Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation 7 (3) (2015), 47–56



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/faac

Existence of fixed points for mixed monotone operators with perturbations and applications

Hojjat Afshari, Sabileh Kalantari

Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Bonab, Bonab, Iran

Abstract. In this article we study a class of mixed monotone operators with perturbations and present some new tripled fixed point theorems by means of partial order theory, we get the existence and uniqueness of tripled fixed points without assuming the operator to be compact or continuous, which extend the existing corresponding results. As applications, we utilize the results obtained in this paper to study the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a fractional differential equation boundary value problem..

1. Introduction

The fixed point problems of contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces has been considered recently by Ran and Reurings [20], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [18], Nieto and lopez [13]-[34], Agarwal et al. [13], and V. Berinde, M. Borcut [19]. Later in 2006, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [18] introduced the concept of a coupled fixed point and studied existence and uniqueness theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. They also applied their results to problems of the existence of solution for a periodic boundary value problem. In recent years, boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations with a variety of boundary conditions have been investigated by many researchers. Fractional differential equations appear naturally in various fields of science and engineering and constitute an important field of research. It should be noted that most papers dealing with the existence of solutions of nonlinear initial value problems of fractional differential equations mainly use the techniques of nonlinear analysis such as fixed point results, the Leary-Schauder theorem, stability, etc. (see for example [1]-[12]). As a matter of fact, fractional derivatives provide an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes. A significant feature of a fractional order differential operator, in contrast to its counterpart in classical calculus, is its nonlocal behaviour. It means that the future state of a dynamical system or process based on the fractional differential operator depends on its current state as well its past states. It is equivalent to saying that differential equations of arbitrary order are capable of describing

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Tripled fixed point; mixed monotone operator; normal cone; positive solution; fractional differential equation.

Keywords. 47H10, 54H25.

Received: 12 October 2014; 7 July 2015

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: hojat.afshari@yahoo.com; hojat.afshari@bonabu.ac.ir (Hojjat Afshari), kalantari.math@gmail.com (Sabileh Kalantari)

memory and hereditary properties of certain important materials and processes. This aspect of fractional calculus has contributed towards the growing popularity of the subject.

In 2012, V. Berinde and M. Borcut in [19] introduced the concept of tripled fixed point for nonlinear mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces and obtained it's existence. Recently, CB. Zhai [32] proved some results on a class of mixed monotone operators with perturbations. Following the paper of Zhai we will study tripled fixed point theorems for a class of mixed monotone operators with perturbations on ordered Banach spaces. And then we get the existence and uniqueness of tripled fixed points without assuming the operator to be compact or continuous. This research done is important in comparison with others, as some times coupled fixed points are more perfect than other fixed points, tripled fixed points are more practical than coupled fixed points and they are applicable for most differential equations which are not solve by the application of original fixed points or coupled fixed points.

To demonstrate the applicability of our abstract results, we give, in the last section of the paper, an application to a fractional differential equation boundary value problem.

For the convenience of the reader, we present here some definitions, notations and known results.

Suppose $(E, \| \cdot \|)$ is a Banach space which is partially ordered by a cone $P \subseteq E$, that is, $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. If $x \neq y$, then we denote x < y or x > y. We denote the zero element of E by θ . Recall that a non-empty closed convex set $P \subset E$ is a cone if it satisfies (i) $x \in P$, $\lambda \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda x \in P$; (ii) $x \in P$, $-x \in P \Longrightarrow x = \theta$. A cone P is called normal if there exists a constant N > 0 such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\| x \| \leq N \| y \|$. Also we define the order interval $[x_1, x_2] = \{x \in E | x_1 \leq x \leq x_2\}$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$. We say that and operator $A : E \to E$ is increasing whenever $x \leq y$ implies $Ax \leq Ay$.

Definition 1.1. [21, 22] $A : P \times P \to P$ is said to be a mixed monotone operator if A(x, y) is increasing in x and decreasing in y, i.e., u_i, v_i (i = 1, 2) $\in P$, $u_1 \le u_2, v_1 \ge v_2$ imply $A(u_1, v_1) \le A(u_2, v_2)$. The element $x \in P$ is called a fixed point of A if A(x, x) = x.

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric *d* on *X* such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. On the product space $X \times X \times X$, consider the following partial order: for (x, y, z), $(u, v, w) \in X \times X \times X$,

$$(u, v, w) \le (x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow x \ge u, y \le v, z \ge w.$$
⁽¹⁾

Definition 1.2. [19] Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \times X \to X$. We say F has the mixed monotone property if for any $x, y, z \in X$,

 $x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \le x_2 \text{ implies } F(x_1, y, z) \le F(x_2, y, z),$ (2)

 $y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \le y_2 \text{ implies } F(x, y_1, z) \ge F(x, y_2, z),$ (3)

and $z_1, z_2 \in X, z_1 \le z_2$ implies $F(x, y, z_1) \le F(x, y, z_2)$. (4)

Definition 1.3. [19] An element $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called a tripled fixed point of a mapping $F : X \times X \times X \to X$ if F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y and F(z, y, x) = z.

2. Main results

Now we consider the mixed monotone operator $A : P \times P \times P \rightarrow P$. The following conditions will be assumed:

(*A*₁) there exists $h \in P$ with $h \neq \theta$ such that $A(h, h, h) \in P_h$, (*A*₂) for any $u, v, w \in P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\varphi(t) \in (t, 1]$ such that

$$A(tu, t^{-1}v, tw) \ge \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} A(u, v, w).$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume (A_1) , (A_2) hold. Then $A : P_h \times P_h \times P_h \to P_h$; and there exist $u_0, v_0, w_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $rv_0 \le u_0 \le w_0 < v_0, u_0 \le A(u_0, v_0, w_0) \le A(v_0, u_0, w_0) \le v_0, A(w_0, u_0, w_0) \ge w_0.$

(5)

Proof. Firstly, from condition (A_2) we get

$$A(t^{-1}x, ty, t^{-1}z) \le \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} A(x, y, z), \quad \forall \ t \in (0, 1), \ x, y, z \in P.$$
(6)

For any $u, v, w \in P_h$, there exist $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\mu_1 h \le u \le \frac{1}{\mu_1} h, \ \mu_2 h \le v \le \frac{1}{\mu_2} h, \ \mu_3 h \le w \le \frac{1}{\mu_3} h.$$

Let $\mu = \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$. Then $\mu \in (0, 1)$. From (6) and the mixed monotone properties of operator *A*, we have

$$\begin{split} A(u, v, w) &\leq A(\frac{1}{\mu_1}h, \mu_2 h, \frac{1}{\mu_3}h) \leq A(\frac{1}{\mu}h, \mu h, \frac{1}{\mu}h) \leq \frac{\mu}{\varphi(\mu)}A(h, h, h) \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(\mu)}A(h, h, h), \\ A(u, v, w) &\geq A(\mu_1 h, \frac{1}{\mu_2}h, \mu_3 h) \geq A(\mu h, \frac{1}{\mu}h, \mu h) \geq \frac{\varphi(\mu)}{\mu}A(h, h, h) \geq \varphi(\mu)A(h, h, h). \end{split}$$

It follows from $A(h, h, h) \in P_h$ that $A(u, v, w) \in P_h$. Hence we have $A : P_h \times P_h \times P_h \longrightarrow P_h$. Since $A(h, h, h) \in P_h$, we can choose a sufficiently small number $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$t_0 h \le A(h,h,h) \le \frac{1}{t_0} h.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Noting that $t_0 < \varphi(t_0) \le 1$, we can choose $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ and take a positive integer k such that

$$t_0 \le s_0 \le \varphi(t_0) \le 1,$$
 $(\frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0})^k \ge \frac{1}{t_0}.$ (8)

Put $u_0 = t_0{}^k h, v_0 = \frac{1}{t_0^k} h, w_0 = s_0^k h$. Evidently, $u_0, v_0, w_0 \in P_h$ and $u_0 = t_0{}^{2k}v_0 < v_0$. Take any $r \in (0, t_0{}^{2k}]$, then $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$u_0 \ge rv_0, \ u_0 \le w_0, v_0 = \frac{1}{t_0^k}h \ge \frac{1}{s_0^k}h > s_0^k h = w_0,$$

and hence $w_0 < v_0$. By the mixed monotone properties of A, we have $A(u_0, v_0, w_0) \le A(v_0, u_0, w_0)$. Further, combining condition (A_2) with (7),(8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(u_0, v_0, w_0) &= A(t_0^k h, \frac{1}{t_0^k} h, s_0^k h) \\ &\geq A(t_0^k h, \frac{1}{t_0^k} h, t_0^k h) = A(t_0 \cdot t_0^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h, t_0 \cdot t_0^{k-1} h) \\ &\geq \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} A(t_0^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h, t_0^{k-1} h) = \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} A(t_0 \cdot t_0^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h, t_0 \cdot t_0^{k-2} h) \\ &\geq \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} A(t_0^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h, t_0^{k-2} h) \geq \dots \\ &\geq (\frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0})^k A(h, h, h) \geq (\frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0})^k t_0 h \geq \frac{1}{t_0} t_0 h \geq h \geq t_0^k h = u_0. \end{aligned}$$

49

By the mixed monotone properties of A and from (6) we get

$$\begin{split} A(v_0, u_0, w_0) &= A(\frac{1}{t_0^k} h, t_0^k h, s_0^k h) \le A(\frac{1}{t_0^k} h, t_0^k h, \frac{1}{s_0^k} h) \le A(\frac{1}{t_0^k} h, t_0^k h, \frac{1}{t_0^k} h) \\ &= A(\frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h, t_0 \cdot t_0^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h) \\ &\le \frac{t_0}{\varphi(t_0)} A(\frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h, t_0^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h) \\ &= \frac{t_0}{\varphi(t_0)} A(\frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h, t_0 \cdot t_0^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h) \\ &\le \frac{t_0}{\varphi(t_0)} \cdot \frac{t_0}{\varphi(t_0)} A(\frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h, t_0^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h) \\ &\le \dots \le (\frac{t_0}{(\varphi(t_0))})^k A(h, h, h) \le (\frac{t_0}{(\varphi(t_0))})^k \frac{1}{t_0} h. \end{split}$$

An application of (8) implies that

$$A(v_0, u_0, w_0) \le \left(\frac{t_0}{\varphi(t_0)}\right)^k \frac{1}{t_0} h \le \frac{1}{t_0} t_0 h = h \le \frac{1}{t_0^k} h = v_0.$$

Thus we have

 $u_0 \leq A(u_0, v_0, w_0) \leq A(v_0, u_0, w_0) \leq v_0.$

We prove $A(w_0, u_0, w_0) \ge w_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(w_0, u_0, w_0) &= A(s_0{}^k h, t_0{}^k h, s_0{}^k h \ge A(t_0^k h, \frac{1}{t_0^k} h, s_0{}^k h) \\ &\ge A(t_0^k h, \frac{1}{t_0^k} h, t_0{}^k h) = A(t_0.t_0^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h, t_0.t_0^{k-1} h) \\ &\ge \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} A(t_0^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} h, t_0^{k-1} h) = \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} A(t_0.t_0^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{t_0} \cdot \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h, t_0.t_0^{k-2} h) \\ &\ge \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} \frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0} A(t_0^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{t_0^{k-2}} h, t_0^{k-2} h) \ge \dots \\ &\ge (\frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0})^k A(h, h, h) \ge (\frac{\varphi(t_0)}{t_0})^k t_0 h \ge \frac{1}{t_0} t_0 h \ge h \ge s_0{}^k h = w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that P is a normal cone of E, and (A_1) , (A_2) hold. Then operator A has a unique fixed point x in P_h . Moreover, for any initial $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), z_n = A(z_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, z_{n-1})$$

 $n = 1, 2, ...,$

we have $||x_n - x^*|| \rightarrow 0$, $||y_n - x^*|| \rightarrow 0$ and $||z_n - x^*|| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. From Lemma (2.1), there exist $u_0, v_0, w_0 \in P_h$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $rv_0 \le u_0 \le w_0 < v_0, u_0 \le A(u_0, v_0, w_0) \le A(v_0, u_0, w_0) \le v_0, A(w_0, u_0, w_0) \ge w_0.$

Construct successively the sequences

$$u_n = A(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), v_n = A(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), w_n = A(w_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, w_{n-1}),$$

 $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Evidently $u_1 \le v_1$ and $w_1 \ge w_0$. By the mixed monotone properties of A, we obtain $u_n \le v_n$ and $w_n \ge ... \ge w_1 \ge w_0$, n = 1, 2, ... It also follows from Lemma 2.1 and the mixed monotone properties of A that

$$u_0 \le u_1 \le \ldots \le u_n \le \ldots \le w_0 \le w_1 \le \ldots \le w_n \le \ldots \le v_n \le \ldots \le v_1 \le v_0, \tag{9}$$

Noting that $u_0, w_0 \ge rv_0$. We can get $u_n \ge u_0 \ge rv_0 \ge rv_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ Let

 $t_n = \sup\{t > 0 | u_n \ge tv_n\}$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Thus we have $u_n \ge t_n v_n$, $w_n \ge t_n v_n$, $n = \dots$, and then

$$u_{n+1} \ge u_n \ge t_n v_n \ge t_n v_{n+1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore, $t_{n+1} \ge tn$, *i.e.*, t_n is increasing with $t_n \subset (0, 1]$. Suppose $t_n \to t^*$ as $n \to \infty$, then $t^* = 1$. Otherwise, $0 < t^* < 1$. Then from condition (A_2) and $t_n \le t^*$, we have

$$u_{n+1} = A(u_n, v_n, w_n) \ge A(t_n v_n, \frac{1}{t_n} u_n, t_n v_n) = A(\frac{t_n}{t^*} t^* v_n, \frac{t^*}{t_n} \frac{1}{t^*} u_n, \frac{t_n}{t^*} t^* w_n)$$

$$\ge \frac{t_n}{t^*} A(t^* v_n, \frac{1}{t^*} u_n, t^* w_n) \ge \frac{t_n}{t^*} \frac{\varphi(t^*)}{t^*} A(v_n, u_n, w_n) \ge \frac{t_n}{t^*} \varphi(t^*) A(v_n, u_n, w_n)$$

$$= \frac{t_n}{t^*} \varphi(t^*) v_{n+1}.$$

By the definition of t_n , $t_{n+1} \ge \frac{t_n}{t^*} \cdot \varphi(t^*)$. Let $n \to \infty$, we get $t^* \ge \varphi(t^*) > t^*$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 1$. For any natural number p we have

 $\begin{aligned} \theta &\le u_{n+p} - u_n \le v_n - u_n \le v_n - t_n v_n = (1 - t_n) v_n \le (1 - t_n) v_0, \\ \theta &\le v_n - v_{n+p} \le v_n - u_n \le (1 - t_n) v_0, \\ \theta &\le w_n - w_{n+p} \le v_n - u_n \le v_n - t_n v_n = (1 - t_n) v_n \le (1 - t_n) v_0. \end{aligned}$

Since the cone *P* is normal, we have

 $\| u_{n+p} - u_n \| \le N(1 - t_n) \| v_0 \| \to 0, \ \| v_n - v_{n+p} \| \le N(1 - t_n) \| v_0 \| \to 0,$ $\| w_n - w_{n+p} \| \le N(1 - t_n) \| v_0 \| \to 0. \ (n \to \infty),$

where *N* is the normality constant of *P*. So we can claim that u_n and v_n are Cauchy sequences. Because *E* is complete, there exist u^*, v^*, w^* such that $u_n \to u^*, v_n \to v^*, w_n \to w^*$ as $n \to \infty$. By (9), we know that $u_n \le u^* \le w^* \le v^* \le v_n$ with $u^*, v^*, w^* \in P_h$ and

 $\theta \le v^* - u^* \le v_n - u_n \le (1 - t_n)v_0, \ \theta \le w^* - v^* \le v_n - u_n \le (1 - t_n)v_0$ $\theta \le u^* - w^* \le v_n - u_n \le (1 - t_n)v_0.$

Further

$$\begin{split} \| v^* - u^* \| &\leq N(1 - t_n) \| v_0 \| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty), \\ \| w^* - v^* \| &\leq N(1 - t_n) \| v_0 \| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty), \\ \| u^* - w^* \| &\leq N(1 - t_n) \| v_0 \| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty), \end{split}$$

and thus $u^* = v^* = w^*$. Let $x^* := u^* = v^* = w^*$ and then we obtain

$$u_{n+1} = A(u_n, v_n, w_n) \le A(x^*, x^*, x^*) \le A(v_n, u_n, w_n) = v_{n+1}.$$

Let $n \to \infty$, then we get $x^* = A(x^*, x^*, x^*)$. That is, x^* is a fixed point of A in P_h . In the following, we prove that x^* is the unique fixed point of A in P_h . In fact, suppose \bar{x} is a fixed point of A in P_h . Since $x^*, \bar{x} \in P_h$, there exists positive numbers $\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2 > 0$ such that

$$\bar{\mu}_1 h \le x^* \le \bar{\lambda}_1, \quad \bar{\mu}_2 h \le \bar{x} \le \bar{\lambda}_2 h.$$

Then we obtain

$$\bar{x} \le \bar{\lambda}_2 h = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_2}{\bar{\mu}_1} \cdot \bar{\mu}_1 h \le \frac{\bar{\lambda}_2}{\bar{\mu}_1} \cdot x^*, \quad \bar{x} \ge \bar{\lambda}_2 h = \frac{\bar{\mu}_2}{\bar{\lambda}_1} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_1 h \ge \frac{\bar{\mu}_2}{\bar{\lambda}_1} x^*.$$

Let $e_1 = \sup\{t > 0 | tx^* \le \bar{x} \le t^{-1}x^*\}$. Evidently, $0 < e_1 \le 1, e_1x^* \le \bar{x} \le \frac{1}{e_1}x^*$. Next we prove $e_1 = 1$. If $0 < e_1 < 1$, then

$$\bar{x} = A(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}) \ge A(e_1 x^*, \frac{1}{e_1} x^*, e_1 x^*)$$
$$\ge \frac{\varphi(e_1)}{e_1} A(x^*, x^*, x^*) \ge \varphi(e_1) A(x^*, x^*, x^*)$$
$$= \varphi(e_1) x^*.$$

Since $\varphi(e_1) > e_1$, this contradicts the definition of e_1 . Hence $e_1 = 1$, and we get $\bar{x} = x^*$. Therefore, *A* has a unique fixed point x^* in P_h . Note that $[u_0, v_0] \subset P_h$, then we know that x^* is the unique fixed point of *A* in $[u_0, v_0]$.

Now we construct successively the sequences

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, z_{n-1}),$$

$$z_n = A(z_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for any initial points $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in P_h$. Since $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in P_h$ we can choose small numbers $e_2, e_3, e_4 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$e_2h \le x_0 \le \frac{1}{e_2}h, \quad e_3h \le y_0 \le \frac{1}{e_3}h, \quad e_4h \le z_0 \le \frac{1}{e_4}h.$$

Let $e^* = \min\{e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. Then $e^* \in (0, 1)$ and

$$e^*h \le x_0, \quad y_0 \le \frac{1}{e^*}h, \quad e^*h \le z_0.$$

We can choose a sufficiently large positive integer m such that

$$[\frac{\varphi(e^*)}{e^*}]^m \geq \frac{1}{e^*},$$

and we choose $e_1^* \in (0, 1)$ such that $e^* \le e_1^* \le \varphi(e^*) \le 1$. Put $\bar{u}_0 = e^{*m}h$, $\bar{v}_0 = \frac{1}{e^{*m}}h$, $\bar{w}_0 = e_1^{*m}h$. It easy to see that $\bar{u}_0, \bar{v}_0, \bar{w}_0 \in P_h$ and $\bar{u}_0 < x_0, y_0 < \bar{v}_0, w_0 < \bar{z}_0$. Let

$$\bar{u}_n = A(\bar{u}_{n-1}, \bar{v}_{n-1}, \bar{w}_{n-1}), \bar{v}_n = A(\bar{v}_{n-1}, \bar{u}_{n-1}, \bar{w}_{n-1}), \\ \bar{w}_n = A(\bar{w}_{n-1}, \bar{u}_{n-1}, \bar{w}_{n-1}), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Similarly, it follows that there exists $y^* \in P_h$ such that $A(y^*, y^*, y^*) = y^*$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{u}_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{v}_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{w}_n = y^*$. By the uniqueness of fixed point of operator A in P_h . We get $x^* = y^* = z^*$ and by induction $\bar{u}_n \le x_n, y_n \le \bar{v}_n, \bar{w}_n \le z_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ Since cone P is normal we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = x^*$. \Box

3. Application

We study the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the fractional differential equation

$$\frac{D^{\alpha}}{Dt}u(r,s,t) + f(r,s,t,u(r,s,t)) = 0,$$

(0 < \varepsilon < T, T \ge 1, t \in [\varepsilon, T], 0 < \alpha < 1, s \in [a,b], t \in [c,d]) (10)

subject to condition

$$u(s, r, \zeta) = u(s, r, T), \quad (r, s, \zeta) \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \times (\epsilon, t), \tag{11}$$

where D^{α} is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α . We will suppose that $a, b, c, d \in (0, \infty)$, a < b, c < d.

Let

$$E = C([a, b] \times [c, d] \times [\epsilon, T]).$$

Consider the Banach space of continuous functions on $[a, b] \times [c, d] \times [c, T]$ with sup norm and set

$$P = \{y \in C([a,b] \times [c,d] \times [\epsilon,T]) : \min_{(s,r,t) \in [a,b] \times [c,d] \times [\epsilon,T]} y(s,r,t) \ge 0\}.$$

Then *P* is a normal cone.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(s, r, t) \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \times [\epsilon, T]$, $(s, r, \zeta) \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \times (\epsilon, t)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the problem

$$\frac{D^{\alpha}}{Dt}u(s,r,t) + f(s,r,t,u(s,r,t)) = 0$$

with the boundary value condition $u(s, r, \zeta) = u(s, r, T)$ has a solution u_0 if and only if u_0 is a solution of the fractional integral equation

$$u(s,r,t) = \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi) f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi,$$

where,

$$G(t,\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(\zeta-\xi)^{\alpha-1}-t^{\alpha-1}(T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{(\zeta^{\alpha-1}-T^{\alpha-1})\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \epsilon \le \xi \le \zeta \le t \le T, \\ \frac{-t^{\alpha-1}-(T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{(\zeta^{\alpha-1}-T^{\alpha-1})\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \epsilon \le \zeta \le \xi \le t \le T, \\ \frac{-t^{\alpha-1}(T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{(\zeta^{\alpha-1}-T^{\alpha-1})\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \epsilon \le \zeta \le t \le \xi \le T. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From $\frac{D^{\alpha}}{Dt}u(s, r, t) + f(s, r, t, u(s, r, t)) = 0$ and the boundary condition, it is easy to see that $u(s, r, t) - c_1 t^{\alpha-1} = -I_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} f(s, r, t, u(s, r, t))$. By the definition of a fractional integral, we get

$$u(s,r,t) = c_1 t^{\alpha-1} - \int_{\epsilon}^{\zeta} \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi,$$
$$u(s,r,\zeta) = c_1 T^{\alpha-1} - \int_{\epsilon}^{\zeta} \frac{(\zeta-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi,$$

and

$$u(s,r,T) = c_1 T^{\alpha-1} - \int_{\epsilon}^{T} \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi.$$

Since $u(s, r, \zeta) = u(s, r, T)$, we obtain

$$c_{1} = \frac{1}{\zeta^{\alpha-1} - T^{\alpha-1}} \int_{\epsilon}^{\zeta} \frac{(\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s, r, \xi, u(s, r, \xi)) d\xi$$
$$- \frac{1}{\zeta^{\alpha-1} - T^{\alpha-1}} \int_{\epsilon}^{T} \frac{(T - \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s, r, \xi, u(s, r, \xi)) d\xi.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} u(s,r,t) &= \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\zeta^{\alpha-1} - T^{\alpha-1}} \int_{\epsilon}^{\zeta} \frac{(\zeta-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi \\ &- \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\zeta^{\alpha-1} - T^{\alpha-1}} \int_{\epsilon}^{T} \frac{(T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi \\ &- \int_{\epsilon}^{t} \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi = \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi) f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi)) d\xi. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < \epsilon < T$ be given and

 $f(s, r, t, u(s, r, t), v(s, r, t), \eta(s, r, t)) \in C([a, b], [c, d], [\epsilon, T], [0, \infty], [0, \infty], [0, \infty]) and c \in (0, 1), s, r, t \in P, there$ *exists* $\varphi(t) \in (t, 1]$ *such that*

$$\begin{split} f(s,r,t,cu(s,r,t),c^{-1}v(s,r,t),c\eta(s,r,t)) &\geq \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}f(s,r,t,u(s,r,t),v(s,r,t),\eta(s,r,t)) \ and \\ f(s,r,t,u(s,r,t),v(s,r,t),\eta(s,r,t)) &= 0 \ whenever \ G(s,t) < 0. \end{split}$$

Also assume that there exist $M_1, M_2 > 0$ and $\theta \neq h \in P$ such that

$$M_1h \leq \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi)f(s,r,\xi,h(s,r,\xi),h(s,r,\xi),h(s,r,\xi))d\xi \leq M_2h,$$

for all $(s, r, t) \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \times [\epsilon, T]$, where $G(t, \xi)$ is the green function defined in lemma (3.1). Then the problem (10) with the boundary condition (11) has a unique solution in P_h . Moreover, for any initial $u_0, v_0, \eta_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequences

$$\begin{split} u_{n+1} &= \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi) f(s,r,\xi,u_{n}(s,r,\xi),v_{n}(s,r,\xi),\eta_{n}(s,r,\xi)) d\xi, \\ v_{n+1} &= \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi) f(s,r,\xi,v_{n}(s,r,\xi),u_{n}(s,r,\xi),\eta_{n}(s,r,\xi)) d\xi, \\ \eta_{n+1} &= \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi) f(s,r,\xi,\eta_{n}(s,r,\xi),u_{n}(s,r,\xi),\eta_{n}(s,r,\xi)) d\xi, \end{split}$$

we have $|| u_n - u^* || \to 0$, $|| v_n - u^* || \to 0$, $|| \eta_n - u^* || \to 0$.

Proof. By using Lemma (2.1), the problem is equivalent to the integral equation

$$u(s,r,t) = \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi) f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi),v(s,r,\xi),\eta(s,r,\xi)) d\xi,$$

where

$$G(t,\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(\zeta-\xi)^{\alpha-1} - t^{\alpha-1}(T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{(\zeta^{\alpha-1}-T^{\alpha-1})\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \epsilon \le \xi \le \zeta \le t \le T, \\ \frac{-t^{\alpha-1} - (T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{(\zeta^{\alpha-1}-T^{\alpha-1})\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \epsilon \le \zeta \le \xi \le t \le T, \\ \frac{-t^{\alpha-1}(T-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{(\zeta^{\alpha-1}-T^{\alpha-1})\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \epsilon \le \zeta \le t \le \xi \le T. \end{cases}$$

Define the operator $A: P \times P \times P \rightarrow P$ by the following, $A(u(s, r, t), v(s, r, t), \eta(s, r, t)) = \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t, \xi) f(s, r, \xi, u(s, r, \xi), v(s, r, \xi), \eta(s, r, \xi)) d\xi.$ Then *u* is solution for the problem if and only if u = A(u, u, u). For $c \in (0, 1)$, $s, r, t \in P$, there exists $\varphi(t) \in (t, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A(cu(s,r,t),c^{-1}v(s,r,t),c\eta(s,r,t)) \\ &= \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi)f(s,r,\xi,cu(s,r,\xi),c^{-1}v(s,r,\xi),c\eta(s,r,\xi))d\xi \\ &\geq \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \int_{\epsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi)f(s,r,\xi,u(s,r,\xi),v(s,r,\xi),\eta(s,r,\xi))d\xi \\ &= \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} A(u(s,r,t),v(s,r,t),\eta(s,r,t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$M_1h \le A(h,h,h) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{T} G(t,\xi)f(s,r,\xi,h(s,r,\xi),h(s,r,\xi),h(s,r,\xi))d\xi \le M_2h,$$

for all $(s, r, t) \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \times [\epsilon, T]$, we get $A(h, h, h) \in P_h$. Therefore *A* satisfies all conditions of Theorem (2.2), and so, the operator *A* has a unique positive solution (u^*, u^*, u^*) such that $A(u^*, u^*, u^*) = u^*$. This completes the proof. \Box

References

- [1] Sabatier, J, Agrawal, OP, Machado, JAT (eds.): Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering. Springer, Dordrecht (2007)
- [2] Kilbas, AA, Srivastava, HM, Trujillo, jj:Theory and Applications of Fractiona differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics studies. 204, 7-10(2006)
- Baleanu, D, Mustafa. OG, Agarwal, RP:On the solution set for a class of sequential fractional differential equations. J. ph. A, Math. Theor. 43(38), Article ID, 385209(2010)
- [4] Baleanu, D, Diethelm, K, Scalas, E, Trujillo, JJ :*Fractional Calculus: Models and Numerical Methods Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chos.* World Scientific, Singapore.(2012)
- [5] Agarwal, RP, Lakshmikantan, V, Nieto, JJ :On the concept of solution for fractional differential equations with uncertainty, Nonlinear Anal. 72(2010) 2859-2862.
- [6] Miller, KS, Ross, B:An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equation, Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [7] Oldham, KB, Spainer, J:The Fractional Calculus, 1974.
- [8] Podlubny, I: Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New york, 1999.
- [9] Weitzner, H, Zaslavsky, GM:Some applications of fractional equations, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 15(2010) 935-945. bibitemAhmad Ahmad, B, Nieto, JJ:Existence of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2009, Article ID 494720.
- [10] Belmekki, M, Nieto, JJ, Rodriguez-Lopez, R: Existence of periodic solution for a nonlinear fractional equation, Bound. Value probl. 2009, Article ID 324561.
- [11] Xu. X.J, Jiang, D.Q. Yang, C.J:Multiple positive solutions for the boundary value problems of a nonlinear fractional differential equation, Nonlinear Anal. 71(2009) 4676-4688.
- [12] Samko, SG, Kilbas, AA, Marichev, OI: Fractional Integral and Derivative: Theory and Applications, Gordan & Breach, Switzerland, 1993.
- [13] Agarwal. R.P. El-Gebeily. M.A, ORegan, D:Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl.Anal. 87(2008) 1-8.
 [14] Nieto. J.J, Rodriguez-Lopez. R:Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation,
- Order 22(2005) 223-239.
- [15] Zhang, S S:Coupled fixed points for mixed monotone condensing operators and an existence theorem of the solution for a class of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 160 468-479 (1991).
- [16] Zhai, CB, Hao, MR: Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators with perturbation and applications to fractional differential equation boundary value, Nonlinear Anal. 75(2012) 2542-2551.
- [17] Nieto. J.J. Rodriguez-Lopez. R:Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation, Acta Math. Sin.(Engl.Ser.) (2007) 23(12): 2205-2212.
- [18] Gnana Bhaskar. T, Lakshmikantham, V:Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65(2006) 1379-1393.
- [19] Berinde. V, Borcut, M:Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. (2012) 218(10): 5929-5936.
- [20] Ran. A.C.M. Reurings, M.C.B:A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc.Amer.math.Soc. 132(2004) 1435-1443.
- [21] Guo. D, Lakskmikantham. V: Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications, Nonlinear Anal. (1987) 11(5):623-632.
- [22] Guo. D:Fixed points of mixed monotone operators with application, Appl. Anal. 34(1988) 215-224.
- [23] Guo, D, Lakskmikantham, V:Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones. Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [24] Guo, D:Partial Order Methods in Nonlinear Analysis. Jinan, Shandong Science and Technology Press, 2000 (in Chinese).

- [25] Liang, K. Li J, Xiao, T. J:New Existence and uniqueness theorems of positive fixed points for mixed monotone operators with perturbation, Comput. Math. Appl. 50(2005) 1569-1578. 215-224.
- [26] Bhaskar, T. G, Lakshmikantham V:Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 65 1379-1393 (2006).
- [27] Burgic, Dz, Kalabusic, S. Kulenovic, M. R. S:Global attractivity results for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. Article ID 762478 (2009).
- [28] Drici, Z, McRae, F. A, Vasundhara Devi, J:Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators with PPF dependence, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 69 632-636 (2008).
- [29] Harjani, J, Lopez, B, Sadarangani, K: Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 74 1749-1760 (2011).
- [30] Liang, Z. D, Wang, W. X,: A fixed point theorem for sequential contraction operators with application. Acta Math. Sin. 47 173-180 (in Chinese) (2004).
- [31] Liang, Y. X.Wu, Z. D:Existence and uniqueness of fixed points for mixed monotone operators with applications. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 65 1913-1924 (2006).
- [32] Zhai, CB, Zhang,LL:New fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and local existence-uniqueness of positive solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382, 594-614(2011)
- [33] Zhang, Z. T, Wang, K. L,:On fixed point theorems of mixed monotone operators and applications, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 70 3279-3284 (2009).
- [34] Zhao, Z. Q:Existence and uniqueness of fixed points for some mixed monotone operators, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 73 1481-1490 (2010).