Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation 9 (1) (2017), 61–68



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/faac

Quasinormalty and subscalarity of class *p*-*wA*(*s*, *t*) **operators**

K. Tanahashi^a, T. Prasad^b, A. Uchiyama^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, Sendai, 981-8558, Japan ^bThe Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Chennai-600 113, India ^cDepartment of Mathematical Science, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Yamagata, 990-8560, Japan

Abstract. Let *T* be a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition of *T*. An operator *T* is called a class p-wA(s, t) operator if $(|T^*|^t|T|^{2s}|T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} \ge |T^*|^{2tp}$ and $(|T|^s|T^*|^{2t}|T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}} \le |T|^{2sp}$ where 0 < s, t and 0 . We investigate quasinormality and subscalarity of class <math>p-wA(s, t) operators.

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Takayuki Furuta with deep gratitude.

1. Introduction

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Aluthge [1] introduced *p*-hyponormal operator *T* which is defined as $(T^*T)^p \ge (TT^*)^p$ where 0 , and proved interesting properties of*p*-hyponormal operators by using Furuta's inequality [8]. If <math>p = 1, *T* is called hyponormal. Hence *p*-hyponormality is a generalization of hyponormality. It is known that *p*-hyponormal operators have many interesting properties as hyponormal operators, for example, Putnam's inequality, Fuglede-Putnam type theorem, Bishop's property (β), Weyl's theorem and polaroid property. After this discovery, many authors are investigating new generalizations of hyponormal operator.

Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By taking U|T|x = Tx for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and Ux = 0 for $x \in \ker |T|$, *T* has a unique polar decomposition T = U|T| with condition ker $U = \ker |T|$. We say that T = U|T| is the polar decomposition of *T* in this paper.

The authors [14] introduced class p-wA(s, t) operator as follows:

Definition 1.1. *An operator T is called a class p*-*wA*(*s*, *t*) *operator if*

 $(|T^*|^t|T|^{2s}|T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2tp}$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B20

Keywords. Class *A* operator; Class *p*-*wA*(*s*, *t*) operator; quasinormal; subscalar.

Received: 17 Marh 2017; 7 April 2017

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: tanahasi@tohoku-mpu.ac.jp (K. Tanahashi), prasadvalapil@gmail.com (T. Prasad), uchiyama@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp (A. Uchiyama)

and

$$|T|^{2sp} \ge (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}}$$

where 0 < s*, t and* 0*.*

It is known that *p*-hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators are class 1-wA(s,t) operators for any 0 < s, t. Class 1-wA(1,1) is called class *A* and class $1-wA(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is called *w*-hyponormal [7, 9, 10, 15]. Hence the class of *p*-wA(*s*, *t*) operators is a generalization of the class of *A* and *w*-hyponormal operators.

C. Yang and J. Yuan [16–18] studied a class of wF(p, r, q) operators T, i.e.,

$$\left(|T^*||T|^{2p}|T^*|^r\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ge |T^*|^{\frac{2(p+r)}{q}}$$

and

$$|T|^{2(p+r)(1-\frac{1}{q})} \ge \left(|T|^p |T^*|^{2r} |T|^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}$$

where $0 < p, 0 < r, 1 \le q$. If we take small p_1 such that $0 < p_1 \le \frac{p+r}{qr}$ and $p_1 \le \frac{(p+r)(q-1)}{pq}$, then *T* is a class p_1 -*w*A(p, r) operator. Hence the class of p_1 -*w*A(p, r) operators is a generalization of the class of *w*F(p, r, q) operators.

Aluthge transformation [1] is a good tool in operator theory. I. B. Jung, E. Ko and C. Pearcy [11] studied spectral properties of Aluthge transformation.

Definition 1.2. Let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition of $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then generalized Aluthge transformation is defined by

$$T(s,t) = |T|^s U|T|$$

where 0 < s, t.

2. Main Results

It is known that an operator *T* is a class p-wA(s, t) operator if and only if $|T(s, t)|_{s+t}^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2tp}$ and $|T|^{2sp} \ge |T(s, t)^*|_{s+t}^{\frac{2sp}{s+t}}$ by [14]. As a continuation of [14], we investigate quasinormality and subscalarity of class p-wA(s, t) operators. To prove main results, we need the following Lemma. The proof is essentially due to C. Yang and J. Yuan (Proposition 3.4 of [18]). For completeness, we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [18] If *T* is a class *p*-w*A*(*s*, *t*) operator and $0 < s \le s_1, 0 < t \le t_1, 0 < p_1 \le p \le 1$, then *T* is a class p_1 -w*A*(*s*₁, *t*₁) operator.

Proof. Let *T* be class p-wA(s, t). Then

$$(|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{\frac{rp}{s+t}} \ge |T^*|^{2tp} \tag{1}$$

and

$$|T|^{2sp} \ge (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}}.$$
(2)

We prove that *T* is a *p*-*wA*(*s*₁, *t*₁) operator. Then *T* is a *p*₁-*wA*(*s*₁, *t*₁) operator by Lowner-Heinz's inequality.

Let $A_1 = (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}}$ and $B_1 = |T^*|^{2tp}$. Since (1) implies $A_1 \ge B_1$, we have

$$\left(B_1^{\frac{r_2}{2}}A_1^{p_2}B_1^{\frac{r_2}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1+r_2}{p_2+r_2}} \ge B_1^{1+r_2}$$

for any $r_2 > 0$ and $p_2 \ge 1$ by Furuta's inequality [8]. Let

$$\beta \ge t, p_2 = \frac{s+t}{tp} \ge 1, r_2 = \frac{\beta-t}{tp} \ge 0.$$

Then

$$\left(|T^*|^{\beta}|T|^{2s}|T^*|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{tp+\beta-t}{s+t_1}} \ge |T^*|^{2tp+2\beta-2t}.$$

Hence we have

$$\left(|T^*|^{\beta}|T|^{2s}|T^*|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{w}{s+\beta}} \ge |T^*|^{2w}$$

for any $0 < w \le tp + \beta - t$. Let

$$f_s(\beta) = \left(|T|^s |T^*|^{2\beta} |T|^s\right)^{\frac{s}{s+\beta}}$$

for $\beta \ge t$. Then

$$\begin{split} f_{s}(\beta) &= \left\{ \left(|T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{2\beta}|T|^{s} \right)^{\frac{s+\beta+w}{s+\beta}} \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &= \left\{ |T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{\beta} \left(|T^{*}|^{\beta}|T|^{2s}|T^{*}|^{\beta} \right)^{\frac{w}{s+\beta}} |T^{*}|^{\beta}|T|^{s} \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &\geq \left\{ |T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{\beta}|T^{*}|^{2w}|T^{*}|^{\beta}|T|^{s} \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &= \left\{ |T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{2(\beta+w)}|T|^{s} \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &= f_{s}(\beta+w). \end{split}$$

Hence $f_s(\beta)$ is decreasing for $\beta \ge t$. Then, by (2),

$$|T|^{2sp} \ge (|T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{2t}|T|^{s})^{\frac{sp}{s+t}}$$

= { $f_{s}(t)$ }^p
 \ge { $f_{s}(t_{1})$ }^p = ($|T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{2t_{1}}|T|^{s}$) ^{$\frac{sp}{s+t_{1}}$} .
Let $A_{2} = |T|^{2sp}$ and $B_{2} = (|T|^{s}|T^{*}|^{2t_{1}}|T|^{s})^{\frac{sp}{s+t_{1}}}$. Then

$$A_2^{1+r_3} \ge \left(A_2^{\frac{r_3}{2}} B_2^{p_3} A_2^{\frac{r_3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1+r_3}{p_3+r_3}}$$

1...

for any $r_3 \ge 0$ and $p_3 \ge 1$ by Furuta's inequality [8]. Let

$$p_3 = \frac{s+t_1}{sp} \ge 1, r_3 = \frac{s_1-s}{sp} \ge 0.$$

Then

$$|T|^{2sp+2s_1-2s} \ge \left(|T|^{s_1}|T^*|^{2t_1}|T|^{s_1}\right)^{\frac{sp+s_1-s}{s_1+t_1}}.$$

Since

$$sp + s_1 - s - s_1p = (s_1 - s)(1 - p) \ge 0,$$

we have

$$|T|^{2s_1p} \ge \left(|T|^{s_1}|T^*|^{2t_1}|T|^{s_1}\right)^{\frac{s_1p}{s_1+t_1}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(|T^*|^{t_1}|T|^{2s_1}|T^*|^{t_1})^{\frac{t_1p}{s_1+t_1}} \ge |T^*|^{2t_1p}.$$

Hence *T* is a p- $wA(s_1, t_1)$ operator.

At first, we investigate quasinormality of class p-wA(s, t) operator. Let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition. We say T is quasinormal if U|T| = |T|U. It is known that if T is a class A(s, t) operator with 0 < s, t and T(s, t) is quasinormal, then T is also quasinormal by [13]. We extend this result as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Let T = U|T| be a class p-wA(s,t) operator with 0 < s,t and $0 . If <math>T(s,t) = |T|^s U|T|^t$ is quasinormal, then T is also quasinormal. Hence T coincides with its Aluthge transform $T(s,t) = |T|^s U|T|^t$ if s + t = 1.

Proof. Since *T* is a class *p*-*wA*(*s*, *t*) operator,

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2rp} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}}$$

for all $r \in (0, \min\{s, t\}]$. Then Douglas's theorem [5] implies that

$$\operatorname{ran}|T(s,t)|^{\frac{rp}{s+t}} \supset \operatorname{ran}|T|^{rp} \supset \operatorname{ran}|T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{rp}{s+t}}.$$

Hence

$$[\operatorname{ran} |T(s,t)|] \supset [\operatorname{ran} |T|] \supset [\operatorname{ran} |T(s,t)^*|] = [\operatorname{ran} T(s,t)]$$

where $[\mathcal{M}]$ denotes the norm closure of $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Since ker $|T| \subset \text{ker}(|T|^s U|T|^t) = \text{ker } T(s, t)$, we have

 $[\operatorname{ran} |T|] = (\ker |T|)^{\perp} \supset (\ker T(s, t))^{\perp}$ $= (\ker |T(s, t)|)^{\perp} = [\operatorname{ran} |T(s, t)|].$

Hence

$$[\operatorname{ran} |T(s,t)|] = [\operatorname{ran} |T|].$$

Let T(s, t) = W|T(s, t)| be the polar decomposition of T(s, t). Then

$$E := W^*W = U^*U$$

= the orthogonal projection onto [ran |T|]

 \geq the orthogonal projection onto $[\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)] = WW^* =: F.$

Put

$$|T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{1}{s+t}} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathcal{H} = [\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)] \oplus \ker T(s, t)^*$. Then *X* is injective and has a dense range. Since $W \subset [\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)]$, we have

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since T(s, t) is quasinormal, W commutes with |T(s, t)| and

$$\begin{aligned} |T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} &= W^* W |T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} = W^* |T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} W \\ &\geq W^* |T|^{2rp} W \ge W^* |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} W = |T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} &= W^* |T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} W \\ &= W^* |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} W = W^* |T|^{2rp} W \end{aligned}$$

(3)

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^{2rp} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} = W|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} W^*$$

$$= WW^*|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} WW^* = WW^*|T|^{2rp} WW^*.$$
(4)

Since $WW^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, (4) implies that $|T(s, t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}}$ and $|T|^{2rp}$ are of the forms

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2rp}{s+t}} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{2rp} & 0\\ 0 & Y^{2rp} \end{pmatrix} \ge |T|^{2rp} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{2rp} & 0\\ 0 & Z^{2rp} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where $Y, Z \ge 0$. Since X is injective and has a dense range and [ran |T(s, t)|] = [ran |T|], we have

 $[\operatorname{ran} Y] = [\operatorname{ran} Z] = [\operatorname{ran} |T|] \ominus [\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)] = \ker T(s, t)^* \ominus \ker T.$

Since *W* commutes with |T(s, t)| and $|T(s, t)|^{\frac{1}{s+t}}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1 X & W_2 Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X W_1 & X W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So $W_1X = XW_1$ and $W_2Y = XW_2$, and hence $[\operatorname{ran} W_1]$ and $[\operatorname{ran} W_2]$ are reducing subspaces of *X*. Since $W^*W|T(s,t)| = |T(s,t)|$, we have $W^*W|T(s,t)|^{\frac{1}{s+t}} = |T(s,t)|^{\frac{1}{s+t}}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1^* W_1 X & W_1^* W_2 Y \\ W_2^* W_1 X & W_2^* W_2 Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence $W_1^* W_1 = 1$, $W_2^* W_2 Y = Y$ and

$$X^{k} = W_{1}^{*}W_{1}X^{k} = W_{1}^{*}X^{k}W_{1}$$
$$Y^{k} = W_{2}^{*}W_{2}Y^{k} = W_{2}^{*}X^{k}W_{2}$$

for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Put $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $T(s, t) = |T|^s U|T|^t = W|T(s, t)|$ implies $\begin{pmatrix} X^s & 0 \\ 0 & Z^s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^t & 0 \\ 0 & Z^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^{s+t} & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{s+t} \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^s U_{11} X^t & X_s U_{12} Z^t \\ Z^s U_{21} X^t & Z_s U_{22} Z^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 X^{s+t} & W_2 Y^{s+t} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

 $X^{s}U_{11}X^{t} = W_{1}X^{s+t} = X^{s}W_{1}X^{t},$ $X^{s}U_{12}Z^{t} = W_{2}Y^{s+t} = X^{s+t}W_{2}$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X^{s}(U_{11} - W_{1})X^{t} &= 0, \\ X^{s}(U_{12}Z^{t} - X^{t}W_{2}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since *X* is injective and has a dense range, we have $U_{11} = W_1$ and $U_{12}Z^t = X^tW_2$. Hence $U_{11}^*U_{11} = W_1^*W_1 = 1$. Since U^*U is the orthogonal projection onto $[ran |T|] \supset [ran T(s, t)]$ and

$$U^{*}U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + U_{21}^{*}U_{21} & U_{11}^{*}U_{12} + U_{21}^{*}U_{22} \\ U_{12}^{*}U_{11} + U_{22}^{*}U_{21} & U_{12}^{*}U_{12} + U_{22}^{*}U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \le \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathcal{H} = [\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)] \oplus \ker T(s, t)^*$, we have $U_{21} = 0$, $U_{12}^* U_{11} = 0$ and

$$U^*U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_{12}^*U_{12} + U_{22}^*U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \le \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $U_{12}Z^t = X^t W_2$, we have

$$Z^{2t} \ge Z^t U_{12}^* U_{12} Z^t = W_2^* X^{2t} W_2 = Y^{2t}$$

Since $0 < \frac{rp}{t} \le 1$, we have

$$Z^{2rp} \ge (Z^{t}U_{12}^{*}U_{12}Z^{t})^{\frac{rp}{t}}$$
$$= \left(W_{2}^{*}X^{2t}W_{2}\right)^{\frac{rp}{t}} = Y^{2rp} \ge Z^{2rp}$$

by Lowner-Heinz's inequality and (5). Hence

$$(Z^{t}U_{12}^{*}U_{12}Z^{t})^{\frac{rp}{t}} = Z^{2rp} = Y^{2rp},$$

so Z = Y and

$$|T(s,t)| = |T|^{s+t}.$$

Since

$$Z^{2t} = Z^{t} U_{12}^{*} U_{12} Z^{t} \le Z^{t} U_{12}^{*} U_{12} Z^{t} + Z^{t} U_{22}^{*} U_{22} Z^{t}$$
$$= Z^{t} \left(U_{12}^{*} U_{12} + U_{22}^{*} U_{22} \right) Z^{t} \le Z^{2t},$$

we have $Z^t U_{22}^* U_{22} Z^t = 0$ and $Z^t U_{22}^* = 0$. This implies that $[\operatorname{ran} U_{22}^*] \subset \ker Z$. On the other hand $U^* = U^* U U^*$ implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{11}^* & 0\\ U_{12}^* & U_{22}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & U_{12}^* U_{12} + U_{22}^* U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{11}^* & 0\\ U_{12}^* & U_{22}^* \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_{11}^* & 0\\ (U_{12}^* U_{12} + U_{22}^* U_{22}) U_{12}^* & (U_{12}^* U_{12} + U_{22}^* U_{22}) U_{22}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $U_{22}^* = (U_{12}^*U_{12} + U_{22}^*U_{22})U_{22}^*$ and

$$ran U_{22}^* \subset [ran (U_{12}^* U_{12} + U_{22}^* U_{22})] = [ran U^* U] ⊖ [ran T(s, t)] = [ran |T|] ⊖ [ran T(s, t)] = [ran Z].$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{ran} U_{22}^* \subset \ker Z \cap [\operatorname{ran} Z] = \{0\}$$

Hence
$$U_{22} = 0$$
. Then $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & U_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and
ran $U \subset [\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)] \subset [\operatorname{ran} |T|] = \operatorname{ran} E$.

Hence EU = U. Since *W* commutes with $|T(s, t)| = |T|^{s+t}$ and |T|, we have

$$|T|^{s}(W - U)|T|^{t} = W|T|^{s+t} - |T|^{s}U|T|^{t} = W|T(s,t)| - T(s,t) = 0.$$

Hence E(W - U)E = EWE - EUE = 0. Since $E = U^*U = W^*W$ and

$$[\operatorname{ran} W] \subset [\operatorname{ran} T(s, t)] \subset [\operatorname{ran} |T|] = \operatorname{ran} E_{t}$$

we have EW = W. Then

 $U = UU^*U = UE = EUE$ $= EWE = WE = WW^*W = W.$

Thus U = W. Since W commutes with |T(s, t)|, we have U commutes with |T|. Therfore T is quasinormal.

Corollary 2.3. Let T = U|T| be a class p-wA(s,t) operator with 0 < s,t and $0 . If <math>T(s,t) = |T|^s U|T|^t$ is normal, then T is also normal.

Proof. T is quasinormal by the above theorem. Hence $T(s, t) = U|T|^{s+t}$ and $T(s, t)^* = |T|^{s+t}U^*$. Thus

$$|T|^{2(s+t)} = |T(s,t)|^2 = |T(s,t)^*|^2 = |T^*|^{2(s+t)}.$$

This implies that $|T| = |T^*|$ and therefore *T* is normal. \Box

Next, we investigate subscalarity of class p-wA(s, t) operator. Let X be a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open subset. Let $O(\mathcal{U}, X)$ denote the Fréchet space of all analytic X-valued functions on \mathcal{U} with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathcal{U} . Also, Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}, X)$ denote the Fréchet space of all infinitely differentiable X-valued functions on \mathcal{U} with the topology of uniform convergence of all derivatives on compact subsets of \mathcal{U} . We say that T satisfy Bishop's property (β) if

$$(T-z)f_n(z) \to 0$$
 in $O(\mathcal{U}, X) \Longrightarrow f_n(z) \to 0$ in $O(\mathcal{U}, X)$

for every open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $f_n(z) \in O(\mathcal{U}, X)$. E. Albrecht and J. Eschmeier [2] proved that $T \in B(X)$ satisfies Beshop's property (β) if and only if *T* is subdecomposable, i.e., *T* is a restriction of a decomposable operator.

We say that *T* satisfy Eschmeier-Putinar-Bishop's property $(\beta)_{\epsilon}$ if

$$(T-z)f_n(z) \to 0$$
 in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}, X) \Longrightarrow f_n(z) \to 0$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}, X)$

for every open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $f_n(z) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}, X)$. J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar [6] proved that $T \in B(X)$ satisfies Eschmeier-Putinar-Bishop's property $(\beta)_{\epsilon}$ if and only if T is subscalar, i.e., T is a restriction of a scalar operator.

Theorem 2.4. If T is p-wA(s,t) with $0 < s + t \le 1$ and $0 , then T satisfies Bishop's property (<math>\beta$) and Eschmeier-Putinar-Bishop's property (β) $_{\epsilon}$. Hence T is subscalar.

Proof. We may assume s + t = 1 by Lema 2.1. Then T(s, t) is $\frac{\min(sp,tp)}{2}$ -hyponormal by [14]. Hence T(s, t) satisfies Bishop's property (β) and Eschmeier-Putinar-Bishop's property (β)_{ε} by [4, 12]. Then *T* satisfies Bishop's property (β) and Eschmeier-Putinar-Bishop's property (β)_{ε} by Theorem 2.1 of [3]. \Box

References

- [1] A. Aluthge, On p-hyponormal operators for 0 , Integral Equations Operator Theory**13**(1990) 307 315.
- [2] E. Albrecht and J. Eschmeier, Analytic functional model and local spectral theory, Proc. London Math. Soc. 75 (1997) 323–348.
- [3] C. Benhida and E. H. Zerouali, *Local spectral theory of linear operators RS and SR*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **54** (2006) 1–8.
- [4] L. Chen, R. Yingbin, and Y. Zikun, p-Hyponormal operators are subscalar, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003) 2753–2759.
- [5] R. G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 413–415.
- [6] J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar, Bishop's condition (β) and rich extensions of linear operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 37 (1988) 325–348.
- [7] M. Fujii, D. Jung, S. H. Lee., M. Y. Lee., and R. Nakamoto, Some classes of operators related to paranormal and log hyponormal operators, Math. Japon. 51 (2000) 395–402.
- [8] T. Furuta, $A \ge B \ge O$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ge B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}}$ for $r \ge 0$, $p \ge 0$, $q \ge 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \ge (p + 2r)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987) 85–88.
- [9] M. Ito, Some classes of operators with generalised Aluthege transformations, SUT J. Math. 35 (1999) 149–165.
- [10] M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, *Relations between two inequalities* $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^{p}B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge B^{r}$ and $A^{p} \ge (A^{\frac{p}{2}}B^{r}A^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$ and their applications, Integral Equations Operator Theory 44 (2002) 442–450.
- [11] I. B. Jung, E. Ko and C. Pearcy, Aluthege transforms of operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 37 (2000) 437-448.
- [12] Eungil Ko, *w-Hyponormal operators have scalar extensions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **53** (2005) 363–372.
- [13] S. M. Patel, K. Tanahashi, A. Uchiyama and M. Yanagida, Quasinormality and Fuglede-Putnam theorem for class A(s, t) operators, Nihonkai Math. J. 17 (2006) 49–67.
- [14] T. Prasad and K. Tanahashi, On class p-wA(s, t) operators, Functional Analysis, Approximation Computation 6 (2) (2014) 39-42.
- [15] M. Yanagida, Powers of class wA(s, t) operators with generalised Aluthge transformation, J. Inequal. Appl. 7 (2002) 143–168.
- [16] J. Yuan and C. Yang, Spectrum of class wF(p, r, q) operators for $p + r \le 1$ and $q \ge 1$, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 71 (2005) 767–779.
- [17] J. Yuan and C. Yang, Powers of class wF(p, r, q) operators, Journal Inequalities in Pure and Applied Math. 7 (2006) Issue 1, article 32.
- [18] C. Yang and J. Yuan, On class wF(p, r, q) operators, Acta. Math. Sci. 27 (2007) 769–780.