



Holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular

Hatem Baloudi^a, Aref Jeribi^b

^aDépartement de Mathématiques, Université de Sfax, Faculté des sciences de Sfax, Route de soukra Km 3.5, B. P 1171, 3000, Sfax, Tunisie

^bDépartement de Mathématiques, Université de Sfax, Faculté des sciences de Sfax, Route de soukra Km 3.5, B. P 1171, 3000, Sfax, Tunisie

Abstract. We consider left and right Fredholm-decomposably regular operators introduced in [23], and the corresponding holomorphic versions. Using their results established by Zeng in [23], we give new properties of these classes of operators. We introduce the concept of Weyl-decomposably regular operator and the corresponding holomorphic version in the setting of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, where $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the set of all bounded operators from Banach space X to X , and we give various characterizations of this class of operators.

1. Introduction

Let X denote an infinite dimensional Banach space. We use $\mathcal{L}(X)$ to denote the set of all linear bounded operators on X . Also, $\mathcal{L}_0(X)$ denote the set of all compact operators on X . For $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we use $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and $A(X)$, respectively, to denote the null-space and the range of A . The nullity, $\alpha(A)$, of A is defined as the dimension of $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and the deficiency, $\beta(A)$, of A is defined as the codimension of $A(X)$ in X . The hyper-range $A^\infty(X)$ of A is defined by $A^\infty(X) = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} A^k(X)$. Let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of A . Sets of upper and lower Fredholm operators, respectively, are defined as

$$\Phi_+(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ such that } A(X) \text{ is closed in } X \text{ and } \alpha(A) < \infty\},$$

and

$$\Phi_-(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ such that } A(X) \text{ is closed in } X \text{ and } \beta(A) < \infty\}.$$

Operators in $\Phi_\pm(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X)$ are called semi-Fredholm operators. For such operators the index is defined by $i(A) = \alpha(A) - \beta(A)$. If $A \in \Phi_+(X) \setminus \Phi(X)$ then $i(A) = -\infty$ and if $A \in \Phi_-(X) \setminus \Phi(X)$ then $i(A) = +\infty$. The set of Fredholm operators is defined as $\Phi(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cap \Phi_-(X)$.

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called Kato non-singular (see, [13, 16, 18]) if $A(X)$ is closed and $\mathcal{N}(A) \subseteq A^\infty(X)$. The Kato non-singular spectrum of A is defined by

$$\sigma_K(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } A - \lambda \text{ is not a Kato non-singular}\}.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A06, 35P05, 35P20, 35S05.

Keywords. Fredholm and Kato non-singular operators; left (right) Fredholm-decomposably regular operators; left (right) holomorphically Fredholm-decomposably regular operators; Weyl operator.

Received: 9 April 2016; Accepted: 19 April 2016

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: baloudi.hatem@gmail.com (Hatem Baloudi), Aref.Jeribi@fss.rnu.tn (Aref Jeribi)

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is relatively regular if there exists $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $ABA = A$. It is well-known that A is relatively regular if and only if $A(X)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$ are closed and complemented subspaces of X . In particular, if X is a Hilbert space then A is relatively regular operator if and only if $A(X)$ is closed (see [6, 9, 12, 14]). Let $R(X)$ denote the class of all relatively regular operators. A is called Fredholm-decomposably regular operator if there is $B \in \Phi(X)$ such that $ABA = A$ (see [10, 22]), if B is an invertible operator then A is said decomposably regular operator. Let $G(X)$ denote the set of all bounded invertible operators. The class of all Fredholm-decomposably regular (resp. decomposably regular) operators is denoted by $\Phi R(X)$ (resp. $GR(X)$). This class of operators was introduced and investigated in [8, 19]. In particular it is proved that

$$GR(X) = R(X) \cap \overline{G(X)} \text{ and } \Phi R(X) = R(X) \cap \overline{\Phi(X)}.$$

In [5], El Bakkali introduced and studied the holomorphically Fredholm-decomposably regular resolvent $\rho_{hf}(A)$, where

$$\rho_{hf}(A) := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \text{there are } V \text{ a neighborhood of } \mu \text{ and } F : V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ analytic such that } (A - \lambda)F(\lambda)(A - \lambda) = A - \lambda \text{ and } F(\lambda) \in \Phi(X) \text{ for each } \lambda \in V\}.$$

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called holomorphically Fredholm-decomposably regular operator if $0 \in \rho_{hf}(A)$. We denote $H\Phi R(X)$ the class of all holomorphically Fredholm-decomposably regular operators.

We use $G_l(X)$ and $G_r(X)$, respectively, to denote the set of all left and right invertible operators on X . Sets of left and right Fredholm operators, respectively, are defined as

$$\Phi_l(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : A(X) \text{ is closed and complemented of } X \text{ and } \alpha(A) < \infty\}$$

and

$$\Phi_r(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \mathcal{N}(A) \text{ is complemented of } X \text{ and } \beta(A) < \infty\}.$$

In this paper, we introduce the concept of Weyl-decomposably regular operator and the corresponding holomorphic version in the setting of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, and we give various characterizations of this class of operator.

We organise our paper in the following way: in Section 2 we gather some results and notions from Fredholm theory connected with the sequel of the paper. The main results of section 3 is to prove that for $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ where X be a direct sum of closed subspaces X_1 and X_2 which are A -invariant, $A_1 = A|_{X_1} : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $A_2 = A|_{X_2} : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$, if A_1 and A_2 are left (right) Fredholm-decomposably regular operators then A is left (right) Fredholm-decomposably regular operator (see, Definition 2.6). A similar, if A_1 and A_2 are left (right) holomorphically Fredholm-decomposably regular operators then A is left (right) holomorphically Fredholm-decomposably regular operator. In Section 4, we present the class of holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular operators and we give various characterizations of this class of operators. More precisely, let $HWR(X)$ be the class of all holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular operators (see, Definition 4.3), then $HWR(X) = S(X) \cap WR(X)$, where $S(X)$ is the set of all Saphar operator (see, Definition 2.1) and $WR(X)$ is the class of Weyl-decomposably regular operators. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $A \in HWR(X)$ if and only if there exist $R \in W(X)$ and sequence $(B_n)_n \subset G(X)$ and $(A_n)_n \subset WR(X)$ such that $ARA = A$, $AB_n = B_nA$, $(A - B_n)A_n(A - B_n) = A - B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_n (\|B_n\| + \|A_n - R\|) = 0$. Finally, in section 5, we define a new spectrum $\sigma_{hw}(A)$ said holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular spectrum of $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Let $\rho_{hw}(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{hw}(A)$. We prove that $0 \in \rho_{hw}(A)$ if and only if $0 \in \rho_{\sigma_K}(A)$ and $A \in WR(X)$.

2. Preliminary Results

In this section we recall some definitions and we give some lemmas that we will need in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. The operator A is called Saphar operator if A is Kato non-singular and $A \in R(X)$.

We denote $S(X)$ the set of all Saphar operators. This class of operators was studied by Saphar in [20].

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Banach space.

- 1) An operator A is said to be left (resp. right) decomposably regular operator if there exists $B \in G_r(X)$ (resp. $G_l(X)$) such that $ABA = A$.
- 2) An operator A is said to be left (resp. right) Fredholm-decomposably regular operator if there exists $B \in \Phi_r(X)$ (resp. $\Phi_l(X)$) such that $ABA = A$.

We also denote classes of left decomposably regular operators, right decomposably regular operators, left Fredholm-decomposably regular operators and right Fredholm-decomposably regular operators from X to X by $G_lR(X)$, $G_rR(X)$, $\Phi_lR(X)$ and $\Phi_rR(X)$, respectively.

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Let

$$Com(A) := \{B \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ such that } AB = BA\}$$

the commutant of A and

$$Com^{-1}(A) = Com(A) \cap G(X)$$

the invertible commutant of A .

Definition 2.3. [10, Definition 8] Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. We say that A is consortedly regular if there are sequences $(B_n)_n$ in $Com^{-1}(A)$ and $(\widehat{A}_n)_n$, \widehat{A} in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for which

$$A\widehat{A}A = A, \quad \|B_n\| + \|\widehat{A}_n - \widehat{A}\| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and } A - B_n = (A - B_n)\widehat{A}_n(A - B_n).$$

Lemma 2.4. [17, Lemma 4, p. 131] Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a Saphar operator and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfy $ABA = A$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A^n B^n A^n = A^n$. In particular, A^n is Saphar operator.

It is know in [15, Theorem 2.6] and [21, Theorem 1.4] that $A \in S(X)$ if and only if there exist a neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ of 0 and a holomorphic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$(A - \lambda)F(\lambda)(A - \lambda) = A - \lambda, \text{ for all } \lambda \in U. \tag{2.1}$$

In [22], Schmoeger introduced and studied the holomorphically decomposably regular operator $HGR(X)$ given by $A \in HGR(X)$ if and only if there exist a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ of 0 and a holomorphic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$F(x) \in G(X), \quad (A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x$$

for all $x \in U$. It is clear that $HGR(X) \subset H\Phi R(X)$.

Theorem 2.5. [10, Theorem 2.6] Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If A is consortedly regular then A is holomorphically decomposably regular.

To generalized these classes of operators Zeng (see, [23]), introduced the following concept:

Definition 2.6. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Suppose that there exist a neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ of 0 and a holomorphic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $(A - \lambda)F(\lambda)(A - \lambda) = A - \lambda$, for all $\lambda \in U$.

- 1) A is said to be left holomorphically decomposably regular (resp. Fredholm-decomposably regular), if $F(\lambda) \in G_r(X)$ (resp. $\Phi_r(X)$) for all $\lambda \in U$.
- 2) A is said to be right holomorphically decomposably regular (resp. Fredholm-decomposably regular), if $F(\lambda) \in G_l(X)$ (resp. $\Phi_l(X)$) for all $\forall \lambda \in U$.

We denote $HG_lR(X)$, $HG_rR(X)$, $H\Phi_lR(X)$, and $H\Phi_rR(X)$ these class of operators.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_{00}(X)$ the ideal of (bounded) finite rank operators. Note that

$$\mathcal{L}_{00}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X).$$

In the sequel we will recall the following important theorem (see, [2, Theorem 1.5]).

Theorem 2.7. Let X be a Banach space and $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $A \in \Phi_l(X)$;
 - ii) there exist $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $I - BA \in \mathcal{L}_{00}(X)$;
 - iii) there exist $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $I - BA \in \mathcal{L}_0(X)$.
- Analogously; the following assertions are equivalent:
- iv) $A \in \Phi_r(X)$;
 - v) there exist $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $I - AB \in \mathcal{L}_{00}(X)$;
 - vi) there exist $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $I - AB \in \mathcal{L}_0(X)$.

Lemma 2.8. [17, Lemma 6, p. 132] Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If $A \in S(X)$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A - U$ has a generalized inverse for every operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ commuting with A such that $\|U\| < \varepsilon$. More precisely, if A is Kato non-singular, $ABA = A$, $UA = AU$ and $\|U\| \leq \|B\|^{-1}$, then $(A - U)B(I - UB)^{-1}(A - U) = A - U$.

In the next theorem we will recall some well-known properties of the decomposably regular and Fredholm operators (see, [5, 23]).

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a Banach space.

- 1) $G_iR(X) = R(X) \cap \overline{G_i(X)}$, $i = l, r$.
- 2) $\Phi_iR(X) = R(X) \cap \overline{\Phi_i(X)}$, $i = l, r$.
- 3) $GR(X) = R(X) \cap \overline{G(X)}$.
- 4) $\Phi R(X) = R(X) \cap \overline{\Phi(X)}$.
- 5) $HG_iR(X) = S(X) \cap \overline{G_i(X)}$, $i = l, r$.
- 6) $H\Phi_iR(X) = S(X) \cap \overline{\Phi_i(X)}$, $i = l, r$.

Theorem 2.10. [4, Theorem 2.4] Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $AB = BA$. If $A, B \in H\Phi R(X)$ and if there exists $C, D \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $AC + DB = I$, then $AB \in H\Phi R(X)$.

3. On left and right decomposably regular operators

We first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and let X be a direct sum of closed subspaces X_1 and X_2 which are A -invariant. If $A_1 = A|_{X_1} : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $A_2 = A|_{X_2} : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$,

- 1) If $A_1 \in \Phi_iR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in \Phi_iR(X_2)$ then $A \in \Phi_iR(X)$, $i = l, r$.
- 2) If $A_1 \in G_iR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in G_iR(X_2)$ then $A \in G_iR(X)$, $i = l, r$.

- 3) If $A_1 \in \Phi R(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in \Phi R(X_2)$ then $A \in \Phi R(X)$.
- 4) If $A_1 \in GR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in GR(X_2)$ then $A \in GR(X)$.
- 5) If $A_1 \in H\Phi_i R(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in H\Phi_i R(X_2)$ then $A \in H\Phi_i R(X)$, $i = l, r$.
- 6) If $A_1 \in HG_i R(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in HG_i R(X_2)$ then $A \in HG_i R(X)$, $i = l, r$.
- 7) If $A_1 \in H\Phi R(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in H\Phi R(X_2)$ then $A \in H\Phi R(X)$.
- 8) If $A_1 \in HGR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in HGR(X_2)$ then $A \in HGR(X)$.

Proof. The operator A has the following matrix form with respect to the decomposition $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1) Suppose that $A_1 \in \Phi_l R(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in \Phi_l R(X_2)$. Using Theorem 2.9, there exists $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(X_1)$ and $B_2 \in \mathcal{L}(X_2)$ such that

$$A_1 B_1 A_1 = A_1 \text{ and } A_2 B_2 A_2 = A_2.$$

Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} ABA &= \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A_1 B_1 A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 B_2 A_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A \end{aligned}$$

This prove that $A \in R(X)$. So, to find this proof it suffice to shaw that $A \in \overline{\Phi_l(X)}$. Since $A_1 \in \overline{\Phi_l(X_1)}$ and $A_2 \in \overline{\Phi_l(X_2)}$. Then there are $(A_{1,n})_n \subset \Phi_l(X_1)$ and $(A_{2,n})_n \subset \Phi_l(X_2)$ such that $A_{1,n}$ belong to A_1 and $A_{2,n}$ belong to A_2 . Let

$$A_n = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,n} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{2,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(A_n) = \mathcal{N}(A_{1,n}) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A_{2,n}) \text{ and } A_n(X) = A_{1,n}(X_1) \oplus A_{2,n}(X_2).$$

This show that $(A_n)_n \subset \Phi_l(X)$. Since it is clear that A_n belong to A . So, $A \in \overline{\Phi_l(X)}$. Finally, using Theorem 2.9 1) we infer that $A \in \Phi_lR(X)$.

A similar reasoning as before, we prove that if $A_1 \in \Phi_rR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in \Phi_rR(X_2)$ then $A \in \Phi_rR(X)$. Their assertions 2), 3) and 4) can be proved similarly.

5) Suppose that $A_1 \in H\Phi R(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in H\Phi R(X_2)$. Then A_1 and A_2 are Kato non-singular operators, $A_1 \in \Phi_lR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in \Phi_lR(X_2)$. Using 1), we can deduce that $A \in \Phi_lR(X)$. So, it suffice to shaw that A is Kato non-singular. By the decomposing $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A_1) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A_2) \text{ and } A^n(X) = A_1^n(X_1) \oplus A_2^n(X_2) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $A_1(X_1)$, $A_2(X_2)$ are closed, $\mathcal{N}(A_1) \subset A_1^n(X_1)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A_2) \subset A_2^n(X_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $A(X)$ is closed and $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset A^n(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A similar as before, we prove that if $A_1 \in H\Phi_rR(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in H\Phi_rR(X_2)$ then $A \in H\Phi_rR(X)$.

Their assertions 6), 7) and 8) can be proved similarly to 5). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then for $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$, we have*

$$A - \lambda \in H\Phi R(X) \text{ if and only if } (A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \in H\Phi R(X).$$

Proof.

Since $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ is not empty. Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$. We can write

$$(A - \lambda) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda) \left((A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \right) (A - \lambda_0) \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that $A - \lambda \in H\Phi R(X)$. Then, by Eq. (3.1),

$$\left((A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \right) (A - \lambda_0) \in H\Phi R(X).$$

Moreover

$$\left((A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \right) (A - \lambda_0) = (A - \lambda_0) \left((A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \right)$$

and $A - \lambda_0$ is invertible operator. So, by Lemma 2.10, we infer that $(A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \in H\Phi R(X)$.

Conversely, Suppose that $(A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \in H\Phi R(X)$. Since $A - \lambda_0$ is invertible operator, then $A - \lambda_0 \in H\Phi R(X)$. Now, let

$$T' = A - \lambda_0 \text{ and } S' = (1 + \lambda - A)(A - \lambda_0)^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(\lambda_0 - \lambda) \left((A - \lambda_0)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \right) T' + S' (A - \lambda_0) = I.$$

Applying Lemma 2.10, we get $(A - \lambda) \in H\Phi R(X)$. \square

4. Holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular

Let X be a Banach space and $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. A is said to be a Weyl operator if A is Fredholm operator having index 0 (see, [1, 3, 11]). Let $W(X)$ be the class of all Weyl operators.

Definition 4.1. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is said to be Weyl-decomposably regular operator, in symbol $A \in WR(X)$, provided that there exist $B \in W(X)$ such that $ABA = A$.

Remark 4.2. Let $A \in GR(X)$. Then, there exist $B \in G(X)$ such that $ABA = A$. Clearly $B \in \Phi(X)$ and $i(B) = 0$. In this way we see that

$$GR(X) \subset WR(X) \subset \Phi R(X).$$

Definition 4.3. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. A is said to be holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular if there exist a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ of 0 and holomorphic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$F(x) \in W(X) \text{ and } (A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x$$

for all $x \in U$. Let $HWR(X)$ be the class of all holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular operators.

Theorem 4.4. Let X be a Banach space. Then $HWR(X) = S(X) \cap WR(X)$.

Proof. Let $A \in HWR(X)$. Then, there exist a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ of 0 and a holomorphic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$F(x) \in W(X) \text{ and } (A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x \in U$. In particular, we have

$$F(0) \in W(X) \text{ and } AF(0)A = A.$$

This prove that $A \in WR(X)$. By Eq.(4.1), we have $A \in S(X)$. Now, it remains to prove that $S(X) \cap WR(X) \subset HWR(X)$. Let $A \in S(X) \cap WR(X)$, then there exist $B \in W(X)$ such that $ABA = A$. Let

$$F : D(0, \|B\|^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$$

defined by $F(x) = (I - xB)^{-1}B$ for all $x \in D(0, \|B\|^{-1})$. It is clear that

$$F(x) \in W(x) \text{ and } i(F(x)) = i((I - xB)^{-1}) + i(B) = 0.$$

So, we infer that $F(x) \in W(X)$ for all $x \in D(0, \|B\|^{-1})$. Using Lemma 2.8 we infer that

$$(A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x$$

for all $x \in D(0, \|B\|^{-1})$. In this way we see that $A \in HWR(X)$. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $A \in HWR(X)$ if and only if there exist $R \in W(X)$, $(B_n)_n \subset G(X)$ and $(A_n)_n \subset W(X)$ such that $ARA = A$, $AB_n = B_nA$, $(A - B_n)A_n(A - B_n) = A - B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_n (\|B_n\| + \|A_n - R\|) = 0$.

Proof. Let $A \in HWR(X)$. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a holomorphic function $F : D(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$F(x) \in W(X) \text{ and } (A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x$$

for all $x \in D(0, \varepsilon)$. Let

$$B_n = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}I \text{ and } A_n := F\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $R = F(0)$. In this way we see that

$$R \in W(X), (B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset W(X), AB_n = B_nA, (A - B_n)A_n(A - B_n) = A - B_n$$

and

$$\lim_n \left(\| B_n \| + \| A_n - R \| \right) = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose there exist $R \in W(X)$, $(B_n)_n \subset G(X)$ and $(A_n)_n \subset W(X)$ such that $ARA = A$, $AB_n = B_nA$, $(A - B_n)A_n(A - B_n) = A - B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. It remain remain to prove that $A \in S(X)$. Using Theorem 2.5, the result follow. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a Banach space. Then $WR(X) \subset R(X) \cap \overline{W(X)}$.*

Proof.

Let $A \in WR(X)$. Then there exist $B_0 \in W(X)$ such that $AB_0A = A$. Since $\Phi(X) = \Phi_l(X) \cap \Phi_r(X)$ and $B_0 \in \Phi(X)$, we can be apply Theorem 2.7, we infer that there exist $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $K_1 \in \mathcal{L}_0(X)$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{L}_0(X)$ such that

$$B_1B_0 = I + K_1 \text{ and } B_0B_1 = I + K_2. \tag{4.2}$$

In this way we see that

$$AB_0(B_1 - AK_2) = A$$

So, we can write $A = PC$, where $C = B_1 - AK_2 \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $P = AB_0 \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is an idempotent operator. Again, using Eq.(4.2), we have

$$B_1 - AK_2 \in \Phi(X) \text{ and } i(B_1B_0) = 0 = i(B_0) + i(B_1) = i(B_1).$$

Hence $B_1 - AK_1 \in W(X)$. Now, let

$$A_n := \left(P + \frac{I - P}{n} \right) C \in \mathcal{L}(X), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

It is clear that

$$\left(P + \frac{I - P}{n} \right) \left(P + n(I - P) \right) = \left(P + n(I - P) \right) \left(P + \frac{I - P}{n} \right) = I,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. This prove that $P + \frac{I - P}{n} \in G(X) \subset W(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In this way we say that

$$A_n \in W(X) \text{ and } i(A_n) = i\left(P + \frac{I - P}{n}\right) + i(C) = 0.$$

Then, $A_n \in W(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since $\lim_n A_n = A$, then $A \in \overline{W(X)}$. \square

5. Holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular spectrum

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Let $\sigma_{rr}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : A - x \notin S(X)\}$ the Saphar spectrum of A and $\rho_{rr}(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{rr}(A)$ its Saphar resolvent set. It is know (see,[6, 10]) that $x \in \rho_{rr}(A)$ if and only if there is $U(x)$ a neighbourhood of x and there is $F : U(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that F is analytic and $(A - y)F(y)(A - y) = A - y$ for all $y \in U(x)$. The holomorphically decomposably regular resolvent set $\rho_{gr}(A)$ is given by: $x \in \rho_{gr}(A)$ if and only if there is $V(x)$ a neighbourhood of x and there is $F : V(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that F is analytic and $(A - y)F(y)(A - y) = A - y$, $F(y) \in G(X)$ for all $y \in V(x)$. The holomorphically decomposably regular spectrum of A is defined by $\sigma_{gr}(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_{gr}(A)$.

The holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular resolvent set of A is defined by

$$\rho_{hw}(A) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C} : \exists U(x) \text{ a neighborhood of } x \text{ and } F : U(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X) \right.$$

analytic such that $F(y) \in W(X)$ and $(A - y)F(y)(A - y) = A - y$ for all $y \in U(x)$

The holomorphically Weyl-decomposably regular spectrum of A is defined by

$$\sigma_{hw}(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_{hw}(A).$$

In this way we see that

$$\sigma_{hf}(A) \subset \sigma_{hw}(A) \subset \sigma_{gr}(A) \subset \sigma(A).$$

Remark 5.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $A \in HWR(X)$ if and only if $0 \in \rho_{hw}(A)$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $0 \in \sigma_{hw}(A)$ if and only if $0 \in \sigma_K(A)$ or $A \notin WR(X)$

Proof. It remain to prove that $A \in HWR(X)$ if and only if A is Kato non-singular and $A \in WR(X)$. Suppose that $A \in HWR(X)$. Then there exist an open disc $D(0, r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic function $F : D(0, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $F(x) \in W(X)$ and $(A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x$, for all $x \in D(0, r)$. In this way we see that $0 \in \rho_{rr}(A) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_K(A)$. Since $AF(0)A = A$ and $F(0) \in WR(X)$. So, A is Kato non-singular and $A \in WR(X)$. Conversely, suppose that A is Kato non-singular and there exist $B \in W(X)$ such that $ABA = A$. Let $0 < r \ll \|B\|^{-1}$ and $F : D(0, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $F(x) = (I - xB)^{-1}B$ for all $x \in D(0, r)$. It is clear that

$$(I - xB)^{-1}B = B(I - xB)^{-1}$$

for all $x \in D(0, r)$ and F is analytic. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(A - x)F(x)(A - x) = A - x$$

for all $x \in D(0, r)$. On the other hand, $(I - xB)^{-1} \in G(X)$ for all $x \in D(0, r)$. So, $F(x) \in \Phi(X)$ and $i(F(x)) = i(B) + i((I - xB)^{-1}) = 0$ for all $x \in D(0, r)$. This proof is complete. \square

Corollary 5.3. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then If $A \in HWR(X)$ then $A^n \in HWR(X)$.

Proof. Let $A \in HWR(X)$. Using Proposition 5.2, T is Kato non-singular and $A \in WR(X)$. So, $0 \in \rho_K(A)$ and there exist $B \in W(X)$ such that $ABA = A$. Again we can apply Lemma 2.4, we have $A^n B^n A^n = A^n$. In particular, A^n is Kato non-singular. Since $B \in W(X)$, then

$$B^n \in \Phi(X) \text{ and } i(B^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n i(B) = ni(B) = 0.$$

In this way we see that A^n is Kato non-singular and $A^n \in WR(X)$. This proof is complete. \square

Corollary 5.4. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $B \in G(X)$ such that $AB = BA$. If $AB \in HWR(X)$ then $A \in HWR(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that $AB \in HWR(X)$. By Proposition 5.2, AB is Kato non-singular and there exist $C \in W(X)$ such that $ABCAB = AB$. It is clear that $AB(X) = A(X)$ and

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(BA) = \mathcal{N}(AB) \subset (AB)^\infty(X) \subset A^\infty(X).$$

In this way we see that, $0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_K(A)$. On the other hand, $B \in G(X)$. Then $ABCA = A$, where $BC \in \Phi(X)$ and $i(BC) = i(B) + i(C) = 0$. So, we give A is Kato non-singular and $A \in WR(X)$. Again, we can apply Proposition 5.2, we have $A \in HWR(X)$. \square

Acknowledgement. We wish to express our indebtedness to the referee, for his suggestions have improved the final presentation of this article.

References

- [1] F. Abdmouleh, A. Jeribi, *Gustafson, Weidman, Kato, Wolf, Schechter, Browder, Racočević and Schmoegeer essential spectra of the sum of two bounded operators and application to a transport operator*, Math. Nachr. **284** (2011), 166-176.
- [2] P. Aiena, *Semi-Fredholm operators, perturbation theory and localized SVEP*, XX Escuela Venezolana de Matematicas, Ed. Ivic, Merida (Venezuela) 2007.
- [3] H. Baloudi and A. Jeribi, *Left-Right Fredholm and Weyl Spectra of the sum of Two Bounded Operator and Application*, Med. J. Math. **11** (2014), 939-953.
- [4] A. Bakkali and A. Tajmouati, *On holomorphically decomposable Fredholm spectrum and commuting Riesz perturbations*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), 887-900.
- [5] A. Bakkali and A. Tajmouati, *On holomorphically decomposable Fredholm operators*, Ita. J. of pure and applied math, **31** (2013), 7-14.
- [6] S.R Caradus, *Generalized inverse and operator theory*, Queen's paper in pure and appl. Math 50, Queen's university, Kingston-Ontario 1978.
- [7] K. Gustafson and J. Weidmann, *On the essential spectrum*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **25** (1969), 121-127.
- [8] R. Harte, *Regular boundary elements*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 328-330.
- [9] R. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operator*, Marcel Dekker 1988.
- [10] R. Harte, *Taylor exactness and Kato's jump*, Proc. Amer. Math. **119** (1998), 793-801.
- [11] A. Jeribi, N. Moalla, *A characterization of some subsets of Schechter's essential spectrum and application to singular transport equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **358** (2009), 434-444.
- [12] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [13] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators*, J. Anal. Math, **6** (1958), 261-322.
- [14] K. B. Laursen and M. Neumann, *Introduction to local spectral theory*, Clarendon Press Oxford 2000.
- [15] M. Mbekhta, *Generalisation de la decomposition de Kato aux operateurs paranormaux et spectraux*, Glasg. Math. J. **29** (1987), 159-175.
- [16] M. Mbekhta, A. Ouahab, *Operateur s-rguliers dans un espace de Banach et thorie spectrale*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **59** (1994), 525-543.
- [17] V. Müller, *Spectral theory of linear operators and spectral system in Banach algebras*, Oper. Theo. Adva. Appl. **139** 2003.
- [18] V. Müller, *On the regular spectrum*, J. Oper. Theory **31** (1994), 363-380.
- [19] V. Rakočević, *A note on regular elements in Calkin algebras*, Collect. Math. **43** (1992), 37-42.
- [20] P. Saphar, *Contribution l'étude des applications linaires dans un espace de Banach*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 363-384.
- [21] C. Schmoegeer, *The punctured neighbourhood theorem in Banach algebras*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. **91** (1991), 205-218.
- [22] C. Schmoegeer, *On decomposably regular operators*, Portugal. Math **54** (1997), 41-50.
- [23] Q. Zeng, H. Zhong and S. Zhang, *On left and right decomposably regular operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **7** (2013), 41-58.