}(a \otimes_B b) &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) b_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes ab_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. As ψ is surjective, $\tilde{\psi}$ is surjective, because $\tilde{\psi} = \psi can$, where $can : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$ is the canonical surjection.

Let us define now

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : A \otimes A &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A, \\ \xi(a \otimes b) &= (\tilde{\psi} \circ \tau)(a \otimes b) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes ba_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. The map ξ is surjective, as $\tilde{\psi}$ and τ are. We will prove that ξ is a morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$, where $A \otimes A$ and $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ are quantum Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi((a \otimes b)c) &= \xi(ac \otimes b) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(c_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} c_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes ba_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} c_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0) \langle -1 \rangle} \otimes ba_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \right) c \\ &= \xi(a \otimes b)c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_\beta \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \xi(a \otimes b) \\ &= \rho_\beta \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes ba_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \sigma)(2, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle (1, \beta)} \otimes S_{\gamma^{-1} \beta}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \beta \alpha)}) \\ &\hspace{15em} a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle (2, \beta^{-1} \gamma)} \otimes ba_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \\ &= (id \otimes \xi)(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \beta \rangle} \otimes ba_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \otimes b) \\ &= (id \otimes \xi) \rho_\beta^{A \otimes A}(a \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, ξ is a surjective morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$. $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ is projective as a right A -module, where $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ is a right A -module in the usual way, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h \boxtimes a \right) b &= \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) h a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes a 1_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \right) b \\ &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(1_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) h a_{(0,0) \langle -1, \gamma \rangle} \otimes ab 1_{(0,0) \langle 0,0 \rangle} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h \boxtimes ab, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$. On the other hand, the map

$$u : \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \rightarrow A \boxtimes \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma,$$

$$u\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h \otimes a\right) = S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >}$$

is a splitting surjection of right A -module, where the first $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ has the usual right A -module structure and the second $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ has the right A -module given in Eq.(3.9). The right inverse of u is given by

$$v : \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \rightarrow A \boxtimes \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma,$$

$$v\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h \otimes a\right) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} (S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma \alpha)})) h S_{\gamma^{-1}}(a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma^{-1} >}) \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >}$$

Hence, we can view the second $\bigoplus_{\gamma} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ as a right A -module direct summand of the first $\bigoplus_{\gamma} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$. So we obtain that $\bigoplus_{\gamma} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$, with the right A -module structure given in Eq.(4.3), is still projective as a right A -module. It follows that there exists $\zeta : \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ such that $\xi \zeta = id_{\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A}$ since $A \otimes A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A$ is surjective. Hence, ξ splits in the category of right A -modules. In particular ξ is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A$.

Let now $M \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$. Then $A \otimes A \otimes M \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ via the structures arising from $A \otimes A$, that is,

$$(a \otimes b \otimes m) \cdot c = ac \otimes b \otimes m; \tag{4.5}$$

$$\rho_\gamma^{A \otimes A \otimes M}(a \otimes b \otimes m) = S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \otimes b \otimes m \tag{4.6}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$ and $m \in M$. On the other hand, $H \boxtimes A \otimes M \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}_A$ via the structures arising from $H \boxtimes A$, that is,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h \boxtimes a \otimes m\right) \cdot b = S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \alpha)}) h b_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma >} \otimes a b_{(0,0) < 0,0 >} \otimes m; \tag{4.7}$$

$$\rho_\beta^{\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \otimes M}(h \boxtimes a \otimes m) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h_{(1, \beta)} \otimes h_{(2, \beta^{-1} \gamma)} \otimes a \otimes m, \tag{4.8}$$

for all $a, b \in A, h \in H_\gamma, m \in M$ and $\beta \in \pi$. We obtain that

$$\xi \otimes id_M : A \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \otimes M$$

is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$.

Applying ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha = \pi^{-H} \mathcal{M}(H \otimes H^{op})_A$ we obtain that the A - A map

$$f : \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} H_\gamma \boxtimes A \otimes M \rightarrow M$$

$$f\left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \pi} h \boxtimes a \otimes m\right) = m_{< 0,0 >} \theta_\gamma(S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma \alpha)})) h S_{\gamma^{-1}}(a_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma^{-1} >}) (m_{< -1, \gamma^{-1} >} a_{(0,0)})$$

is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$. Hence, the composition

$$g = f \circ (\xi \otimes id_M) : A \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow M,$$

$$g(a \otimes b \otimes m) = m_{< 0,0 >} \theta_\gamma(S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \phi_\alpha(b_{(1, \alpha^{-1} \gamma \alpha)})) h S_{\gamma^{-1}}(b_{(0,0) < -1, \gamma^{-1} >}) (m_{< -1, \gamma^{-1} >} b_{(0,0)}) a$$

is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$. We note that the structure of $A \otimes A \otimes M$ as an object in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$ is of the form $A \otimes V$, for the k -module $V = A \otimes M$.

To conclude, we have constructed a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$

$$A \otimes A \otimes M = M_1 \xrightarrow{g} M \longrightarrow 0$$

such that the adjunction map ψ_{M_1} for M_1 is bijective. As g is k -split and there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$, we obtain that g also splits in ${}^H\mathcal{M}$. In particular, the sequence

$$M_1^{\text{co}H} \xrightarrow{g^{\text{co}H}} M^{\text{co}H} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Continuing the resolution with $\text{Ker}(g)$ instead of M , we obtain an exact sequence in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_A^\alpha$

$$M_2 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

which splits in ${}^H\mathcal{M}$ and the adjunction maps for M_1 and M_2 are bijective. Using the Five lemma we obtain that the adjunction map for M is bijective. ■

References

- [1] G. Böhm, Doi-Hopf modules over weak Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000) 4687–4698.
- [2] G. Böhm, F. Nill, K. Szlachányi, Weak Hopf algebras (I): Integral theory and C^* -structure, *J. Algebra* 221 (1999) 385–438.
- [3] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot, Galois theory for weak Hopf algebras, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 52 (2007) 151–176.
- [4] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, B. Ion, S. L. Zhu, Separable functors for the category of Doi-Hopf Modules, applications, *Adv. Math.* 145 (1999) 239–290.
- [5] Q. G. Chen, S. H. Wang, Quantum integrals and the affineness criterion for quantum Yetter-Drinfeld π -modules, *Filomat* 26 (2012) 101–118.
- [6] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra, *Comm. Algebra* 13 (1985) 2137–2159.
- [7] R. G. Larson, M. E. Sweedler, An associative orthogonal bilinear form for Hopf algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* 91 (1969) 75–94
- [8] C. Menini, G. Militaru, Integrals, quantum Galois extensions and the affineness criterion for quantum Yetter-Drinfeld modules, *J. Algebra* 247 (2002) 467–508.
- [9] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [10] V. Turaev, Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories, preprint GT/0005291.
- [11] A. Van Daele, S. H. Wang, New braided crossed categories and Drinfeld quantum double for weak Hopf group coalgebras, *Comm. Algebra* 36 (2008) 2341–2386.